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ABSTRACT

Objectives: In this study, we investigated the structural plasticity of the contralesional motor network in ischemic stroke patients using diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and explored a model that combines a MRI-based metric of contralesional network integrity and clinical data to predict functional outcome at 6 months after stroke.

Methods: MRI and clinical examinations were performed in 12 patients in the acute phase, at 1 and 6 months after stroke. Twelve age- and gender-matched controls underwent 2 MRIs 1 month apart. Structural remodeling after stroke was assessed using diffusion MRI with an automated measurement of generalized fractional anisotropy (GFA), which was calculated along connections between contralesional cortical motor areas. The predictive model of poststroke functional outcome was computed using a linear regression of acute GFA measures and the clinical assessment.

Results: GFA changes in the contralesional motor tracts were found in all patients and differed significantly from controls (0.001 ≤ p < 0.05). GFA changes in intrahemispheric and interhemispheric motor tracts correlated with age (p ≤ 0.01); those in intrahemispheric motor tracts correlated strongly with clinical scores and stroke sizes (p ≤ 0.001). GFA measured in the acute phase together with a routine motor score and age were a strong predictor of motor outcome at 6 months (r² = 0.96, p = 0.0002).

Conclusion: These findings represent a proof of principle that contralesional diffusion MRI measures may provide reliable information for personalized rehabilitation planning after ischemic motor stroke. Neurology® 2012;79:39-46

GLOSSARY

Cd = Cook distance; DSI = diffusion spectrum imaging; DTI = diffusion tensor imaging; FA = fractional anisotropy; FIM = Functional Independence Measure; FOV = field of view; GFA = generalized fractional anisotropy; GLM = general linear model; M1 = primary motor area; MANOVA = multivariate analysis of variance; MPRAGE = magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS = NIH Stroke Scale; PMd = dorsal premotor area; PMv = ventral premotor area; TE = echo time; tp = time point; TR = repetition time.

Neuroimaging studies have provided an invaluable window into poststroke recovery human brain plasticity and shown that both contralateral cortical networks and contralesional descending pathways remodel after stroke.1-11

In the 1990s, PET experiments in recovered hemiparetic patients evidenced motor activity–induced brain activations in a number of nonprimary motor regions both in lesioned and contralesional hemispheres.1-3 fMRI studies subsequently demonstrated that the amount of contralesional activation was highly dependent on the degree of patient impairment and brain damage.5,6,8 More recently, a study using EEG, PET, and transcranial magnetic stimulation showed enhanced recruit-
ment of the contralesional sensorimotor cortex after subcortical stroke. In addition, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) revealed that well-recovered chronic stroke patients relative to controls had elevated fractional anisotropy (FA) in both ipsilesional and contralesional corticospinal tracts and an increase or decrease of specific fiber trajectories connecting cortical regions in both hemispheres.

However, no study has specifically focused on structural motor network remodeling in stroke patients with motor deficits, although motor deficits are a major cause of disability. To determine the importance of such contralesional structural changes after stroke can be detected with DSI-based metrics; 2) repeated DSI measurements correlate with clinical scores, stroke size, age, or gender; and 3) DSI-based metrics and clinical status can predict motor outcome.

**METHODS** We enrolled 12 patients (7 male and 5 female) with ischemic infarction affecting the motor cortex or subcortical structures involved in motor control. Patients with brainstem and cerebellar infarcts were excluded from the study as well as patients with massive edema provoking midline shift. The mean age and SD was 58.4 ± 17.0 years.

All patients underwent 3 DSI scans 1) within 1 week (time point 1), 2) at 1 month (±1 week, tp2), and 3) at 6 months (±15 days, tp3) after stroke, the period when most functional improvement occurs. Twelve age- and gender-matched healthy controls (57.2 ± 14.5 years, mean ± SD) were also included in the study and underwent 2 DSI scans 1 month apart (tp1c and tp2c). DSI resolves complex crossing and kissing of axonal bundles as well as the intersections of white matter fibers in cortical and deep gray matter structures and is therefore appropriate for mapping networks in the context of functional improvement.

Patients had no history of previous stroke or other neurologic, psychiatric, or major systemic illnesses. They all received antiplatelet treatment and underwent standard rehabilitation programs, as performed in the University Hospital of Lausanne. None used antidepressant or CNS stimulator drugs during rehabilitation.

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of the motor network and motor-associated connections (white matter tracts)

The thin arrows indicate intrahemispheric connections and the thick arrows indicate interhemispheric connections. M1 = primary motor area; PMd = premotor dorsal area; PMv = premotor ventral area; SMA = supplementary motor area.

The same analysis was performed in healthy subjects in both hemispheres and averages were performed between left and right GFA values for each tract at each time point.

In addition, intrahemispheric and interhemispheric connections among visual areas (V1–V2–V3) was calculated in the
same way for comparison, assuming that the strokes had not affected the visual pathways. The entire tractographic analysis was performed in a fully automated manner (www.cmtk.org). Stroke lesion volumes were evaluated on MPRAGE images using in-house software.

**Metrics and statistical analysis.** GFA is a measure of anisotropy of the local diffusion profile (orientation density function) obtained in high angular resolution diffusion MRI experiments. It is described as the SD along the different diffusion directions, each of them representing fiber trajectory in tractography reconstructions.

Statistical analysis was performed using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) to compare 1) intrahemispheric and interhemispheric motor and visual tract GFA in patients and controls at tp1 and 2) absolute GFA changes between serial scans in patients. In this context, we use the word “absolute” to indi-
cate real numbers without regard to its sign. The MANOVA used the GFA as the dependent variable, and age, NIHSS, mRS, and FIM as independent variables with 2 levels of factor: “patients” and “controls.”

A general linear model (GLM) was applied to GFA changes between time points (tp1, tp2, and tp3), clinical scores, lesion volumes, and demographic information (age and gender).

In order to show the profile of GFA changes in each patient compared to healthy controls, $t$ tests were performed comparing GFA changes measured in patients (tp2–tp1, tp3–tp2, and tp3–tp1) and GFA variability computed in healthy controls (tp2c–tp1c).

The predictive value of the imaging indices was assessed by linear regression with clinical scores in the acute phase and at 6-month follow-up using demographic information (age), stroke size, GFA values, and NIHSS motor scores obtained at tp1 and tp2. A backward selection process was used to select the best prediction model with $p < 0.05$ as a threshold of significance. In order to estimate the influence of a data point in the model, we computed Cook distances ($Cd$); $Cd < 4/n$, where $n$ is the num-

**Figure 3** Diffusion spectrum imaging (DSI) tractography view of the interhemispheric connections between the primary motor area (M1) and the corpus callosum (CC) as well as between the supplementary motor area (SMA) and the corpus callosum (CC) in one hemisphere of control subject 6 (A) and in the contralateral healthy hemisphere of patient 6 (B)

Fiber trajectories are color coded using a scalar scale based on GFA (max value 0.5 and min value 0.1). Arrows indicate the absence of substantial differences between the time point (tp) 1c and tp2c (A); on the contrary, it is possible to observe a decrease in GFA in both M1-CC and SMA-CC connections between tp1 and tp2 and between tp2 and tp3.
RESULTS Patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and functional scores are summarized in tables e-1 and e-2 on the Neurology® Web site at www.neurology.org. The average stroke size was 64.3 ± 68.9 cm³ (mean ± SD).

Reproducibility of GFA measures in control subjects. In control subjects, the mean absolute GFA change obtained for intrahemispheric and interhemispheric motor connections between tp1c and tp2c was 0.0035 ± 0.0042 (mean ± SEM). The greatest variability was seen in connections between the 2 PMv regions (figure 2A). Connections between the ventral premotor (PMv) and the primary motor (M1) areas were not analyzed due to poor visualization with DSI tractography.

Comparison of absolute GFA changes in patients and controls. In patients, absolute GFA changes in contralateral motor connections between tp1 and tp2 were significantly different from absolute GFA changes between the same regions in controls between tp1c and tp2c (figure 2A, 0.001 ≤ p < 0.05). Only connections between the 2 dorsal premotor areas (PMd) failed to show a significant GFA difference.

Longitudinal GFA changes in patients. Individual patients showed increased or decreased GFA in each investigated motor connection, with a pattern that appeared to be patient-specific (figures 2B and 3, A and B). Compared to controls, however, GFA changes were greatest in patients with the more severe motor deficits (figure 2B).

Longitudinal evaluation of GFA changes in patients. No significant differences were observed when absolute GFA values were compared among time points in patients.

Correlation of absolute GFA changes with clinical scores in patients. MANOVA showed a strong correlation between patient absolute GFA changes for intra-hemispheric motor connections in the contralateral hemisphere and 1) age (p < 0.01, F 4.6, residuals 28, df 4), 2) NIHSS motor (p ≤ 0.001, F 6.1, residuals 28, df 4), and 3) stroke size (p ≤ 0.001, F 6.2, residuals 28, df 4). Similarly, patient absolute GFA changes in inter-hemispheric connections were highly correlated with age (p ≤ 0.0001, F 15.7, residuals 28, df 4).

A GLM analysis further clarified that only 13 out of the 30 (10 × 3) measurements of GFA evolution in the investigated motor tracts (tp1–tp2, tp2–tp3, tp1–tp3) were significantly correlated with clinical scores (NIHSS, NIHSS motor, mRS, FIM), stroke sizes, or patient ages (0.0005 < p < 0.05; for details, see supplementary data).

Individual patterns of GFA changes and GFA values at each time point did not exhibit any significant correlation with motor scores.

Prediction of clinical outcome in patients using GFA. In the patient cohort, a linear regression using a backward selection model including GFA data from the first time point (tp1) as well as age and NIHSS motor (tp1) was shown to predict the NIHSS motor at tp3 (6-month follow-up) with high significance (multiple $R^2 = 0.98$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.96$, $p = 0.0007$, table 1 and figure 4). The relative importance of NIHSS tp1, age, and GFA tp1 was evaluated with Fisher test and is shown in table 1. Inclusion of stroke size did not lead to any significant predictive power ($p = 0.1$). The Cook distances in all subjects were below 0.33, indicating the absence of outliers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Prediction of the NIHSS tp3 using a model based on the NIHSS scores at tp1, motor tract GFA values at tp1, and patient ages*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficients</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS (tp1)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFA M1–SMA (tp1)</td>
<td>58.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMd–PMv (tp1)</td>
<td>–43.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA–SMA (tp1)</td>
<td>–30.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GFA = generalized fractional anisotropy; NIHSS = NIH Stroke Scale; PMd = premotor dorsal area; PMv = premotor ventral area; SMA = supplementary motor area; tp = timepoint.

* Residual standard error: 0.6727 on 6 df, Multiple $R^2$: 0.9807, adjusted $R^2$: 0.9615, F statistic: 50.93 on 6 and 6 df, $p = 0.0007$.

Downloaded from www.neurology.org at Harvard University on July 3, 2013.
Likewise, a leave-one-out test including all subjects confirmed the robustness of the correlation between predicted and recorded NIHSS values at tp3 ($R^2 = 0.87$).

A model including only age and NIHSS motor at tp1 gave a lower correlation (multiple $R^2 = 0.83$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.81$) as did a model including only NIHSS tp1 (multiple $R^2 = 0.83$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.82$). A model including the GFA values at tp1 with age and another including GFA values only gave equal correlation values (multiple $R^2 = 0.88$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.84$). A model including the NIHSS motor score and GFA values showed a higher correlation but not as high as the model that also included patient ages (multiple $R^2 = 0.93$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.90$).

Specificity of the observed GFA changes in the motor network. The MANOVA analysis performed with visual areas failed to show any significant changes between tp1 and tp2 in patients and tp1c and tp2c.

DISCUSSION

This longitudinal MRI study of motor stroke patients shows that contralesional structural changes in the motor network can be measured using DSI and that changes correlate with functional motor improvement. In addition, our findings strongly suggest that GFA at tp1, combined with patient age and the acute clinical NIHSS score, is an important predictor of motor improvement 6 months after stroke ($R^2 = 0.96$, $p = 0.0007$).

Our data confirm and extend the findings of recent studies providing arguments for a major role of contralesional white matter remodeling in poststroke functional improvement.\textsuperscript{5,9,10,21}

Thus far, most studies on contralesional plasticity have been performed in cohorts of patients with small or cortical strokes.\textsuperscript{5,9,10,21} In our work, we considered a population of patients with heterogeneous lesion size (table e-1) and we found that, independently of cortical or subcortical lesion location, patients show a significant degree of contralesional motor connection remodeling. This is consistent with previous studies showing a motor system-wide compensation that is independent of the site and size of stroke lesions.\textsuperscript{1,5,6,8}

Almost all studies examining the role of the contralesional hemisphere in poststroke functional improvement report functional data.\textsuperscript{1,3,5,6,8,9,21} Only recently a DTI-based network analysis at a single time point showed increases or decreases in the number of fiber trajectories between various contralesional regions compared to homologous regions in control subjects.\textsuperscript{11} In our study, we investigated contralesional motor-associated structural plasticity at multiple time points over 6 months after stroke, because most functional improvement is found within this period.\textsuperscript{11} In addition, we correlated the observed changes with functional outcome and propose a predictive model of motor improvement after the acute event.

We obtained good reproducibility of our GFA measure along tracts associated with the motor network in controls (figure 2A). Despite the fact that the DSI technique is sensitive to complex fiber structures (fiber crossing, kissing) and remodeling mechanisms (axon sprouting), it also appears sensitive to imperfections in acquisition (e.g., patient motion) and data postprocessing. Our results, however, indicate that clinically relevant information can be successfully extracted in an automatic fashion from high-quality data. The least reproducible results were found in tracts between the contralesional PMv and corpus callosum, presumably due to technical limitations of DSI with respect to the small size of PMvs and the relatively long path that these connections follow to reach the corpus callosum.

One of our major findings is that changes in contralesional GFA of the motor network between time points 1 and 2 differed substantially between patients and healthy subjects (figure 2A) even though there was heterogeneity of individual patterns of GFA changes (figure 3, A and B, and 4). No significant changes were found in the contralateral visual network when patients were compared to controls, confirming that the observed GFA changes are specific for the motor network. Increases in GFA indicate higher diffusivity along a certain direction in a brain tissue volume (anisotropy) and may be due to axonal sprouting or new connections between motor areas.\textsuperscript{22} Decreases in GFA point to lower diffusivity along a specific direction in the brain tissue and may be
caused by axonal degeneration. Crofts et al. reported a decrease in the integrity of connections between areas in the contralesional hemisphere in stroke patients that they hypothesized were due to degeneration of fiber tracts connected with the infarcted areas of brain. In line with Crofts et al., we interpret our results by relating differential individual connectivity patterns associated with variability in stroke size and location to various sites and inferred degrees of secondary degenerative and regenerative phenomena.

Another major finding of our study is that GFA-based structural changes in the contralesional motor network, rather than in the studied individual motor tracts, are highly correlated with changes in clinical scores and depend on age and stroke size. The results are in line with recent functional studies suggesting that cortico-cortical connections, in addition to motor descending pathways like the cortico-spinal tract, play a pivotal role in motor improvement after stroke. 

Most importantly, we present a predictor of post-stroke functional improvement that is early and independent of stroke size and location. A very recent study by Lindenberg et al. attempted to predict the effect of 5 days of transcranial magnetic stimulation and intensive rehabilitation therapy in a group of stroke patients with chronic stroke (>5 months after the acute event) by using DTI-based metrics of ipsilesional corticospinal tracts and transcallosal fibers (adjusted R 0.77–0.87). Likewise, a fMRI study by Zarahin et al. showed that combined measures of fMRI activation and an acute motor score (Fugl-Meyer score) better predicted motor improvement in patients with severe initial deficits compared to the clinical score alone. Our results show that DSI-derived metrics in the motor connections of the contralesional hemisphere permit improved prediction in the acute phase in patients with heterogeneous deficits, even in the context of standard rehabilitation therapy.

The presented prediction model represents a proof of principle that automatically computed measures (GFA), together with a simple clinical assessment (NIHSS motor) and demographics (patient age), may provide a powerful, easily applicable tool for the routine care of acute stroke patients. We acknowledge, however, that our model was tested in a carefully selected cohort of relatively young patients (58.4 ± 17.0 years) and excluded subjects with previous strokes, posterior circulation infarcts, and large strokes with major edema. Future studies should broaden the inclusion criteria and include a higher number of patients over 65 since stroke prevalence increases markedly with age, to test whether these promising findings can be extended to wider clinical use.

Diffusion MRI is very helpful in the early diagnosis of stroke and advanced diffusion techniques like DSI may aid in the future to establish personalized rehabilitation therapies like noninvasive brain stimulation and robotics-based rehabilitation. If reproduced in larger prospective studies, this advance may help to improve treatment and rehabilitation plans for stroke patients.
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