

# Neurocognitive Abnormalities During Comprehension of Real-World Goal-Directed Behaviors in Schizophrenia

Tatiana Sitnikova

Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital,  
and Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging

Donald Goff

Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts General Hospital

Gina R. Kuperberg

Massachusetts General Hospital, Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, and Tufts University

Origins of impaired adaptive functioning in schizophrenia remain poorly understood. Behavioral disorganization may arise from an abnormal reliance on common combinations between concepts stored in semantic memory. Avolition–apathy may be related to deficits in using goal-related requirements to flexibly plan behavior. The authors recorded event-related potentials (ERPs) in 16 patients with medicated schizophrenia and 16 healthy controls in a novel video paradigm presenting congruous or incongruous objects in real-world activities. All incongruous objects were contextually inappropriate, but the incongruous scenes varied in comprehensibility. Psychopathology was assessed with the Scales for the Assessment of Positive and Negative Symptoms (SAPS/SANS) and the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. In patients, an N400 ERP, thought to index activity in semantic memory, was abnormally enhanced to less comprehensible incongruous scenes, and larger N400 priming was associated with disorganization severity. A P600 ERP, which may index flexible object–action integration based on goal-related requirements, was abnormally attenuated in patients, and its smaller magnitude was associated with the SANS rating of impersistence at work or school (goal-directed behavior). Thus, distinct neurocognitive abnormalities may underlie disorganization and goal-directed behavior deficits in schizophrenia.

**Keywords:** schizophrenia, ERPs, real-world knowledge, comprehension of goal-directed actions, behavior abnormalities

Deficits in adaptive goal-directed behavior contribute to disability in schizophrenia (Poole, Ober, Shenaut, & Vinogradov, 1999; Velligan et al., 1997). Behavioral abnormalities may include context-inappropriate commission errors that may appear bizarre

and out of place (Andreasen, 1984b). These behaviors constitute part of the disorganized type of schizophrenia that also includes disorganized speech, or positive thought disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Andreasen, Arndt, Alliger, Miller, & Flaum, 1995; Liddle, 1987). As has been proposed to explain the disorganized speech in schizophrenia (Aloia, Gourovitch, Weinberger, & Goldberg, 1996; Elvevag, Foltz, Weinberger, & Goldberg, 2007; Goldberg & Weinberger, 1995; Maher, 1983; Maher, Manschreck, Linnet, & Candela, 2005), behavioral disorganization may be a manifestation of an underlying abnormality of the neural activity mediating semantic memory. In addition, schizophrenia patients can experience severe treatment-refractory negative symptoms of avolition–apathy that include deficits in goal-directed behavior (Andreasen, 1984b; Kiang, Christensen, Remington, & Kapur, 2003; van Reekum, Stuss, & Ostrander, 2005). A subset of avolition–apathy symptoms may be linked to abnormalities in cognitive operations necessary to construct the plan of actions (Godbout, Limoges, Allard, Braun, & Stip, 2007; Gold, Waltz, Prentice, Morris, & Heerey, 2008; Levy & Dubois, 2006; Rempfer, Hamera, Brown, & Cromwell, 2003). Particularly on more complex, nonroutine tasks, such planning may depend on a neural system supporting a specific type of conceptual knowledge encoding goal-related requirements of behavioral actions (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008). Execution and comprehension of behavior may share neural systems subserving real-world knowledge (Hum-

---

Tatiana Sitnikova, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, and Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, Massachusetts; Donald Goff, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, and Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; Gina R. Kuperberg, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, Massachusetts, and Department of Psychology, Tufts University.

We thank David R. Hughes, Sonya Jairaj, Karin Blais, and Jordana Cotton for their assistance in preparing the materials and collecting the data. This research was supported by NARSAD (with the Sidney R. Baer, Jr. Foundation) grants to Tatiana Sitnikova and Gina R. Kuperberg; by a Massachusetts General Hospital Fund for Medical Discovery grant to Tatiana Sitnikova, as well as Grants MH02034 and MH071635 and a Massachusetts General Hospital Claflin Distinguished Scholars Award to Gina R. Kuperberg; and by the Institute for Mental Illness and Neuroscience Discovery (MIND).

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Tatiana Sitnikova, Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Building 149 (2301), 13th Street, Charlestown, MA 02129. E-mail: tatiana@nmr.mgh.harvard.edu

phreys & Forde, 1998; Rizzolatti, Fogassi, & Gallese, 2001; Ruby, Sirigu, & Decety, 2002), and the field of cognitive neuroscience has developed comprehension paradigms that allow researchers to study specific neurocognitive processes while controlling for confounding variables. Therefore, to investigate neurocognitive abnormalities in conceptual processing that may underlie behavioral dysfunctions in schizophrenia, the present study assayed event-related potentials (ERPs), which directly measure electrophysiological brain activity, while patients and controls comprehended real-world activities depicted in short video clips.

According to a prevailing theory, semantic memory stores information about a person's previous real-world experiences in a structured fashion (Bower, Black, & Turner, 1979; Brewer & Dupree, 1983; Hutchison, 2003; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008; Zacks, Speer, Swallow, Braver, & Reynolds, 2007). Representations of individual concepts are thought to have connections of varying strength, depending on factors such as their feature similarity or how often they have been experienced in the same context. These graded semantic relationships are believed to be accessed and used in comprehension and behavior, particularly in familiar situations.<sup>1</sup> In the laboratory setting, they may account for faster processing of word and picture stimuli preceded by the semantically related (vs. unrelated) context (reaction time priming; Fischler & Bloom, 1985; Stanovich & West, 1983). Of particular relevance, common relationships between actions and entities might be represented within such graded semantic networks. Comprehenders have been reported to process words faster (McRae, Hare, Elman, & Ferretti, 2005; McRae, Hare, Ferretti, & Elman, 2001) and to preferentially look at real-world objects (Kamide, Altmann, & Haywood, 2004) when the stimuli convey customary combinations between entities and actions, especially when the specific role that a target entity usually plays in an action is constrained by the context (Ferretti, McRae, & Hatherell, 2001).

Neuroimaging during comprehension of words and visual images has implicated a broad network of cortical regions in processing common semantic relationships. Stimuli that cannot be easily mapped on the semantic memory networks accessed by the preceding context (vs. contextually appropriate stimuli) evoke an increased response within the left inferior prefrontal and temporal cortices (e.g., Blondin & Lepage, 2005; Cardillo, Aydelott, Matthews, & Devlin, 2004; Friederici, Ruschmeyer, Hahne, & Fiebach, 2003; Giesbrecht, Camblin, & Swaab, 2004; Kotz, Cappa, von Cramon, & Friederici, 2002; Kuperberg, Holcomb, et al., 2003a; Kuperberg, Sitnikova, & Lakshmanan, 2008; Rossell, Price, & Nobre, 2003; Simons, Koutstaal, Prince, Wagner, & Schacter, 2003; Wheatley, Weisberg, Beauchamp, & Martin, 2005; reviewed by Van Petten & Luka, 2006). Moreover, names or pictures of real-world objects and verbs conveying object-directed actions activate overlapping brain regions in the temporal, parietal, and premotor cortices (Bedny & Thompson-Schill, 2006; Chao, Haxby, & Martin, 1999; Chao & Martin, 2000; Grabowski, Damasio, & Damasio, 1998; Grafton, Fadiga, Arbib, & Rizzolatti, 1997; Kemmerer, Castillo, Talavage, Patterson, & Wiley, 2008; Martin, Wiggs, Ungerleider, & Haxby, 1996; C. J. Moore & Price, 1999; Tyler et al., 2003), possibly because both of these stimulus types access semantic networks representing usual combinations of actions and entities.

Previous ERP studies have identified a negative-going ERP waveform, peaking at approximately 400 ms after stimulus presentation

(the N400; e.g., Barrett & Rugg, 1990; Kutas & Hillyard, 1980a, 1980b), that may reflect mapping of perceptual input on the semantic memory networks (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, West, Kuperberg, & Holcomb, 2006; ). In healthy participants, the N400 is evoked during comprehension of language and visual images, and its amplitude is inversely correlated with the strength of semantic relationship between the eliciting stimulus and its preceding context<sup>2</sup> (Federmeier & Kutas, 1999, 2001; Kutas & Hillyard, 1980b, 1989; McPherson & Holcomb, 1999).

A large body of research has examined the utilization of semantic memory in patients with schizophrenia. Despite some differences in findings, possibly due to factors such as variation in patients' symptomatology or medication, these studies suggest several general conclusions. Reaction time priming studies showed that, even though automatic spread of activation within semantic memory networks (e.g., when asynchronies between the context and target stimuli are short) may be relatively intact and lead to normal priming, deficits may exist in the strategic use of these networks (e.g., when the context-target asynchronies are longer, Barch et al., 1996; Vinogradov, Ober, & Shenaut, 1992; when comprehension requires inhibiting irrelevant information, Titone, Holzman, & Levy, 2002; Titone, Levy, & Holzman, 2000). Neuroimaging suggests that these strategic deficits may be related to abnormally reduced activations within the left inferior prefrontal and temporal cortices in patients with schizophrenia (Han et al., 2007). In agreement with the reaction time studies, some ERP studies (e.g., Andrews et al., 1993; Kiang, Kutas, Light, & Braff, 2007; Kuperberg, Sitnikova, Goff, & Holcomb, 2006; Niznikiewicz et al., 1997; Olichney, Iragui, Kutas, Nowacki, & Jeste, 1997; Ruchow, Trippel, Groen, Spitzer, & Kiefer, 2003; Sitnikova, Salisbury, Kuperberg, & Holcomb, 2002), but not all (e.g., Condray, Siegle, Cohen, van Kammen, & Steinhauer, 2003; Kiang, Kutas, Light, & Braff, 2008; Kostova, Passerieux, Laurent, & Hardy-Bayle, 2005; Salisbury, O'Donnell, McCarley, Nestor, & Shenton, 2000; Salisbury, Shenton, Nestor, & McCarley, 2002), found that the increase in the N400 to contextually incongruous (vs. congruous) target words was comparable between patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls.

A subset of studies suggest that, at least under some experimental conditions, processing within semantic memory networks may be excessive in schizophrenia (Kreher, Holcomb, Goff, & Kuperberg, 2008; Kwapil, Hegley, Chapman, & Chapman, 1990; Man-

<sup>1</sup> The question of how knowledge is represented in the human brain has been a matter of a long-standing debate in cognitive psychology and neuroscience. According to a currently prevailing view, conceptual knowledge may be organized and neuroanatomically segregated according to the modality of information: visual, acoustic, motor, olfactory, abstract, and so on (Chang, 1996; Sitnikova et al., 2006; Thompson-Schill, 2003). A wealth of behavioral, electrophysiological, and neuroimaging data suggests that words and visual images access similar (even though possibly nonidentical) representations within this distributed conceptual knowledge system (e.g., Ganis, Kutas, & Sereno, 1996; Paivio, 1986; Potter & Faulconer, 1975; Vandenberghe, Price, Wise, Josephs, & Frackowiak, 1996).

<sup>2</sup> The N400s evoked by words and pictures show similar sensitivity to the associative and categorical relationships. Therefore, it is believed that they reflect analogous underlying neurocognitive mechanisms (Federmeier & Kutas, 2001; Ganis et al., 1996; McPherson & Holcomb, 1999).

schreck et al., 1988; Mathalon, Faustman, & Ford, 2002; Moritz et al., 2001; Moritz, Woodward, Koppers, Lausen, & Schickel, 2003; Spitzer, Braun, Hermle, & Maier, 1993; Spitzer, Braun, Maier, Hermle, & Maher, 1993; Spitzer et al., 1994). These studies found that, particularly in patients with positive thought disorder, reaction time and N400 attenuation for semantically related targets may be abnormally increased and robust priming may even occur between context and target stimuli that are remotely related (e.g., *camel-fox*) or indirectly related (e.g., *lemon* is related to *sour* and hence indirectly related to *sweet*). Interestingly, neuroimaging recordings under automatic processing conditions have provided some evidence for abnormally high levels of neuronal activation within the left inferior prefrontal and temporal cortices in schizophrenia that were correlated with positive thought disorder (Kuperberg, Deckersbach, Holt, Goff, & West, 2007). In addition, the ERP data suggested that inappropriate activation within semantic memory in schizophrenia may disrupt sentence comprehension. The N400 in patients was abnormally attenuated to target words that were incongruous with the global preceding sentence context but were semantically related to a frequently used meaning of a homograph (a multimeaning word) embedded within the context (Sitnikova et al., 2002). Finally, other ERP studies found that patients with schizophrenia may show enhanced efforts in mapping the incoming information on semantic memory networks. In patients, the amplitude of the N400 to target words was abnormally increased, independent of the congruence of the preceding context (Iakimova, Passerieux, Laurent, & Hardy-Bayle, 2005; Nestor et al., 1997; Niznikiewicz et al., 1997), and this increase was proportional to the severity of their positive thought disorder (Andrews et al., 1993).

Even though graded semantic networks may effectively facilitate comprehension and behavior in familiar circumstances, this form of knowledge representation appears too rigid to account for humans' remarkable ability to adaptively plan and interpret actions in less conventional contexts. For example, the concept of a cutting implement, such as a knife, may be represented in graded semantic networks together with several of its common properties including <has handle>. This representation would have a limited value for planning a cutting action if only unconventional implements such as a plate or a tape measure (that do not have properties such as <has handle>) are available, say, at an office birthday party. We suggest that the ability to flexibly plan and comprehend actions, especially in nonroutine situations, may depend on a distinct type of conceptual knowledge that selectively encodes information of what is required to achieve a specific goal (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008). Unlike graded semantic networks, such more discrete, rulelike requirements can be applied to novel combinations between actions and entities. For instance, a cutting action necessitates that the cutting implement <have a sturdy sharp edge> and the object that is being cut be <unsturdy>. These goal-related requirements can be applied to determine that objects such as a plate or a stretched tape measure, which have a relatively sharp sturdy edge, can be used to cut objects such as a cake or lasagna, which are unsturdy.

Electrophysiological studies in nonhuman primates have obtained evidence that prefrontal (but not temporal) neurons display such a discrete pattern of response to categories of visual stimuli that are defined by their functional relevance (Freedman, Riesen-

huber, Poggio, & Miller, 2001, 2002, 2003; reviewed by Miller, Freedman, & Wallis, 2002; Miller, Nieder, Freedman, & Wallis, 2003). In healthy humans, neuroimaging suggests that the activity selectively within the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) is sensitive to expertise in retrieving previously learned, functionally relevant attributes of novel complex shapes (C. D. Moore, Cohen, & Ranganath, 2006). Furthermore, neuroimaging has specifically linked the DLPFC activity to integration between entities and actions based on goal-related requirements. The left DLPFC was activated when participants decided whether two verbally described objects fit the requirements of a given action (Murray & Ranganath, 2007). A bilateral DLPFC activation was evoked by incompatible object-action combinations described in sentences (e.g., verbs such as *lit* [vs. congruous comparison verbs] in sentences such as "To make the dinner more romantic the table had lit several candles"—the action conveyed by the verb *lit* cannot be completed given the properties of an entity described by the preceding subject noun *table*; Kuperberg et al., 2008; see also Newman, Pancheva, Ozawa, Neville, & Ullman, 2001; Ni et al., 2000).

ERP studies of language comprehension in healthy participants have identified a positive-going waveform with a peak at approximately 600 ms after stimulus presentation (the P600) that may be sensitive to integration between actions and entities based on goal-related requirements. The P600 was originally described in language comprehension studies in response to syntactic ambiguities and anomalies (Osterhout & Holcomb, 1992; Osterhout, Holcomb, & Swinney, 1994), and was interpreted as indexing syntactic reanalysis and/or repair (Friederici, 1995; Osterhout et al., 1994). However, more recent studies showed that it is also modulated by violations of goal-related requirements of linguistically described actions (an increased P600 is evoked to verbs such as *lit* in the "The table had lit" example above, relative to congruous comparison verbs; Hoeks, Stowe, & Doedens, 2004; Kim & Osterhout, 2005; Kolk, Chwilla, van Herten, & Oor, 2003; Kuperberg, Caplan, Sitnikova, Eddy, & Holcomb, 2006; Kuperberg, Kreher, Sitnikova, Caplan, & Holcomb, 2007; Kuperberg, Sitnikova, Caplan, & Holcomb, 2003; van Herten, Chwilla, & Kolk, 2006; van Herten, Kolk, & Chwilla, 2005; reviewed by Kuperberg, 2007).

Some data are consistent with the hypothesis that patients with schizophrenia have deficits in using goal-related requirements to effectively combine objects and actions. Patients with schizophrenia showed a disproportionate decline in accuracy, relative to healthy controls, when judging acceptability of sentences with violations of goal-related requirements (e.g., "the table had lit") as compared to sentences describing predictable or unexpected but possible situations (Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2006). Furthermore, the P600 effect to verbs violating goal-related action requirements (vs. congruous comparison verbs) in sentences was attenuated in patients relative to controls (Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2006). These findings are intriguing, but as they were obtained using language stimuli, the possibility cannot be ruled out that they reflect impaired use of syntactic constraints in schizophrenia (Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2006; Ruchow et al., 2003). During sentence comprehension, processing of syntactic constraints is an integral part of the integration between the described actions and entities (Kaan, Harris, Gibson, & Holcomb, 2000). Patients might have inadequately engaged the syntactic processing and, as a

result, made errors in sentence interpretation and evoked a reduced P600 effect.

The present study examined how patients with schizophrenia utilize graded semantic memory networks and discrete goal-related action requirements during comprehension of real-world activities conveyed in short, silent video clips. Video stimuli have multiple advantages: They can engage patients' attention while preserving naturalistic conceptual processing (Levin & Simons, 2000); they can present events in a short time frame, avoiding confounding influences from impaired context maintenance (Barch et al., 2001; Barch, Carter, MacDonald, Braver, & Cohen, 2003; Cohen, Barch, Carter, & Servan-Schreiber, 1999; Cohen & Servan-Schreiber, 1992; Holmes et al., 2005; Salisbury et al., 2002); and they can eliminate the effects of certain nonsemantic deficits (e.g., in use of syntactic constraints). An ERP technique was used to track fast neurocognitive processes, with a millisecond resolution, as they were spontaneously engaged during comprehension. By combining the video and ERP techniques, we aimed to assay naturalistic neurocognitive processes, enhancing generalizability of our findings to unstructured and unsupervised goal-directed tasks in real life (see Kiang et al., 2003, for findings that apathy in schizophrenia may be specifically associated with the real-life goal-directed behaviors but not with more structured, laboratory goal-directed tasks).

We designed a video paradigm that modulates processing demands on the two neurocognitive mechanisms of interest (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Kuperberg, & Holcomb, 2003). In this paradigm, video clips convey common goal-directed activities that end with either a congruous or an incongruous final scene. For example, in one scenario, the lead-up context depicts a man setting up an ironing board and placing a pair of pants on the board. In the congruous condition, the final event involves the man ironing the pants with an electric iron (see Figure 1A; also see Figure 1C for another congruous example). In the incongruous condition, a target object in the final scene is both semantically unrelated to the contextual activity and violates goal-related requirements of the expected action: the man moves a dinner fork across the pants (see Figure 1B; the dinner fork is semantically unrelated and does not have a flat hot surface necessary for ironing pants; also see Figure 1D for another incongruous example).

Our previous studies in healthy participants found that incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings evoke two types of ERP responses (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova et al., 2003). These responses are similar to the ERPs evoked to violations of context-based expectancies or goal-related action requirements during language comprehension but are more prolonged, possibly due to extended presentation of the incongruous information as actions unfold over time (Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008). Incongruous endings in videos produce an increased N400, accompanied by a visual-image-specific N300,<sup>3</sup> indicating difficulties in mapping these scenes on semantic memory networks. In addition, the incongruous endings evoke a larger P600.<sup>4</sup>

Our previous work provides evidence for sensitivity of the P600 in videos specifically to violations of goal-related action requirements, suggesting that it reflects an effort in evaluating the video scenes against the discrete, rulelike knowledge of what is necessary to achieve the goal of the contextual activity (Sitnikova,

Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008). Relative to congruous final video scenes, contextually inappropriate endings that did not violate the goal-related requirements of their central action (e.g., after placing a cutting board and a loaf of bread on a kitchen counter, a man uses an electric iron to press wrinkles from his pants) produced an enhancement in the N400 rather than the P600.<sup>5</sup> Increased dissimilarity of the background information between the context and the final scene also led to an augmentation of the N400 but not the P600. Our earlier findings also suggest a clear distinction of the P600 evoked in videos from the P300—a waveform of the same polarity that is thought to index domain-general strategic processes (Donchin & Coles, 1988). Lack of the P600 modulation by the behavioral task performed by participants (e.g., passive viewing vs. classifying the videos into congruous/incongruous; Sitnikova, 2003; Sitnikova et al., 2003) and by task-relevant video anomalies other than violations of goal-related action requirements (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008) is inconsistent with the pattern of modulation expected for the P300 (Picton, 1992; Polich, 1986).


Detection of neurocognitive processes evoked by visual events depends on consistent ERP time-locking to these processes across individual trials. To accomplish this, our video paradigm separates the context and final scenes in each clip by a cinematographic cut (Bobker & Marinis, 1973) and presents all critical information (a fully visible target object as it is engaged into the scene's central action) at the onset of each final scene. ERP recordings are time-locked to the scene onset. This cutting technique does not appear to disrupt naturalistic comprehension: The pattern of ERPs recorded while healthy participants viewed videos with cuts was generally comparable to ERPs time-locked to the first discernible appearance of the target object in video clips that continuously showed the same real-world activities (cf. Sitnikova et al., 2003, vs. Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008).

The current study recorded ERPs while patients with schizophrenia and matched healthy control participants viewed video clips ending with congruous final scenes or incongruous scenes

<sup>3</sup> In studies of visual image processing, the N400 is often preceded by a somewhat earlier but functionally similar ERP component, the N300, thought to reflect accessing image-specific graded representational networks (McPherson & Holcomb, 1999; Sitnikova et al., 2006). This N300/N400 complex is known to have more anterior scalp topography than the N400 to words, probably due to nonidentical representations activated by these two types of stimuli (McPherson & Holcomb, 1999; West & Holcomb, 2002).

<sup>4</sup> In our previous work using video clips in healthy participants, we labeled this component evoked by violations of goal-related action requirements as a *late positivity* rather than *P600*. However, in the literature on schizophrenia, the term *late positive component* is frequently used to refer to a positivity that has been interpreted as part of the P300 family. Therefore, to avoid confusion with this use of the *late positive component* term, in this article, we label the positivity evoked by violations of goal-related action requirements in video clips as a *P600*.

<sup>5</sup> The P600s evoked by words and visual images show similar sensitivity to the mismatch between the goal-related action requirements and the properties of the engaged entities and similar insensitivity to the associative relationships. Therefore, it is likely that they reflect analogous underlying neurocognitive mechanisms (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008).



*Figure 1.* Frames taken from video clips used in this study. A and B: Sample Video Pair 1—shown for each video type are two frames illustrating events depicted as a context (“A man sets up an ironing board and places a pair of pants on it”), followed by a single frame illustrating the congruous final scene (A: “The man presses wrinkles from his pants with an electric iron”) and the incongruous final scene (B: “The man moves a dinner fork across his pants”). Note that the incongruous scene in B is an example of the less comprehensible incongruous event. C & D: Sample Video Pair 2—shown for each video type are two frames illustrating events depicted as a context (“A man places a cutting board on a kitchen counter, and then places a loaf of bread on the cutting board”), followed by a single frame illustrating the congruous final scene (C: “The man cuts off a piece of bread with a knife”) and the incongruous final scene (D: “The man slides an electric iron across the loaf of bread”). Note that the incongruous scene in D is an example of the more comprehensible incongruous event. The actual video clips may be viewed at <https://www.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/~tatiana/movies>.

with violations of goal-related action requirements. We reasoned that, if patients were able to engage their semantic memory networks during comprehension of goal-directed activities, they would show an enhancement of the N400 to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings. Any abnormally heightened activity within semantic memory networks in patients was expected to lead

to an increased N400 priming effect (reduced N400 to congruous video endings). This hyperactivity and the associated N400 priming magnitude were expected to correlate with the severity of patients’ disorganization symptoms. In addition, we hypothesized that patients would inadequately recruit the goal-related requirements when integrating between actions and objects and hence,

would evoke an abnormally reduced P600 effect to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings. If it contributed to patients' goal-directed behavior deficits, the severity of this symptomatology was expected to be negatively correlated with the magnitude of their P600 effect. Furthermore, we aimed to examine whether this P600 deficit would be more pronounced when the use of goal-related action requirements in comprehension was more demanding. Greater impairments were expected for the incongruous actions that were more difficult to make sense of given the properties of the engaged objects (e.g., see Figure 1B: The dinner fork does not fulfill goal-related requirements for any conceivable goal-directed action) relative to those that could be comprehended more easily (e.g., see Figure 1D: A man gets ready to cut bread and then slides an electric iron across the loaf of bread—the electric iron fulfills goal-related requirements for defrosting or warming up bread).

## Method

### Participants

Sixteen patients with schizophrenia were recruited from the Lindemann Community Mental Health Center, Boston, Massachusetts, and 16 healthy volunteers were recruited by advertisement. All patients met *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th ed. [DSM-IV]; American Psychiatric Association, 1994) criteria for schizophrenia. This diagnosis was confirmed by a research psychiatrist using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (First, Spitzer, Miriam, & Williams, 2002b) and was reviewed in each case by a consensus diagnostic conference based on results from a thorough chart examination and review of clinical history with treating clinicians. Healthy volunteers were screened to exclude the presence of psychiatric disorders using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, Non-Patient Edition (First, Spitzer, Miriam, & Williams, 2002a). All participants were right handed (Oldfield, 1971; White & Ashton, 1976) and had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Participants were excluded if they had a history of neurological damage, head trauma with documented cognitive sequelae, and medical disorders that can impair neurocognitive function, as well as if they met DSM-IV criteria for substance abuse within the previous 3 months or substance dependence any time within their life span (assessed as a part of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV). Written informed consent was obtained from all persons before participation according to the established guidelines of the Massachusetts General Hospital and Tufts Human Subjects Research Committees.

Patients' symptomatology was assessed within 1 week of ERP testing using the Scales for the Assessment of Positive and Negative Symptoms (Positive: SAPS; Andreasen, 1984b; Negative: SANS; Andreasen, 1984a) and the 18-item Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS; Overall, 1974). All evaluations were completed by a single research associate in clinical neuropsychology who underwent extensive training in the administration of these scales and established 85% interrater agreement with the gold-standard ratings (determined by consensus of two doctoral level clinicians) on 10 videotaped/live interviews and thereafter took part in reassessments with a new videotaped interview every 6 months to maintain reliability. Previous studies of these clinical scales have also reported good interrater reliabilities for the assessments of the

individual symptoms (Schutzwohl, Jarosz-Nowak, Briscoe, Szajowski, & Kallert, 2003; Toomey et al., 1997; Ventura, Green, Shaner, & Liberman, 1993), including SAPS/SANS single-item ratings (Peralta & Cuesta, 1995, 1999; Toomey et al., 1997). Consistent with previous studies (Barch et al., 2003; Yoon et al., 2008), scores on three global factors reported in these scales (Andreasen, Arndt, Alliger, et al., 1995; Brekke, DeBonis, & Graham, 1994; Gur et al., 1991; Harvey et al., 1996; Phillips et al., 1991; Van der Does, Linszen, Dingemans, Nugter, & Scholte, 1993) were used to delineate patients' clinical profiles: (a) reality distortion (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .77$ ), including grandiosity, suspiciousness, hallucinations, and unusual thought content from the BPRS and hallucinations and delusions from the SAPS; (b) disorganization (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .65$ ), including conceptual disorganization, mannerisms and posturing, and disorientation from the BPRS and attention, positive formal thought disorder, and bizarre behavior from the SAPS/SANS; and (c) poverty symptoms (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .83$ ), including emotional withdrawal, motor retardation, and blunted affect from the BPRS and anhedonia-asociality, avolition-apathy, alogia, and affective flattening from the SANS. In addition, we specifically used the evaluation of impersistence at work or school from the SANS to quantify patients' deficits in goal-directed behavior in real life as this item arguably is most directly related to poor cognitive abilities necessary in forming adaptive plans of action. Consistent with this notion, abilities to perform real-world tasks have been previously found to load on distinct factors depending on their complexity (e.g., complex behaviors: shopping, housework, and meal preparation; basic routines: toileting, dressing, and grooming; Thomas, Rockwood, & McDowell, 1998). Moreover, in several factor solutions of the SAPS and SANS (Keefe et al., 1992; Minas, Klimidis, Stuart, Copolov, & Singh, 1994; Peralta & Cuesta, 1999), impersistence at work or school loaded specifically onto the factor of social dysfunctions, possibly because both occupational and social activities require cognitive abilities to flexibly build complex behaviors. In contrast, physical anergia and impairments in grooming and hygiene, which are included in the SANS avolition-apathy scale but are only moderately correlated with impersistence at work or school ( $r$ s between .37 and .56; Keefe et al., 1992; Peralta & Cuesta, 1995), yielded significant loadings on the poverty symptoms and/or disorganized behavior factors. To determine whether any findings involving impersistence at work or school could be accounted for by more general symptoms of apathy or social dysfunctions, we used the SANS global scores on avolition-apathy and anhedonia-asociality, respectively. We also examined possible mediating effects of general anxiety and depression symptoms by using a BPRS composite score on a previously reported anxiety-depression factor, including anxiety, guilt, depression, tension, and somatic concern items (Guy, 1976; Harvey et al., 1996; Overall & Klett, 1972; Ruggeri et al., 2005).

Patients and controls were closely matched on all demographic variables. There were no significant differences between the groups in gender or race distribution, age, education level, parental socioeconomic status (as assessed by Hollingshead Index; Cirino et al., 2002), and IQ (as assessed by the North American Adult Reading Test; Blair & Spreen, 1989). Patients were receiving stable doses of antipsychotic medication for at least 4 weeks before the ERP study—clozapine ( $N = 6$ ), olanzapine ( $N = 4$ ), risperidone ( $N = 4$ ), quetiapine ( $N = 1$ ), chlorpromazine ( $N = 1$ ),

fluphenazine ( $N = 5$ ), and haloperidol ( $N = 1$ )—with some patients taking more than one typical and/or atypical neuroleptic. Eight of the patients were being treated with atypical antipsychotics only, and two of the patients were being treated with typical antipsychotics only. Healthy volunteers were on no medication. Demographic characteristics of all participants and clinical details for the patient group are given in Table 1.

### Stimuli and Task

Eighty pairs of color video clips conveyed typical goal-directed activities (e.g., cooking, shaving, etc.) that ended either with a congruous or incongruous final event (see Figure 1). All video clips included two or more simple real-world events presented as a context, followed by a final scene showing the main actor manipulating a target object. The incongruous video endings were constructed by substituting the appropriate target object (e.g., see Figure 1A: an electric iron) with another target object (e.g., see Figure 1B: a dinner fork) that was used in the congruous ending in another scenario. The target object in the incongruous scenes both was semantically unrelated to the video context and did not have semantic properties required for the central action constrained by the preceding events (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008). In each pair of video clips, the same context was used with either a congruous or incongruous target object. The incongruous clips were assigned to two subsets depending on how difficult it was to understand the goal of the main actor in their final event. This was determined in a pretest experiment: A group of 15 healthy participants (7 women and 8 men, with mean age of

21 years, who did not take part in the ERP study) viewed each incongruous clip and were asked to interpret the goal of the main actor in the final scene (if they could not conceive of any reasonable goal of the target action, they were instructed to report “do not know”). The incongruous clips were then subdivided with a median split on the proportion of responses that provided a goal explanation for the target action in a given scenario (the rates were  $68.2\% \pm 10.5\%$  for more comprehensible incongruous scenes and  $18.6\% \pm 16.8\%$  for less comprehensible scenes). For example, several viewers were willing to offer an explanation for the scene showing an electric iron being moved across a loaf of bread (see Figure 1D) but not for the scene showing a dinner fork being moved across a pair of pants on an ironing board (see Figure 1B).

In each clip, context and final shots were separated by a cinematographic cut (details on using the cutting technique can be found elsewhere; see Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008). All target objects (e.g., iron, knife, fork) were clearly visible and were engaged into the central action (e.g., cutting, sliding) at the onset of the final scene, but did not appear in the clip before the final scene. Target scenes were identified using a red frame around the video display.

The clips were arranged into two sets, each consisting of 40 congruous and 40 incongruous items (equal numbers of more and less comprehensible incongruous final scenes). The assignment of clips to sets was such that no context or final scene shot was included twice in one set, although, across sets, all contexts and all target objects appeared in both the congruous and incongruous conditions. Half of the participants in each diagnosis group viewed videos from Set 1, and half viewed videos from Set 2.

Video clips, subtending  $4^\circ$  of visual angle and centered on a black background, were shown without sound at a rate of 30 frames per second and ranged between 4–29 s in duration ( $M = 11$  s); the final scene lasted 2 s. Participants progressed across the clips at their own pace and were instructed to keep their eyes in the center of the screen. After the ? prompt that appeared 100 ms after the offset of each clip, participants were asked to press a *yes* or *no* button depending on whether the clip presented a congruous event sequence that would commonly be witnessed in everyday life. Six additional clips were used in a practice session.


### ERP Recording Procedure

The electroencephalogram (bandpass, .01–40 Hz, 6- dB cutoffs; sampling rate, 200 Hz) was recorded from 29 scalp electrodes (Electro-Cap International, Eaton, OH; see diagram in Figure 2), below and at the outer canthus of an eye and over the right mastoid; all recordings were referenced to the left mastoid. ERPs (epoch length = 100 ms before to 1,170 ms after the final scene onset) were selectively averaged among trials from each condition that were correctly classified as congruous or incongruous and were free of ocular artifacts (activity  $> 60 \mu V$  at eye electrodes): For congruous videos, 82.1% of trials were included in controls, and 77.0% of trials were included in patients; for incongruous videos, 79.2% of trials were included in controls, and 75.3% of trials were included in patients. In addition, separate mean ERPs were formed for the more and less comprehensible subsets of the incongruous video trials, as well as for subsets of the corresponding congruous video trials with the same contextual background (e.g., ERPs to the sample incongruous video in Figure 1B and its

Table 1  
*Demographic Data of Healthy Controls and Demographic and Clinical Data of Patients With Schizophrenia*

| Parameter                            | Controls    | Patients     |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Gender                               |             |              |
| Male                                 | 11          | 14           |
| Female                               | 5           | 2            |
| Age (years)                          | 40 (8)      | 43 (6)       |
| Race                                 |             |              |
| African American                     | 2           | 5            |
| Caucasian                            | 14          | 11           |
| Education (years)                    | 14.6 (1.8)  | 13.3 (2.3)   |
| Hollingshead Index                   | 3.0 (1.1)   | 3.5 (1.2)    |
| Premorbid IQ                         | 108.2 (7.5) | 103.1 (13.2) |
| Chlorpromazine equivalents           |             | 411 (229)    |
| Duration of illness (years)          |             | 19 (7)       |
| SAPS total                           |             | 17.7 (18.6)  |
| SANS total                           |             | 30.0 (16.0)  |
| BPRS total                           |             | 30.9 (8.5)   |
| Reality distortion factor            |             | 12.1 (7.7)   |
| Disorganization factor               |             | 6.8 (3.5)    |
| Poverty symptoms factor              |             | 12.0 (6.0)   |
| SANS impersistence at work or school |             | 2.7 (2.0)    |
| SANS avolition–apathy                |             | 2.2 (1.8)    |
| SANS anhedonia–asociality            |             | 1.7 (1.3)    |
| BPRS anxiety–depression factor       |             | 8.7 (2.9)    |

*Note.* Means are shown with standard deviation in parentheses. Patients and controls were closely matched on all demographic variables ( $ps > .10$ ). BPRS = Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; SANS = Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; SAPS = Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms.



*Figure 2.* Scalp electrode montage (17 standard international 10-20 system locations and 12 extended 10-20 system locations) and scalp regions used in statistical analyses. The midline analyses of variance (ANOVAs) included five levels of the region factor (1: anterior-frontal; 2: frontal; 3: central; 4: parietal; and 5: occipital). The lateral ANOVAs included two levels of the region factor (6: frontal and 7: parietal) and two levels of the hemisphere factor (left and right). Both analyses also included three levels of the electrode factor indicating precise electrode locations. Electrode placements: Odd and even numbers are on the left and right sides of the scalp, respectively; the adscript z identifies midline locations. FP = frontopolar; F = frontal; FC = frontocentral; C = central; CP = centroparietal; T = temporal; P = parietal; O = occipital.

congruous counterpart in Figure 1A were included in the less comprehensible incongruous and congruous subsets, respectively, whereas the sample videos in Figures 1D and 1C were included in the more comprehensible incongruous and congruous subsets, respectively). We used these separate subsets of congruous videos in the control condition because our previous findings in healthy participants suggested that the extent of background changes between the context and final video scenes may influence the N400 (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008). The average ERPs were re-referenced to a mean of the left and right mastoids.

### Analysis

Group differences in behavioral accuracy were examined using an independent-samples *t* test, and an estimate of effect size was obtained by using Cohen's *d*.

ERPs were quantified by calculating the mean amplitudes (relative to the 100-ms baseline preceding the final scene onset) within time windows of interest. Two time windows (0–200 ms, 225–325 ms) were used to examine early sensory/perceptual and N300 waveforms, respectively. To quantify the N400 and P600 waveforms, we used 325–525-ms and 600–1,000-ms time windows, respectively. These time windows roughly corre-

sponded to those used in our previous studies with healthy participants (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova et al., 2003). The data were examined using mixed-design analyses of variance (ANOVAs), and estimates of effect size were obtained by using the partial eta squared. The Geisser-Greenhouse correction was applied to repeated measures with more than one degree of freedom (Geisser & Greenhouse, 1959) and a significance level of  $\alpha = .05$  was used as, in all cases, a priori hypotheses were tested.

For each time window, two omnibus ANOVAs were conducted to examine ERP differences between congruous and incongruous videos at midline and lateral sets of scalp regions. Figure 2 shows within-participant scalp topography factors used in these analyses. Each analysis also had a within-participant factor of congruence (congruous vs. incongruous video endings) and a between-participants factor of group (controls vs. patients). Significant interactions involving the congruence and region factors were parsed by assessing the ERPs at each level of the region factor. After that, significant Congruence  $\times$  Group interactions were parsed in two ways: first, by examining the effect of congruence in each participant group, and second, by examining the effect of group for each type of videos.

A secondary analysis that evaluated the effects of comprehensibility of the incongruous video endings used two additional omnibus ANOVAs for each time window. These ANOVAs were identical to the ones described above but included an additional within-participant factor of comprehensibility. ERP differences between the more and less comprehensible incongruous videos were examined while using their congruous counterparts in the control condition. Thus, the more comprehensible level included more comprehensible incongruous videos and their congruous counterparts, and the less comprehensible level included less comprehensible incongruous videos and their congruous counterparts. Significant interactions involving comprehensibility, congruence, and region were parsed by assessing the ERPs at each level of the region factor. In addition, interactions involving the comprehensibility, congruence, and group factors were parsed by assessing the ERPs in each participant group, and interactions involving the comprehensibility and congruence factors were parsed by assessing the ERPs at each level of comprehensibility. After that, significant Congruence  $\times$  Group interactions were parsed in two ways: first, by examining the effect of congruence in each participant group, and second, by examining the effect of group for each type of videos.

Spearman two-tailed correlations were used to examine relationships of clinical symptoms (shown in Table 1) to the ERP findings. The N300 and N400 amplitudes were averaged across three electrode sites within the frontal midline region, and the P600 amplitude was averaged within the parietal midline region—in our earlier studies, these scalp areas showed maximal differences (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova et al., 2003). For a priori hypotheses about the relationships between the disorganization symptomatology and the N400 effect and between goal-directed behavior deficits and the P600 effect,  $\alpha = .05$  was used. For other, more exploratory correlations, computed to establish specificity of any findings, the significance level was determined based on the Bonferroni correction. Spearman correlations of the behavioral accuracy in classifying the videos into congruous or incongruous with the ERP effects and clinical measures were also examined.

## Results

### *Behavioral Accuracy*

Both participant groups were highly accurate in classifying the videos into congruous or incongruous. The accuracy rate was  $94.2\% \pm 5.4\%$  in controls and  $90.5\% \pm 7.1\%$  in patients,  $t(30) = -1.680$ ,  $p > .1$ , Cohen's  $d = .587$ .

### *ERP Data: Comparisons Between Congruous and Incongruous Video Endings*

The grand average ERPs time-locked to the presentation of congruous and incongruous video endings are plotted in Figure 3A in the control group and in Figure 3B in the patient group. In the early sensory/perceptual time window (0–200 ms), no significant differences were observed ( $ps > .1$ ). The results of omnibus ANOVAs and planned comparisons involving the congruence and group factors within each scalp region are presented in Table 2, and estimates of effect size are presented in Table 3.

ERP patterns were similar across the N300 (225–325 ms) and N400 (325–525 ms) epochs. In both participant groups, ERPs were

more negative to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings (main effect of congruence in midline and lateral omnibus ANOVAs). These negativity effects were primarily evident over anterior and central scalp regions (Congruence  $\times$  Region interaction in midline and lateral omnibus ANOVAs) and reached significance in several regions (midline and lateral planned comparisons by region). None of these congruence effects interacted with the group factor, and there were no main group effects or Group  $\times$  Region interactions ( $ps > .1$ ).


In the P600 epoch (600–1,000 ms), the ERPs became strikingly different between the participant groups (Congruence  $\times$  Group and Congruence  $\times$  Region  $\times$  Group interactions in midline and lateral omnibus ANOVAs). The negativity effect continued only at the midline anterior-frontal region in both participant groups (planned comparisons by region). Over more posterior scalp regions, incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings evoked a positive-going effect that was dramatically larger in controls than patients (Congruence  $\times$  Group interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by region). These between-groups differences were further parsed in planned comparisons presented in Table 4. The positivity effect reached significance in several posterior regions in controls (effect of congruence in midline and lateral planned comparisons by Group) but was not significant in patients ( $ps > .1$ ). This congruence effect was attenuated in patients due to less positive ERPs to incongruous video endings (effect of group in midline and lateral planned comparisons by congruence); ERPs were not different between the participant groups in the congruous condition ( $ps > .1$ ).

### *ERP Data: Comparisons Between More Comprehensible and Less Comprehensible Incongruous Video Endings*

The grand average ERPs time-locked to the presentation of the more and less comprehensible incongruous video endings (plotted together with the ERPs for their respective congruous counterparts) are shown in Figures 4A and 4B separately for the control and patient groups. In the early sensory/perceptual time window (0–200 ms), no significant differences were observed ( $ps > .1$ ). The results of omnibus ANOVAs and planned comparisons for the N300 and N400 time windows are presented in Table 5, and estimates of effect size are presented in Table 3.

During the N300 epoch (225–325 ms), the anterior negativity effect in control participants was evident to the more comprehensible but not the less comprehensible incongruous final scenes (vs. their congruous counterparts), whereas the difference between these negativity effects was in the reverse direction in patients (Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruence  $\times$  Region  $\times$  Group interaction in midline and lateral omnibus ANOVAs). These differences reached significance only in the midline anterior-frontal region (Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruence  $\times$  Group interaction in planned comparisons by region), where the comprehensibility of the incongruous scenes influenced the negativity effect in the patient group (Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruence interaction in planned comparisons by group). In this region, the negativity effect to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) final scenes was larger in patients than in controls (Congruence  $\times$  Group interaction in planned comparisons by comprehensibility).

In the N400 epoch (325–525 ms), the pattern of comprehensibility effects continued to be markedly different between the participant groups (Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruence  $\times$  Region  $\times$  Group inter-



*Figure 3.* Event-related potentials (ERPs) time-locked to incongruous final video scenes compared to ERPs time-locked to congruous final scenes in healthy controls (A) and patients with schizophrenia (B). Shown are waveforms at representative electrode sites. Electrode placements: Odd and even numbers are on the left and right sides of the scalp, respectively; the adscript z identifies midline locations. FP = frontopolar; F = frontal; FC = frontocentral; C = central; CP = centroparietal; P = parietal; O = occipital; VEOG = below left eye; HEOG = at the outer canthus of right eye.

Table 2

*Results of Statistical Analyses Contrasting Event-Related Potentials Evoked by Congruous and Incongruous Video Endings Between Patient and Control Groups*

| Analysis                      | Contrast  | df      | F value by time window of interest |            |              |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------|
|                               |           |         | 225–325 ms                         | 325–525 ms | 600–1,000 ms |
|                               |           | Midline |                                    |            |              |
| Omnibus                       | C         | 1, 30   | 5.433*                             | 10.199**   |              |
|                               | C × R     | 4, 120  | 3.742*                             | 5.621**    | 15.506**     |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 7.521*       |
|                               | C × R × G | 4, 120  |                                    |            | 3.296*       |
| Planned comparisons by region |           |         |                                    |            |              |
| Anterior-frontal              | C         | 1, 30   | 4.960*                             | 6.564*     | 13.296**     |
|                               | C × E     | 2, 60   |                                    |            | 4.455*       |
| Frontal                       | C         | 1, 30   | 8.836**                            | 13.413**   |              |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 4.336*       |
| Central                       | C         | 1, 30   | 5.435*                             | 11.061**   |              |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 8.265**      |
| Parietal                      | C         | 1, 30   |                                    | 7.454*     | 6.280*       |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 10.454**     |
| Occipital                     | C         | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 8.475**      |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 7.625**      |
|                               |           | Lateral |                                    |            |              |
| Omnibus                       | C         | 1, 30   | 7.467*                             | 8.537**    |              |
|                               | C × R     | 1, 30   | 6.891*                             | 9.743**    | 27.368**     |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 7.632**      |
|                               | C × R × G | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 5.020*       |
| Planned comparisons by region |           |         |                                    |            |              |
| Frontal                       | C         | 1, 30   | 10.770**                           | 13.773**   |              |
|                               | C × H     |         |                                    |            | 5.612*       |
| Parietal                      | C         | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 13.929**     |
|                               | C × G     | 1, 30   |                                    |            | 11.143**     |

*Note.* df = degrees of freedom; C = main effect of congruence; C × E = Congruence × Electrode interaction; C × G = Congruence × Group interaction; C × H = Congruence × Hemisphere interaction; C × R = Congruence × Region interaction; C × R × G = Congruence × Region × Group interaction.

\*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ .

action in midline and lateral omnibus ANOVAs); these differences reached significance at several anterior scalp regions (Comprehensibility × Congruence × Group interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by region). At these anterior regions, controls evoked a negativity effect only to the more comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings (Comprehensibility × Congruence interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by group). In contrast, patients evoked comparable negativity effects to both the more and less comprehensible incongruous final scenes (vs. their congruous counterparts; effect of congruence in midline and lateral planned comparisons by group). The negativity effect was comparable between the participant groups in the more comprehensible condition (effect of congruence in midline and lateral planned comparisons by comprehensibility). However, significant between-groups differences were present in the less comprehensible condition (Congruence × Group interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by comprehensibility); ERPs evoked by the less comprehensible incongruous endings were more negative in patients than in controls (effect of group in midline planned comparisons by congruence), whereas ERPs evoked by their congruous counterparts were not different between the participant groups ( $ps > .1$ ).

The results of omnibus ANOVAs and planned comparisons for the P600 time window are presented in Table 6, and estimates of effect

size are presented in Table 3. In the P600 epoch (600–1,000 ms), the negativity effect to the more comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) final scenes was larger than the negativity effect to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) final scenes, whereas modulation of the positivity effect was in the reverse direction (Comprehensibility × Congruence interaction in midline and lateral omnibus ANOVAs). The negativity effect to the more comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) scenes was comparable between the participant groups (Congruence × Region interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by comprehensibility), reaching significance at several anterior scalp regions (effect of congruence in midline planned comparisons by region). However, the positivity effect to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) scenes was primarily evident in controls rather than patients (Congruence × Group interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by comprehensibility), and reached significance only in controls (effect of congruence in midline and lateral planned comparisons by group). This positivity effect in controls was larger over centroparietal scalp regions (Congruence × Region interaction in midline and lateral planned comparisons by group) but was widespread and reached significance at several scalp regions (effect of congruence in midline planned comparisons by region). This positivity effect was attenuated in patients due to less positive ERPs to the less comprehensible

Table 3

*Estimates of Effect Size in Statistical Analyses Contrasting Influences of Video Congruence and Comprehensibility on the Event-Related Potentials Between Patient and Control Groups*

|  |                | Partial eta squared by time window of interest |            |              |
|--|----------------|--|------------|--------------|
| Analysis   | Contrast       | 225–325 ms                                     | 325–525 ms | 600–1,000 ms |
| Primary omnibus ANOVAs examining congruence effects          |                |  |            |              |
| Midline  | C              | .153   | .254       | .012         |
|  | C × R          | .111   | .158       | .341         |
|  | C × G          | .033   | .080       | .200         |
|  | C × R × G      | .002   | .008       | .099         |
| Lateral  | C              | .199   | .222       | .054         |
|  | C × R          | .187   | .245       | .477         |
|  | C × G          | .031   | .079       | .203         |
|  | C × R × G      | .002   | .015       | .143         |
| Secondary omnibus ANOVAs examining comprehensibility effects |                |  |            |              |
| Midline  | Cm × C         | .005   | .093       | .172         |
|  | Cm × C × R     | .024   | .013       | .039         |
|  | Cm × C × G     | .063   | .159       | .104         |
|  | Cm × C × R × G | .171   | .152       | .038         |
| Lateral  | Cm × C         | .000   | .045       | .135         |
|  | Cm × C × R     | .012   | .006       | .001         |
|  | Cm × C × G     | .036   | .127       | .053         |
|  | Cm × C × R × G | .163   | .158       | .004         |

*Note.* ANOVA = analysis of variance; C = main effect of congruence; C × E = Congruence × Electrode interaction; C × G = Congruence × Group interaction; C × H = Congruence × Hemisphere interaction; C × R = Congruence × Region interaction; C × R × G = Congruence × Region × Group interaction; Cm × C = Comprehensibility × Congruence interaction; Cm × C × G = Comprehensibility × Congruence × Group interaction; Cm × C × R = Comprehensibility × Congruence × Region interaction; Cm × C × R × G = Comprehensibility × Congruence × Region × Group interaction.

incongruous video endings (effect of group in midline and lateral planned comparisons by congruence); ERPs were not different between the participant groups in the congruous condition ( $p > .1$ ).

#### *Correlations Between ERP, Clinical, and Behavioral Measures*

In patients, the disorganization factor score correlated negatively both with the N300 ( $r = -.512, p < .05$ ) and N400 effects ( $r =$

$-.645, p < .01$ ) to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings: The higher the disorganization score, the larger (more negative) the N300 and N400 effects (Figure 5A illustrates this relationship for the N400 effect). These results appeared to stem primarily from increased priming (reduced, less negative N300/N400) in the congruous condition: The disorganization score correlated with the N300 and N400 amplitudes evoked to congruous ( $r = .765, p < .01$ , and  $r = .578, p < .02$ , respectively) but not to incongruous video clips ( $r = .289, p > .1$ , and  $r = .133, p > .1$ , respectively). The disorganization factor did not significantly correlate with the P600 effect ( $r = -.457, p > .07$ ; note that this trend toward a correlation apparently stemmed from the ERP response in the congruous condition, where there was a correlation trend with the disorganization score,  $r = .470, p = .07$ ; this pattern is distinct from the analyses between the P600 effect and impersistence at school or work; see below).

Patients' score on impersistence at school or work was correlated negatively with the P600 effect to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings ( $r = -.508, p < .05$ ): The higher the impersistence score, the smaller (less positive) the P600 effect—see Figure 5B. This correlation appeared to stem primarily from the P600 response in the incongruous condition ( $r = -.457, p = .07$ ) rather than the P600 response in the congruous condition ( $r = .078, p = .77$ ). A similar pattern of results was also observed in the secondary analysis with the P600 effect to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) final scenes: Impersistence at school or work correlated negatively with the P600 effect ( $r = -.604, p < .02$ ; see Figure 5C) and with the P600 response in the incongruous ( $r = -.510, p < .05$ ) but not congruous condition ( $r = .146, p > .1$ ). Impersistence at school or work was not correlated with the N300 and N400 effects ( $r = -.380, p > .1$ , and  $r = -.104, p > .1$ , respectively).

Table 4

*Results of Planned Statistical Comparisons Parsing Between-Groups Differences in the Event-Related Potentials Evoked by Congruous and Incongruous Video Endings in the 600–1,000-Ms Time Window*

| Analysis  | F value              |                         |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|           | Controls: C contrast | Incongruous: G contrast |
| Midline   |                      |                         |
| Frontal   |                      | 8.503**                 |
| Central   | 6.646*               | 15.312**                |
| Parietal  | 11.543**             | 16.019**                |
| Occipital | 14.971**             |                         |
| Lateral   |                      |                         |
| Parietal  | 18.827**             | 11.091**                |

*Note.* Controls: C contrast = effect of congruence within the healthy control group, obtained in planned comparisons by group (degrees of freedom = 1, 15); Incongruous: G contrast = effect of group for the incongruous video condition, obtained in planned comparisons by congruence (degrees of freedom = 1, 30).

\*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ .




Figure 4. Event-related potentials (ERPs) time-locked to the more comprehensible incongruous final video scenes compared to ERPs time-locked to corresponding congruous final scenes in control and patient groups (A), and ERPs time-locked to the less comprehensible incongruous final video scenes compared to ERPs time-locked to corresponding congruous final scenes in control and patient groups (B). Shown are waveforms at representative electrode sites. Electrode placements: The adscript z identifies midline locations. FP = frontopolar; F = frontal; C = central; P = parietal; O = occipital.

The above N400 and P600 correlations were highly selective. Correlations were not significant between these ERP effects and scores on the reality distortion ( $r = .264, p > .1$ , and  $r = .171, p > .1$ , respectively) and poverty symptoms factors ( $r = .286, p > .1$ , and  $r = .210, p > .1$ , respectively). Correlations were also not significant with the SANS global ratings of avolition–apathy ( $r = .165, p > .1$ , and  $r = .224, p > .1$ , respectively) and anhedonia–asociality ( $r = .319, p > .1$ , and  $r = -.023, p > .1$ , respectively) and the BPRS composite score on the anxiety–depression factor ( $r = .342, p > .1$ , and  $r = .216, p > .1$ , respectively).

Finally, in both participant groups, accuracy in classifying the videos as congruous or incongruous was not correlated with the N400 and P600 ERP effects (controls:  $r = .121, p > .1$ , and  $r = -.047, p > .1$ , respectively; patients:  $r = -.144, p > .1$ , and  $r = .208, p > .1$ , respectively). This behavioral accuracy also did not correlate with patients' scores on the disorganization factor and impersistence at school or work ( $r = .119, p > .1$ , and  $r = -.336, p > .1$ , respectively).

### Discussion

The current study presents evidence for specific neurocognitive abnormalities that may underlie dysfunctional conceptual processing in schizophrenia during comprehension of complex, naturalistic goal-directed behaviors presented in video clips. The video clips ended either with a congruous or an incongruous final scene.

When comprehending the incongruous scenes, increased demands on the neurocognitive process mapping the input on graded semantic memory networks were assumed to modulate the N400, and increased demands on the neurocognitive process integrating perceived actions and entities based on goal-related requirements were assumed to modulate the P600. As similar neurocognitive mechanisms mediating conceptual processes/representations may be utilized in both comprehension and execution of real-world behaviors (Humphreys & Forde, 1998; Rizzolatti et al., 2001; Ruby et al., 2002), we reasoned that abnormalities revealed during comprehension might help to understand patients' clinical behavioral symptoms. The results revealed that in patients with schizophrenia, increased N400 priming was correlated with the severity of disorganization symptoms, assessed using a composite score on a subset of the SAPS, SANS, and BPRS ratings: The more severe the disorganization, the smaller (less negative) the N400 to congruous scenes, and the larger the N400 effect to incongruous (vs. congruous) scenes. In addition, the P600 to incongruous video endings and the P600 effect to incongruous (vs. congruous) endings were strongly attenuated in patients relative to healthy controls. In patients, the P600 effect was inversely correlated with the severity of goal-directed behavior deficits, assessed using the SANS score of impersistence at school or work: The more impaired the goal-directed behavior, the smaller (less positive) the P600 effect. Finally, a secondary analysis revealed that neurocog-

Table 5

*Results of Statistical Analyses Contrasting Event-Related Potential Effects Evoked by the More and Less Comprehensible Incongruous (Vs. Congruous) Video Endings Between Patient and Control Groups in the 225–325-Ms and 325–525-Ms Time Windows*

| Analysis   | Contrast                            | df     | F value by time window of interest |            |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|------------|
|  |                                     |        | 225–325 ms                         | 325–525 ms |
| Midline  |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Omnibus: interactions involving comprehensibility and congruence | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ G            | 1, 30  |                                    | 5.692*     |
|  | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ R $\times$ G | 4, 120 | 6.167**                            | 5.357**    |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Anterior-frontal   | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ G            | 1, 30  | 8.238**                            | 10.029**   |
| Planned comparisons by group                                     |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Controls   | Cm $\times$ C                       | 1, 15  |                                    | 11.113**   |
| Patients   | C                                   | 1, 15  |                                    | 5.202*     |
| Planned comparisons by comprehensibility                         |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| More comprehensible  | C                                   | 1, 30  |                                    | 11.681**   |
| Less comprehensible  | C $\times$ G                        | 1, 30  | 4.507*                             | 5.824*     |
| Planned comparisons by congruence                                |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Incongruous  | G                                   | 1, 30  |                                    | 4.924*     |
| Frontal  | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ G            | 1, 30  |                                    | 7.325**    |
| Planned comparisons by group                                     |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Controls   | Cm $\times$ C                       | 1, 15  |                                    | 6.206*     |
| Patients   | C                                   | 1, 15  |                                    | 16.239**   |
| Planned comparisons by comprehensibility                         |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| More comprehensible  | C                                   | 1, 30  |                                    | 15.023**   |
| Less comprehensible  | C $\times$ G                        | 1, 30  |                                    | 9.437**    |
| Planned comparisons by congruence                                |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Incongruous  | G                                   | 1, 30  |                                    | 5.361*     |
| Lateral  |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Omnibus: interactions involving comprehensibility and congruence | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ G            | 1, 30  |                                    | 4.363*     |
|  | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ R $\times$ G | 1, 30  | 5.834*                             | 5.642*     |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Frontal  | Cm $\times$ C $\times$ G            | 1, 30  |                                    | 7.884**    |
| Planned comparisons by group                                     |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| Controls   | Cm $\times$ C                       | 1, 15  |                                    | 6.919*     |
| Patients   | C                                   | 1, 15  |                                    | 16.325**   |
| Planned comparisons by comprehensibility                         |                                     |        |                                    |            |
| More comprehensible  | C                                   | 1, 30  |                                    | 13.214**   |
| Less comprehensible  | C $\times$ G                        | 1, 30  |                                    | 8.321**    |

*Note.* C = effect of congruence; C  $\times$  G = Congruence  $\times$  Group interaction; Cm  $\times$  C = Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruous interaction; Cm  $\times$  C  $\times$  G = Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruous  $\times$  Group interaction; Cm  $\times$  C  $\times$  R  $\times$  G = Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruous  $\times$  Region  $\times$  Group interaction; df = degrees of freedom; G = effect of group.

\*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ .

nitive abnormalities in schizophrenia were especially pronounced during viewing incongruous scenes that were harder to make sense of based on the goal-related action requirements. The less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) scenes evoked a P600 effect in controls, but an N400 effect in patients.

The N400 effect to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings was evident in patients with schizophrenia. Taken together with similar earlier reports in language comprehension (e.g., Grillon, Ameli, & Glazer, 1991; Iakimova et al., 2005; Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2006; Niznikiewicz et al., 1997; Sitnikova et al., 2002), this suggests that, in general, patients are able to use their real-world knowledge, accessed from graded semantic memory networks, in comprehension. Interestingly, we also found no evidence for any delay of this processing in schizophrenia: The negative-going ERP effect to the incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings was not different between controls and patients even in the earlier N300 time window.<sup>6</sup> However, our study sample was relatively small (16 patients and 16

controls), and this might have prevented us from detecting certain more subtle between-groups differences.

Within the patient group, the relationship between the severity of disorganization symptoms and an increased N400 priming during real-world comprehension extends previous findings linking positive thought disorder with abnormally enhanced reaction time and N400 modulation to verbal targets in the priming paradigms

<sup>6</sup> In fact, patients with schizophrenia evoked an abnormally increased N300 effect to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings. Federmeier and Kutas (2001) suggested that the N300 may be modulated by visual-feature overlap between eliciting and expected pictures of objects. The incongruous target objects in our videos might have lacked visual-feature overlap with the expected target objects, especially in the less comprehensible condition. Patients might have inappropriately attempted to map these target objects on image-specific graded representational networks.

Table 6

*Results of Statistical Analyses Contrasting Event-Related Potential Effects Evoked by the More and Less Comprehensible Incongruous (Vs. Congruous) Video Endings Between Patient and Control Groups in the 600–1,000-Ms Time Window*

| Analysis   | Contrast      | df     | F value  |
|--|---------------|--------|----------|
| Midline  |               |        |          |
| Omnibus: interactions involving comprehensibility and congruence | Cm $\times$ C | 1, 30  | 6.249*   |
| Planned comparisons by comprehensibility                         |               |        |          |
| More comprehensible  | C $\times$ R  | 4, 120 | 9.670**  |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |               |        |          |
| Anterior-frontal   | C             | 1, 30  | 15.859** |
| Frontal  | C             | 1, 30  | 6.508*   |
| Less comprehensible  | C $\times$ G  | 1, 30  | 10.550** |
| Planned comparisons by group                                     |               |        |          |
| Controls   | C             | 1, 15  | 17.076** |
| C $\times$ R   |               | 4, 60  | 10.509** |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |               |        |          |
| Frontal  | C             | 1, 15  | 9.241**  |
| Central  | C             | 1, 15  | 15.175** |
| Parietal   | C             | 1, 15  | 29.221** |
| Occipital  | C             | 1, 15  | 31.529** |
| Planned comparisons by congruence level                          |               |        |          |
| Incongruous  | G             | 1, 30  | 13.475** |
| G $\times$ R   |               | 4, 120 | 6.537**  |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |               |        |          |
| Frontal  | G             | 1, 30  | 10.446** |
| Central  | G             | 1, 30  | 18.508** |
| Parietal   | G             | 1, 30  | 20.598** |
| Occipital  | G             | 1, 30  | 6.951*   |
| Lateral  |               |        |          |
| Omnibus: interactions involving comprehensibility and congruence | Cm $\times$ C | 1, 30  | 4.687*   |
| Planned comparisons by comprehensibility level                   |               |        |          |
| More comprehensible  | C $\times$ R  | 1, 30  | 12.984** |
| Less comprehensible  | C $\times$ G  | 1, 30  | 8.302**  |
| Planned comparisons by group                                     |               |        |          |
| Controls   | C             | 1, 15  | 15.534** |
| C $\times$ R   |               | 1, 15  | 22.967** |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |               |        |          |
| Parietal   | C             | 1, 15  | 29.114** |
| Planned comparisons by congruence level                          |               |        |          |
| Incongruous  | G             | 1, 30  | 9.131**  |
| G $\times$ R   |               | 1, 30  | 5.549*   |
| Planned comparisons by region                                    |               |        |          |
| Parietal   | C             | 1, 30  | 13.988** |

Note. C = effect of congruence; C  $\times$  G = Congruence  $\times$  Group interaction; C  $\times$  R = Congruence  $\times$  Region interaction; Cm  $\times$  C = Comprehensibility  $\times$  Congruence interaction; df = degrees of freedom; G = effect of group; G  $\times$  R = Group  $\times$  Region interaction.

\*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ .

(e.g., Kreher et al., 2008; Kwapil et al., 1990; Mathalon et al., 2002; Moritz et al., 2003; Spitzer, Braun, Maier, et al., 1993). Consistent with many of these previous hyperpriming reports, the N400 attenuation to the congruous video endings did not significantly differ between the overall group with schizophrenia and healthy controls but rather was linked specifically to disorganization symptomatology. Just as with priming paradigms with short context–target asynchronies, videos that deliver rapid and naturalistic sequences of images may automatically engage processing within the semantic memory networks. Taken together, these findings suggest that in disorganized patients, increased automatic activity within semantic memory networks may abnormally influence function both in the verbal and nonverbal domains. In everyday behavior, this hyperactivity might lead to intrusions into the performed activities of goal-inappropriate but semantically related actions or entities (Andreasen, 1984b).

It is unlikely that the reduced P600 modulation in patients with schizophrenia was related to a general lack of attention to the content of the videos because accuracy in judging congruence of each scenario was comparable between patients and controls. The lack-of-attention account is also unlikely given that the N400 modulation to incongruous (vs. congruous) target stimuli, known to be influenced by depth of conceptual processing (Bentin, Kutas, & Hillyard, 1993; Chwilla, Brown, & Hagoort, 1995), was not reduced in patients relative to controls (in both our main and secondary analyses).

The abnormally reduced P600 effect to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings in patients was due to a smaller P600 to the incongruous video endings, suggesting underrecruitment of goal-related requirements for integration between actions and entities during real-world comprehension. Moreover, the abnormally reduced P600 effect but increased N400 effect to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) scenes in the group with




Figure 5. Scatterplots showing relationships in the patient group of the disorganization factor scores to the N400 effect evoked to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings (A) and of the impersistence at work or school factor to the P600 effect evoked to incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings (B) and to the P600 effect evoked to the less comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings (C). Mean voltage differences in each time window of interest were averaged across three electrode sites in the frontal midline region to quantify the N300 and the N400 effects and in the parietal midline region to quantify the P600 effect.

schizophrenia indicate that, unlike healthy controls who attempted adaptive integration of these difficult-to-comprehend videos based on goal-related requirements, patients might have inappropriately relied on the more rigid mapping of these scenes on the graded semantic networks.

The association between the severity of patients' impersistence at work or school and the reduced P600 modulation supports our hypothesis that goal-directed behavior deficits in schizophrenia may arise from impaired use of goal-related requirements to flexibly and effectively combine objects and actions. Nonetheless, patients' scores on the SANS global avolition–apathy scale were not correlated with the P600 effect in our video paradigm, possibly because using goal-related requirements may be more important for complex real-life activities, such as school or work tasks, than for basic personal hygiene routines that also are assessed by the SANS global avolition–apathy scale. These findings are interesting, but they should be treated with caution due to limited reliability of the SANS individual ratings. In future studies, it will be important to reexamine this result by using more comprehensive assessment methods of avolition–apathy symptoms (e.g., the Apathy Evaluation Scale; Kiang et al., 2003) and patients' functional capacity on real-world tasks (e.g., the UCSD Performance-Based Skills Assessment; Patterson, Goldman, McKibbin, Hughs, & Jeste, 2001). It will also be essential to directly test the relationship between the video P600 and abilities of patients with schizophrenia to design unconventional actions with real objects, such as to cut a cake with a tape measure. Previously, standardized tests of instrumental behaviors simulated in the clinic have proven effective in elucidating how neurocognition contributes to the capacity of patients to function in real-world community settings, in the absence of such intervening variables as social cognition or employment rates (Green, 2007).

The P600 effect in healthy participants evoked in the present study is in line with previous reports of a P600 to linguistically described incompatible action–entity combinations (e.g., Kim & Osterhout, 2005; Kolk et al., 2003; Kuperberg, Kreher, et al., 2007; Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2003; van Herten et al., 2006). Interestingly, combinations of subject nouns and verbs that were easier to integrate based on goal-related action requirements (e.g., “The paragraph would write”—the paragraph does not fulfill the requirements for the entity that can write, but it fulfills the requirements for the entity that can be written) evoked a smaller P600

effect than combinations that were harder to integrate (e.g., “The desk would write”—the desk does not fulfill the requirements for either the entity that can write or the entity that can be written; Kuperberg, Caplan, et al., 2006; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008). Similarly, in the present video study, healthy controls evoked a smaller P600 effect when it was easier to interpret the goal of the conveyed action given the properties of the used target object (e.g., moving an electric iron across a loaf of bread—the iron fits the requirements for the entity that can warm up or defrost the bread) than when the goals were less comprehensible<sup>7</sup> (e.g., moving a dinner fork across a pair of pants on an ironing board). These results provide evidence that in both the verbal and nonverbal domains, a neurocognitive process integrating entities and actions based on goal-related requirements may be reflected by the P600. It is to be determined in future studies whether this processing is distinct from that reflected by the P600 previously reported in sentences with syntactic ambiguities (e.g., Osterhout et al., 1994) or errors (e.g., Osterhout, McLaughlin, & Bersick, 1997).

<sup>7</sup> In healthy controls, the more comprehensible incongruous (vs. congruous) video endings evoked a larger N400 effect than the less comprehensible incongruous endings. This pattern of ERPs evoked by controls in our video paradigm is comparable to that previously evoked in a similar language comprehension paradigm in healthy participants (Kuperberg, Kreher, et al., 2007). Verbs that were semantically unrelated to the preceding sentence context evoked a larger N400 and a smaller P600 when they described possible actions (e.g., “To make the dinner more romantic the hostess had *shaved*”), compared to when they described impossible actions (e.g., “To make the dinner more romantic the table had *shaved*”). It is likely that during comprehension, processes of mapping the target stimuli on the graded semantic memory networks (reflected by the N400) and evaluating the target stimuli against the goal-related action requirements (reflected by the P600) are engaged in parallel (the P600 effect may not be visible in the ERPs recorded from the scalp during the N400 time window due to a cancellation by the overlapping N400 effect of the opposite polarity, but see Bornkessel, Schlesewsky, & Friederici, 2002, 2003, for evidence that the action–entity integration based on semantic requirements may evoke ERP positivities with the onset by 200 ms after the stimulus presentation). Therefore, it is possible that considerable difficulties in using the goal-related action requirements to integrate stimuli such as our less comprehensible videos might lead to discontinuation of the mapping on the semantic memory networks, resulting in smaller N400 effects.

Some researchers have suggested that this syntactic P600 may reflect recovery of the sentence meaning based on both syntactic and semantic information (Friederici & Frisch, 2000; Kaan et al., 2000). For example, the syntactic processing may be a prerequisite to determining the relationships between the described actions and entities, and difficulties in this integration, arising either from syntactic or object–action semantic incompatibility errors, may be reflected by the P600. In schizophrenia, the P600 effect has been found to be abnormally attenuated to both linguistically described incompatible entity–action combinations (Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2006) and syntactic errors (Kuperberg, Sitnikova, et al., 2006; Ruchow et al., 2003). The present finding of the reduced P600 effect to video violations of goal-related requirements argues against the possibility that, in schizophrenia, the linguistic P600 is attenuated merely due to deficient syntactic processing.

It is interesting that the relationships between the disorganization and the N400 and between the negative symptom of goal-directed behavior deficits and the P600 were highly selective: The goal-directed behavior deficits were not correlated with the N400 priming, and the disorganization was not correlated with the P600 enhancement. This finding is consistent with previous studies that documented a segregation between disorganization and negative behavioral symptoms in schizophrenia (Andreasen, Arndt, Alliger, et al., 1995; Andreasen, Arndt, Miller, Flaum, & Nopoulos, 1995; John, Khanna, Thennarasu, & Reddy, 2003; Keefe et al., 1992; Liddle, 1987; Peralta & Cuesta, 1999). Thus, susceptibility mechanisms leading to these symptom types may be independent and may be related to abnormalities within distinct conceptual processing streams.

Could it be argued that the ERP positivity effect in the present video paradigm is similar in nature to another positive-going waveform, the P300, which is generally evoked by oddball stimuli (Donchin & Coles, 1988) and is commonly attenuated in schizophrenia (Ford et al., 1999)? We believe that this account is unlikely for several reasons. First, in healthy individuals, we have previously demonstrated that the P600 effect in videos is selectively evoked to violations of goal-related action requirements, rather than any type of task-relevant semantic anomaly (Sitnikova, 2003; Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova et al., 2003). Second, in healthy individuals, we have shown that, unlike the P300 (Picton, 1992; Polich, 1986), the P600 effect evoked to video violations of goal-related action requirements is not modulated by the behavioral task performed by participants (e.g., passive vs. active; Sitnikova, 2003; Sitnikova et al., 2003). Third, unlike the P300 that has previously been shown to nonspecifically correlate with both negative and positive schizophrenia symptoms (Frodl-Bauch, Gallinat, Meisenzahl, Moller, & Hegerl, 1999; Mathalon, Ford, & Pfefferbaum, 2000; Turetsky, Colbath, & Gur, 1998), the P600 effect in the present study did not correlate with any other symptoms besides the SANS impersistence at work or school.

Negative symptoms, such as goal-directed behavior deficits, are related to poor treatment success, posing a considerable burden to affected individuals and society at large (Poole et al., 1999; Sharma & Antonova, 2003; Velligan et al., 1997). The present results, linking these deficits to a specific abnormality in utilizing goal-related requirements of real-world actions, give some insights into the neurocognitive mechanism that may contribute to this poor outcome. Recent research in computational neuroscience suggests

that the neurobiological mechanisms specific to the prefrontal cortex (which support updating of maintenance contingent on the presence of a reward) may mediate self-organization of discrete, rulelike representations coded by patterns of activity (distinct sets of units with high synaptic weights, Rougier, Noelle, Braver, Cohen, & O'Reilly, 2005, rather than by changes in synaptic weights, which have been used to simulate graded connections within semantic memory networks, Hutchison, 2003). These prefrontal mechanisms might also support self-organization of patterns of activity coding minimal goal-related requirements of real-world actions. Specifically, through breadth of learning experience with actions that achieved or failed to achieve their goal (i.e., either coupled or not with a reward signal), these prefrontal mechanisms may identify the patterns of activity that have been present across all instances of achieving specific goals (Sitnikova, Holcomb, Kiyonaga, & Kuperberg, 2008; Sitnikova, Holcomb, & Kuperberg, 2008). Abnormalities of the prefrontal function that are a cardinal feature of schizophrenia (Barch, 2005; Braver, Barch, & Cohen, 1999; Manoach, 2003; Sitnikova & Kuperberg, 2007; Weinberger et al., 2001) may prevent the very formation of memory representations coding goal-related action requirements. As a result, improving the prefrontal function in treatment may not be sufficient but might need to be complemented by cognitive remediation covering a broad range of real-world activities so as to form the conceptual memory representations required for successful goal-directed behavior.

It is noteworthy that our schizophrenia sample was medicated. Unfortunately, unless dose regimen for administering medications is experimentally determined, statistical methods are largely ineffective at evaluating contributions of this potentially confounding variable (Blanchard & Neale, 1992). Therefore, in future studies, it will be critical to confirm our findings in drug-free patients with schizophrenia.

## Conclusions

To our knowledge, the current study is the first to provide evidence that a form of conceptual processing, distinct from activation and building up expectations within graded semantic memory networks, is impaired in schizophrenia. When comprehending real-world goal-directed activities, patients exhibited an underrecruitment of goal-related action requirements, as was indexed by the P600 ERP waveform, which tracked with deficits in goal-directed behavior in their lives. Our findings also suggest that during naturalistic real-world comprehension, patients with schizophrenia were able to engage semantic memory networks, as was indexed by the N400 (and earlier N300) ERP waveforms. In fact, in patients with disorganization symptomatology, this process might even be hyperactive.

## References

- Aloia, M. S., Gourovitch, M. L., Weinberger, D. R., & Goldberg, T. E. (1996). An investigation of semantic space in patients with schizophrenia. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 2, 267–273.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Andreasen, N. C. (1984a). *Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS)*. Iowa City: University of Iowa.

- Andreasen, N. C. (1984b). *Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS)*. Iowa City: University of Iowa.
- Andreasen, N. C., Arndt, S., Alliger, R., Miller, D., & Flaum, M. (1995). Symptoms of schizophrenia: Methods, meanings, and mechanisms. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 52, 341–351.
- Andreasen, N. C., Arndt, S., Miller, D., Flaum, M., & Nopoulos, P. (1995). Correlational studies of the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms and the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms: An overview and update. *Psychopathology*, 28, 7–17.
- Andrews, S., Shelley, A. M., Ward, P. B., Fox, A., Catts, S. V., & McConaghy, N. (1993). Event-related potential indices of semantic processing in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 34, 443–458.
- Barch, D. M. (2005). The cognitive neuroscience of schizophrenia. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 1, 321–353.
- Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., Braver, T. S., Sabb, F. W., MacDonald, A., III, Noll, D. C., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). Selective deficits in prefrontal cortex function in medication-naïve patients with schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 58, 280–288.
- Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., MacDonald, A. W., III, Braver, T. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2003). Context-processing deficits in schizophrenia: Diagnostic specificity, 4-week course, and relationships to clinical symptoms. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 112, 132–143.
- Barch, D. M., Cohen, J. D., Servan-Schreiber, D., Steingard, S., Steinhauer, S. S., & van Kammen, D. P. (1996). Semantic priming in schizophrenia: An examination of spreading activation using word pronunciation and multiple SOAs. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 105, 592–601.
- Barrett, S. E., & Rugg, M. D. (1990). Event-related potentials and the semantic matching of pictures. *Brain and Cognition*, 14, 201–212.
- Bedny, M., & Thompson-Schill, S. L. (2006). Neuroanatomically separable effects of imageability and grammatical class during single-word comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 98, 127–139.
- Bentin, S., Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1993). Electrophysiological evidence for task effects on semantic priming in auditory word processing. *Psychophysiology*, 30, 161–169.
- Blair, J. R., & Spreen, O. (1989). Predicting premorbid IQ: A revision of the National Adult Reading Test. *Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 3, 129–136.
- Blanchard, J. J., & Neale, J. M. (1992). Medication effects: Conceptual and methodological issues in schizophrenia research. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 12, 345–361.
- Blondin, F., & Lepage, M. (2005). Decrease and increase in brain activity during visual perceptual priming: An fMRI study on similar but perceptually different complex visual scenes. *Neuropsychologia*, 43, 1887–1900.
- Bobker L. R., & Marinis L. (1973). *Making movies: From script to screen*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Bornkessel, I., Schlesewsky, M., & Friederici, A. D. (2002). Beyond syntax: Language-related positivities reflect the revision of hierarchies. *NeuroReport*, 13, 361–364.
- Bornkessel, I., Schlesewsky, M., & Friederici, A. D. (2003). Eliciting thematic reanalysis effects: The role of syntax-independent information during parsing. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 18, 269–298.
- Bower, G. H., Black, J. B., & Turner, T. J. (1979). Scripts in memory for text. *Cognitive Psychology*, 11, 177–220.
- Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., & Cohen, J. D. (1999). Cognition and control in schizophrenia: A computational model of dopamine and prefrontal function. *Biological Psychiatry*, 46, 312–328.
- Brekke, J. S., DeBonis, J. A., & Graham, J. W. (1994). A latent structure analysis of the positive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 35, 252–259.
- Brewer, W. F., & Dupree, D. A. (1983). Use of plan schemata in the recall and recognition of goal-directed actions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 9, 117–129.
- Cardillo, E. R., Aydelott, J., Matthews, P. M., & Devlin, J. T. (2004). Left inferior prefrontal cortex activity reflects inhibitory rather than facilitatory priming. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 16, 1552–1561.
- Chang, T. (1996). Semantic memory: Facts and models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 99, 199–220.
- Chao, L. L., Haxby, J. V., & Martin, A. (1999). Attribute-based neural substrates in temporal cortex for perceiving and knowing about objects. *Nature Neuroscience*, 2, 913–919.
- Chao, L. L., & Martin, A. (2000). Representation of manipulable man-made objects in the dorsal stream. *NeuroImage*, 12, 478–484.
- Chwilla, D. J., Brown, C. M., & Hagoort, P. (1995). The N400 as a function of the level of processing. *Psychophysiology*, 32, 274–285.
- Cirino, P. T., Chin, C. E., Sevcik, R. A., Wolf, M., Lovett, M., & Morris, R. D. (2002). Measuring socioeconomic status: Reliability and preliminary validity for different approaches. *Assessment*, 9, 145–155.
- Cohen, J. D., Barch, D. M., Carter, C., & Servan-Schreiber, D. (1999). Context-processing deficits in schizophrenia: Converging evidence from three theoretically motivated cognitive tasks. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 108, 120–133.
- Cohen, J. D., & Servan-Schreiber, D. (1992). Context, cortex, and dopamine: A connectionist approach to behavior and biology in schizophrenia. *Psychological Review*, 99, 45–77.
- Condray, R., Siegle, G. J., Cohen, J. D., van Kammen, D. P., & Steinhauer, S. R. (2003). Automatic activation of the semantic network in schizophrenia: Evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Biological Psychiatry*, 54, 1134–1148.
- Donchin, E., & Coles, M. G. H. (1988). Is the P300 component a manifestation of context updating? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 11, 355–372.
- Elvevag, B., Foltz, P. W., Weinberger, D. R., & Goldberg, T. E. (2007). Quantifying incoherence in speech: An automated methodology and novel application to schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 93, 304–316.
- Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (1999). A rose by any other name: Long-term memory structure and sentence processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 41, 469–495.
- Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (2001). Meaning and modality: Influences of context, semantic memory organization, and perceptual predictability on picture processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 27, 202–224.
- Ferretti, T., McRae, K., & Hatherell, A. (2001). Integrating verbs, situation schemas, and thematic role concepts. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 44, 516–547.
- First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Miriam, G., & Williams, J. B. W. (2002a). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Non-Patient Edition (SCID-I/NP)*. New York: New York State Psychiatric Institute, Biometrics Research.
- First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Miriam, G., & Williams, J. B. W. (2002b). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Patient Edition (SCID-I/P)*. New York: New York State Psychiatric Institute, Biometrics Research.
- Fischler, I. S., & Bloom, P. A. (1985). Effects of constraint and validity of sentence contexts on lexical decisions. *Memory & Cognition*, 13, 128–139.
- Ford, J. M., Mathalon, D. H., Marsh, L., Faustman, W. O., Harris, D., Hoff, A. L., et al. (1999). P300 amplitude is related to clinical state in severely and moderately ill patients with schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 46, 94–101.
- Freedman, D. J., Riesenhuber, M., Poggio, T., & Miller, E. K. (2001, January 19). Categorical representation of visual stimuli in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Science*, 291, 312–316.
- Freedman, D. J., Riesenhuber, M., Poggio, T., & Miller, E. K. (2002). Visual categorization and the primate prefrontal cortex: Neurophysiology and behavior. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 88, 929–941.
- Freedman, D. J., Riesenhuber, M., Poggio, T., & Miller, E. K. (2003). A

- comparison of primate prefrontal and inferior temporal cortices during visual categorization. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23, 5235–5246.
- Friederici, A. D. (1995). The time course of syntactic activation during language processing: A model based on neuropsychological and neurophysiological data. *Brain and Language*, 50, 259–281.
- Friederici, A. D., & Frisch, S. (2000). Verb argument structure processing: The role of verb-specific and argument-specific information. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 43, 476–507.
- Friederici, A. D., Ruschmeyer, S. A., Hahne, A., & Fiebach, C. J. (2003). The role of left inferior frontal and superior temporal cortex in sentence comprehension: Localizing syntactic and semantic processes. *Cerebral Cortex*, 13, 170–177.
- Frodl-Bauch, T., Gallinat, J., Meisenzahl, E. M., Moller, H. J., & Hegerl, U. (1999). P300 subcomponents reflect different aspects of psychopathology in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 45, 116–126.
- Ganis, G., Kutas, M., & Sereno, M. I. (1996). The search for “common sense”: An electrophysiological study of the comprehension of words and pictures in reading. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 8, 89–106.
- Geisser, S., & Greenhouse, S. (1959). On methods in the analysis of profile data. *Psychometrika*, 24, 95–112.
- Giesbrecht, B., Camblin, C. C., & Swaab, T. Y. (2004). Separable effects of semantic priming and imageability on word processing in human cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14, 521–529.
- Godbout, L., Limoges, F., Allard, I., Braun, C. M., & Stip, E. (2007). Neuropsychological and activity of daily living script performance in patients with positive or negative schizophrenia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 48, 293–302.
- Gold, J. M., Waltz, J. A., Prentice, K. J., Morris, S. E., & Heerey, E. A. (2008). Reward processing in schizophrenia: A deficit in the representation of value. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 34, 835–847.
- Goldberg, T. E., & Weinberger, D. R. (1995). Thought disorder, working memory and attention: Interrelationships and the effects of neuroleptic medications. *International Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 10(Suppl. 3), 99–104.
- Grabowski, T. J., Damasio, H., & Damasio, A. R. (1998). Premotor and prefrontal correlates of category-related lexical retrieval. *NeuroImage*, 7, 232–243.
- Grafton, S. T., Fadiga, L., Arbib, M. A., & Rizzolatti, G. (1997). Premotor cortex activation during observation and naming of familiar tools. *NeuroImage*, 6, 231–236.
- Green, M. F. (2007). Cognition, drug treatment, and functional outcome in schizophrenia: A tale of two transitions. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 992–994.
- Grillon, C., Ameli, R., & Glazer, W. M. (1991). N400 and semantic categorization in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 29, 467–480.
- Gur, R. E., Mozley, P. D., Resnick, S. M., Levick, S., Erwin, R., Saykin, A. J., & Gur, R. C. (1991). Relations among clinical scales in schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 148, 472–478.
- Guy, W. (1976). *ECDEU assessment manual for psychopharmacology*. Rockville, MD: National Institute of Mental Health.
- Han, S. D., Nestor, P. G., Hale-Spencer, M., Cohen, A., Niznikiewicz, M., McCarley, R. W., & Wible, C. G. (2007). Functional neuroimaging of word priming in males with chronic schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*, 35, 273–282.
- Harvey, P. D., Davidson, M., White, L., Keefe, R. S., Hirschowitz, J., Mohs, R. C., & Davis, K. L. (1996). Empirical evaluation of the factorial structure of clinical symptoms in schizophrenia: Effects of typical neuroleptics on the brief psychiatric rating scale. *Biological Psychiatry*, 40, 755–760.
- Hoeks, J. C., Stowe, L. A., & Doedens, G. (2004). Seeing words in context: The interaction of lexical and sentence level information during reading. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 19, 59–73.
- Holmes, A. J., MacDonald, A., III, Carter, C. S., Barch, D. M., Andrew Stenger, V., & Cohen, J. D. (2005). Prefrontal functioning during context processing in schizophrenia and major depression: An event-related fMRI study. *Schizophrenia Research*, 76, 199–206.
- Humphreys, G. W., & Forde, E. M. E. (1998). Disordered action schema and action disorganization syndrome. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 15, 771–811.
- Hutchison, K. A. (2003). Is semantic priming due to association strength or feature overlap? A microanalytic review. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 10, 785–813.
- Iakimova, G., Passerieux, C., Laurent, J. P., & Hardy-Bayle, M. C. (2005). ERPs of metaphoric, literal, and incongruous semantic processing in schizophrenia. *Psychophysiology*, 42, 380–390.
- John, J. P., Khanna, S., Thennarasu, K., & Reddy, S. (2003). Exploration of dimensions of psychopathology in neuroleptic-naïve patients with recent-onset schizophrenia/schizophreniform disorder. *Psychiatry Research*, 121, 11–20.
- Kaan, E., Harris, A., Gibson, E., & Holcomb, P. (2000). The P600 as an index of syntactic integration difficulty. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 15, 159–201.
- Kamide, Y., Altmann, G. T. M., & Haywood, S. (2004). The time-course of constraint-application during sentence processing in visual contexts: Anticipatory eye-movements in English and Japanese. In M. Tanenhaus & J. Trueswell (Eds.), *World situated language use: Psycholinguistic, linguistic and computational perspectives on bridging the product and action traditions* (pp. 229–243). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Keefe, R. S., Harvey, P. D., Lenzenweger, M. F., Davidson, M., Apter, S. H., Schmeidler, J., et al. (1992). Empirical assessment of the factorial structure of clinical symptoms in schizophrenia: Negative symptoms. *Psychiatry Research*, 44, 153–165.
- Kemmerer, D., Castillo, J. G., Talavage, T., Patterson, S., & Wiley, C. (2008). Neuroanatomical distribution of five semantic components of verbs: Evidence from fMRI. *Brain and Language*, 107, 16–43.
- Kiang, M., Christensen, B. K., Remington, G., & Kapur, S. (2003). Apathy in schizophrenia: Clinical correlates and association with functional outcome. *Schizophrenia Research*, 63, 79–88.
- Kiang, M., Kutas, M., Light, G. A., & Braff, D. L. (2007). Electrophysiological insights into conceptual disorganization in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 92, 225–236.
- Kiang, M., Kutas, M., Light, G. A., & Braff, D. L. (2008). An event-related brain potential study of direct and indirect semantic priming in schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165, 74–81.
- Kim, A., & Osterhout, L. (2005). The independence of combinatory semantic processing: Evidence from event-related potentials. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 52, 205–225.
- Kolk, H. H., Chwilla, D. J., van Herten, M., & Oor, P. J. (2003). Structure and limited capacity in verbal working memory: A study with event-related potentials. *Brain and Language*, 85, 1–36.
- Kostova, M., Passerieux, C., Laurent, J. P., & Hardy-Bayle, M. C. (2005). N400 anomalies in schizophrenia are correlated with the severity of formal thought disorder. *Schizophrenia Research*, 78, 285–291.
- Kotz, S. A., Cappa, S. F., von Cramon, D. Y., & Friederici, A. D. (2002). Modulation of the lexical-semantic network by auditory semantic priming: An event-related functional MRI study. *NeuroImage*, 17, 1761–1772.
- Kreher, D. A., Holcomb, P. J., Goff, D., & Kuperberg, G. R. (2008). Neural evidence for faster and further automatic spreading activation in schizophrenic thought disorder. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 34, 473–482.
- Kuperberg, G. R. (2007). Neural mechanisms of language comprehension: Challenges to syntax. *Brain Research*, 1146, 23–49.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Caplan, D., Sitnikova, T., Eddy, M., & Holcomb, P. J. (2006). Neural correlates of processing syntactic, thematic and semantic relationships in sentences. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 21, 489–530.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Deckersbach, T., Holt, D. J., Goff, D., & West, W. C. (2007). Increased temporal and prefrontal activity in response to semantic

- tic associations in schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 64, 138–151.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Holcomb, P. J., Sitnikova, T., Greve, D., Dale, A. M., & Caplan, D. (2003). Distinct patterns of neural modulation during the processing of conceptual and syntactic anomalies. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 15, 272–293.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Kreher, D. A., Sitnikova, T., Caplan, D. N., & Holcomb, P. J. (2007). The role of animacy and thematic relationships in processing active English sentences: Evidence from event-related potentials. *Brain and Language*, 100, 223–237.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Sitnikova, T., Caplan, D., & Holcomb, P. J. (2003). Electrophysiological distinctions in processing conceptual relationships within simple sentences. *Brain Research: Cognitive Brain Research*, 17, 117–129.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Sitnikova, T., Goff, D., & Holcomb, P. J. (2006). Making sense of sentences in schizophrenia: Electrophysiological evidence for abnormal interactions between semantic and syntactic processing. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 115, 251–265.
- Kuperberg, G. R., Sitnikova, T., & Lakshmanan, B. M. (2008). Neuroanatomical distinctions within the semantic system during sentence comprehension: Evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging. *NeuroImage*, 40, 367–388.
- Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980a). Event-related brain potentials to semantically inappropriate and surprisingly large words. *Biological Psychology*, 11, 99–116.
- Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980b, January 11). Reading senseless sentences: Brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity. *Science*, 207, 203–205.
- Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1989). An electrophysiological probe of incidental semantic association. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 1, 38–49.
- Kwapil, T. R., Hegley, D. C., Chapman, L. J., & Chapman, J. P. (1990). Facilitation of word recognition by semantic priming in schizophrenia. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 99, 215–221.
- Levin, D. T., & Simons, D. J. (2000). Perceiving stability in a changing world: Combining shots and integrating views in motion pictures and the real world. *Media Psychology*, 2, 357–380.
- Levy, R., & Dubois, B. (2006). Apathy and the functional anatomy of the prefrontal cortex-basal ganglia circuits. *Cerebral Cortex*, 16, 916–928.
- Liddle, P. F. (1987). The symptoms of chronic schizophrenia: A re-examination of the positive-negative dichotomy. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 145–151.
- Maher, B. A. (1983). A tentative theory of schizophrenic utterance. *Progress in Experimental Personality Research*, 12, 1–52.
- Maher, B. A., Manschreck, T. C., Linnet, J., & Candela, S. (2005). Quantitative assessment of the frequency of normal associations in the utterances of schizophrenia patients and healthy controls. *Schizophrenia Research*, 78, 219–224.
- Manoach, D. S. (2003). Prefrontal cortex dysfunction during working memory performance in schizophrenia: Reconciling discrepant findings. *Schizophrenia Research*, 60, 285–298.
- Manschreck, T. C., Maher, B. A., Milavetz, J. J., Ames, D., Weisstein, C. C., & Schneyer, M. L. (1988). Semantic priming in thought-disordered schizophrenic patients. *Schizophrenia Research*, 1, 61–66.
- Martin, A., Wiggs, C. L., Ungerleider, L. G., & Haxby, J. V. (1996, February 15). Neural correlates of category-specific knowledge. *Nature*, 379, 649–652.
- Mathalon, D. H., Faustman, W. O., & Ford, J. M. (2002). N400 and automatic semantic processing abnormalities in patients with schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 59, 641–648.
- Mathalon, D. H., Ford, J. M., & Pfefferbaum, A. (2000). Trait and state aspects of P300 amplitude reduction in schizophrenia: A retrospective longitudinal study. *Biological Psychiatry*, 47, 434–449.
- McPherson, W. B., & Holcomb, P. J. (1999). An electrophysiological investigation of semantic priming with pictures of real objects. *Psychophysiology*, 36, 53–65.
- McRae, K., Hare, M., Elman, J. L., & Ferretti, T. (2005). A basis for generating expectancies for verbs from nouns. *Memory & Cognition*, 33, 1174–1184.
- McRae, K., Hare, M., Ferretti, T., & Elman, J. L. (2001). Activating verbs from typical agents, patients, instruments, and locations via event schemas. In J. D. Moore & K. Stenning (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 617–622). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Miller, E. K., Freedman, D. J., & Wallis, J. D. (2002). The prefrontal cortex: Categories, concepts and cognition. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences*, 357, 1123–1136.
- Miller, E. K., Nieder, A., Freedman, D. J., & Wallis, J. D. (2003). Neural correlates of categories and concepts. *Current Opinions in Neurobiology*, 13, 198–203.
- Minas, I. H., Klimidis, S., Stuart, G. W., Copolov, D. L., & Singh, B. S. (1994). Positive and negative symptoms in the psychoses: Principal components analysis of items from the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 35, 135–144.
- Moore, C. D., Cohen, M. X., & Ranganath, C. (2006). Neural mechanisms of expert skills in visual working memory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26, 11187–11196.
- Moore, C. J., & Price, C. J. (1999). A functional neuroimaging study of the variables that generate category-specific object processing differences. *Brain*, 122, 943–962.
- Moritz, S., Mersmann, K., Kloss, M., Jacobsen, D., Wilke, U., Andresen, B., et al. (2001). “Hyper-priming” in thought-disordered schizophrenic patients. *Psychological Medicine*, 31, 221–229.
- Moritz, S., Woodward, T. S., Kupperts, D., Lausen, A., & Schickel, M. (2003). Increased automatic spreading of activation in thought-disordered schizophrenic patients. *Schizophrenia Research*, 59, 181–186.
- Murray, L. J., & Ranganath, C. (2007). The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex contributes to successful relational memory encoding. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27, 5515–5522.
- Nestor, P. G., Kimble, M. O., O'Donnell, B. F., Smith, L., Niznikiewicz, M., Shenton, M. E., & McCarley, R. W. (1997). Aberrant semantic activation in schizophrenia: A neurophysiological study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 640–646.
- Newman, A. J., Pancheva, R., Ozawa, K., Neville, H. J., & Ullman, M. T. (2001). An event-related fMRI study of syntactic and semantic violations. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 30, 339–364.
- Ni, W., Constable, R. T., Mencl, W. E., Pugh, K. R., Fulbright, R. K., Shaywitz, S. E., et al. (2000). An event-related neuroimaging study distinguishing form and content in sentence processing. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 12, 120–133.
- Niznikiewicz, M. A., O'Donnell, B. F., Nestor, P. G., Smith, L., Law, S., Karapellou, M., et al. (1997). ERP assessment of visual and auditory language processing in schizophrenia. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 106, 85–94.
- Oldfield, R. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh Inventory. *Neuropsychologia*, 9, 97–113.
- Olichney, J. M., Iragui, V. J., Kutas, M., Nowacki, R., & Jeste, D. V. (1997). N400 abnormalities in late life schizophrenia and related psychoses. *Biological Psychiatry*, 42, 13–23.
- Osterhout, L., & Holcomb, P. J. (1992). Event-related potentials elicited by syntactic anomaly. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 785–806.
- Osterhout, L., Holcomb, P. J., & Swinney, D. A. (1994). Brain potentials elicited by garden-path sentences: Evidence of the application of verb information during parsing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 20, 786–803.

- Osterhout, L., McLaughlin, J., & Bersick, M. (1997). Event-related brain potentials and human language. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1, 203–209.
- Overall J. E. (1974). The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale in psychopharmacology research. In P. Pichot (Ed.), *Psychological measurements in psychopharmacology: Modern problems in pharmacopsychiatry* (pp. 267–301). Paris: Karger, Basel.
- Overall, J. E., & Klett, C. J. (1972). *Applied multivariate analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Paivio, A. (1986). *Mental representations: A dual coding approach*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Patterson, T. L., Goldman, S., McKibbin, C. L., Hughs, T., & Jeste, D. V. (2001). UCSD Performance-Based Skills Assessment: Development of a new measure of everyday functioning for severely mentally ill adults. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 27, 235–245.
- Peralta, V., & Cuesta, M. J. (1995). Negative symptoms in schizophrenia: A confirmatory factor analysis of competing models. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, 1450–1457.
- Peralta, V., & Cuesta, M. J. (1999). Dimensional structure of psychotic symptoms: An item-level analysis of SAPS and SANS symptoms in psychotic disorders. *Schizophrenia Research*, 38, 13–26.
- Phillips, M. R., Xiong, W., Wang, R. W., Gao, Y. H., Wang, X. Q., & Zhang, N. P. (1991). Reliability and validity of the Chinese versions of the Scales for Assessment of Positive and Negative Symptoms. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 84, 364–370.
- Picton, T. W. (1992). The P300 wave of the human event-related potential. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 9, 456–479.
- Polich, J. (1986). Attention, probability, and task demands as determinants of P300 latency from auditory stimuli. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 63, 251–259.
- Poole, J. H., Ober, B. A., Shenaut, G. K., & Vinogradov, S. (1999). Independent frontal-system deficits in schizophrenia: Cognitive, clinical, and adaptive implications. *Psychiatry Research*, 85, 161–176.
- Potter, M. C., & Faulconer, B. A. (1975, February 6). Time to understand pictures and words. *Nature*, 253, 437–438.
- Rempfer, M. V., Hamera, E. K., Brown, C. E., & Cromwell, R. L. (2003). The relations between cognition and the independent living skill of shopping in people with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research*, 117, 103–112.
- Rizzolatti, G., Fogassi, L., & Gallese, V. (2001). Neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the understanding and imitation of action. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2, 661–670.
- Rossell, S. L., Price, C. J., & Nobre, A. C. (2003). The anatomy and time course of semantic priming investigated by fMRI and ERPs. *Neuropsychologia*, 41, 550–564.
- Rougier, N. P., Noelle, D. C., Braver, T. S., Cohen, J. D., & O'Reilly, R. C. (2005). Prefrontal cortex and flexible cognitive control: Rules without symbols. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 102, 7338–7343.
- Ruby, P., Sirigu, A., & Decety, J. (2002). Distinct areas in parietal cortex involved in long-term and short-term action planning: A PET investigation. *Cortex*, 38, 321–339.
- Ruchow, M., Trippel, N., Groen, G., Spitzer, M., & Kiefer, M. (2003). Semantic and syntactic processes during sentence comprehension in patients with schizophrenia: Evidence from event-related potentials. *Schizophrenia Research*, 64, 147–156.
- Ruggeri, M., Koeter, M., Schene, A., Bonetto, C., Vazquez-Barquero, J. L., Becker, T., et al. (2005). Factor solution of the BPRS-Expanded Version in schizophrenic outpatients living in five European countries. *Schizophrenia Research*, 75, 107–117.
- Salisbury, D. F., O'Donnell, B. F., McCarley, R. W., Nestor, P. G., & Shenton, M. E. (2000). Event-related potentials elicited during a context-free homograph task in normal versus schizophrenic subjects. *Psychophysiology*, 37, 456–463.
- Salisbury, D. F., Shenton, M. E., Nestor, P. G., & McCarley, R. W. (2002). Semantic bias, homograph comprehension, and event-related potentials in schizophrenia. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 113, 383–395.
- Schutzwahl, M., Jarosz-Nowak, J., Briscoe, J., Szajowski, K., & Kallert, T. (2003). Inter-rater reliability of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale and the Groningen Social Disabilities Schedule in a European multi-site randomized controlled trial on the effectiveness of acute psychiatric day hospitals. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 12, 197–207.
- Sharma, T., & Antonova, L. (2003). Cognitive function in schizophrenia: Deficits, functional consequences, and future treatment. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 26, 25–40.
- Simons, J. S., Koutstaal, W., Prince, S., Wagner, A. D., & Schacter, D. L. (2003). Neural mechanisms of visual object priming: Evidence for perceptual and semantic distinctions in fusiform cortex. *NeuroImage*, 19, 613–626.
- Sitnikova, T. (2003). *Comprehension of videos of real-world events: Electrophysiological evidence*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Tufts University.
- Sitnikova, T., Holcomb, P. J., Kiyonaga, K. A., & Kuperberg, G. R. (2008). Two neurocognitive mechanisms of semantic integration during the comprehension of visual real-world events. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 20, 11–22.
- Sitnikova, T., Holcomb, P. J., & Kuperberg, G. R. (2008). Neurocognitive mechanisms of human comprehension. In T. F. Shipley & J. M. Zacks (Eds.), *Understanding events: From perception to action* (pp. 639–683). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sitnikova, T., & Kuperberg, G. R. (2007, March–April). *Time-course and neuroanatomy of abnormal real-world comprehension in schizophrenia*. Paper presented at the International Congress on Schizophrenia Research 2007, Colorado Springs, CO.
- Sitnikova, T., Kuperberg, G. R., & Holcomb, P. J. (2003). Semantic integration in videos of real-world events: An electrophysiological investigation. *Psychophysiology*, 40, 160–164.
- Sitnikova, T., Salisbury, D. F., Kuperberg, G. R., & Holcomb, P. I. (2002). Electrophysiological insights into language processing in schizophrenia. *Psychophysiology*, 39, 851–860.
- Sitnikova, T., West, W. C., Kuperberg, G. R., & Holcomb, P. J. (2006). The neural organization of semantic memory: Electrophysiological activity suggests feature-based segregation. *Biological Psychology*, 71, 326–340.
- Spitzer, M., Braun, U., Hermle, L., & Maier, S. (1993). Associative semantic network dysfunction in thought-disordered schizophrenic patients: Direct evidence from indirect semantic priming. *Biological Psychiatry*, 34, 864–877.
- Spitzer, M., Braun, U., Maier, S., Hermle, L., & Maher, B. A. (1993). Indirect semantic priming in schizophrenic patients. *Schizophrenia Research*, 11, 71–80.
- Spitzer, M., Weisker, I., Winter, M., Maier, S., Hermle, L., & Maher, B. A. (1994). Semantic and phonological priming in schizophrenia. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 103, 485–494.
- Stanovich, K. E., & West, R. F. (1983). On priming by a sentence context. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 112, 1–36.
- Thomas, V. S., Rockwood, K., & McDowell, I. (1998). Multidimensionality in instrumental and basic activities of daily living. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 51, 315–321.
- Thompson-Schill, S. L. (2003). Neuroimaging studies of semantic memory: Inferring “how” from “where.” *Neuropsychologia*, 41, 280–292.
- Titone, D., Holzman, P. S., & Levy, D. L. (2002). Idiom processing in schizophrenia: Literal implausibility saves the day for idiom priming. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 111, 313–320.
- Titone, D., Levy, D. L., & Holzman, P. S. (2000). Contextual insensitivity in schizophrenic language processing: Evidence from lexical ambiguity. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 109, 761–767.

- Toomey, R., Kremen, W. S., Simpson, J. C., Samson, J. A., Seidman, L. J., Lyons, M. J., et al. (1997). Revisiting the factor structure for positive and negative symptoms: Evidence from a large heterogeneous group of psychiatric patients. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 371–377.
- Turetsky, B., Colbath, E. A., & Gur, R. E. (1998). P300 subcomponent abnormalities in schizophrenia: II. Longitudinal stability and relationship to symptom change. *Biological Psychiatry*, 43, 31–39.
- Tyler, L. K., Stamatakis, E. A., Dick, E., Bright, P., Fletcher, P., & Moss, H. (2003). Objects and their actions: Evidence for a neurally distributed semantic system. *NeuroImage*, 18, 542–557.
- Vandenberghe, R., Price, C., Wise, R., Josephs, O., & Frackowiak, R. S. (1996, September 19). Functional anatomy of a common semantic system for words and pictures. *Nature*, 383, 254–256.
- Van der Does, A. J., Linszen, D. H., Dingemans, P. M., Nugter, M. A., & Scholte, W. F. (1993). A dimensional and categorical approach to the symptomatology of recent-onset schizophrenia. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 181, 744–749.
- van Herten, M., Chwilla, D. J., & Kolk, H. H. (2006). When heuristics clash with parsing routines: ERP evidence for conflict monitoring in sentence perception. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18, 1181–1197.
- van Herten, M., Kolk, H. H., & Chwilla, D. J. (2005). An ERP study of P600 effects elicited by semantic anomalies. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 22, 241–255.
- Van Petten, C., & Luka, B. J. (2006). Neural localization of semantic context effects in electromagnetic and hemodynamic studies. *Brain and Language*, 97, 279–293.
- van Reekum, R., Stuss, D. T., & Ostrander, L. (2005). Apathy: Why care? *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 17, 7–19.
- Velligan, D. I., Mahurin, R. K., Diamond, P. L., Hazleton, B. C., Eckert, S. L., & Miller, A. L. (1997). The functional significance of symptomatology and cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 25, 21–31.
- Ventura, J., Green, M. F., Shaner, A., & Liberman, R. P. (1993). Training and quality assurance with the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale: “The drift busters.” *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 3, 221–244.
- Vinogradov, S., Ober, B. A., & Shenaut, G. K. (1992). Semantic priming of word pronunciation and lexical decision in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 8, 171–181.
- Weinberger, D. R., Egan, M. F., Bertolino, A., Callicott, J. H., Mattay, V. S., Lipska, B. K., et al. (2001). Prefrontal neurons and the genetics of schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 50, 825–844.
- West, W. C., & Holcomb, P. J. (2002). Event-related potentials during discourse-level semantic integration of complex pictures. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 13, 363–375.
- Wheatley, T., Weisberg, J., Beauchamp, M. S., & Martin, A. (2005). Automatic priming of semantically related words reduces activity in the fusiform gyrus. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 17, 1871–1885.
- White, K., & Ashton, R. (1976). Handedness assessment inventory. *Neuropsychologia*, 14, 261–264.
- Yoon, J. H., Minzenberg, M. J., Ursu, S., Walters, R., Wendelken, C., Ragland, J. D., & Carter, C. S. (2008). Association of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex dysfunction with disrupted coordinated brain activity in schizophrenia: Relationship with impaired cognition, behavioral disorganization, and global function. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165, 1006–1014.
- Zacks, J. M., Speer, N. K., Swallow, K. M., Braver, T. S., & Reynolds, J. R. (2007). Event perception: A mind–brain perspective. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133, 273–293.

Received January 24, 2008

Revision received December 18, 2008

Accepted December 19, 2008 ■