Intrinsic Interhemispheric Hippocampal Functional Connectivity Predicts Individual Differences in Memory Performance Ability
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ABSTRACT: When given challenging episodic memory tasks, young adults demonstrate notable individual differences in performance. Recent evidence suggests that individual differences in human behavior may be related to the strength of functional connectivity of large-scale functional networks as measured by spontaneous fluctuations in regional brain activity during quiet wakefulness (the “resting state”), in the absence of task performance. In this study, we sought to determine whether individual differences in memory performance could be predicted by the interhemispheric functional connectivity of the two hippocampi, hypothesized to reflect the intrinsic connectivity within the large-scale medial temporal lobe memory system. Results demonstrated that interhemispheric hippocampal functional connectivity during quiet wakefulness was predictive of the capacity to freely recall recently learned information ($r = 0.47$, $P < 0.05$). In contrast, functional connectivity of bilateral motor cortices had no relationship to free recall, supporting the specificity of the hippocampal data. Thus, individual differences in the capacity to perform episodic memory tasks, which may be persistent behavioral traits or transient states, may be at least partly subserved by individual differences in the functional connectivity of large-scale functional-anatomic memory networks. © 2010 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

Episodic memory is a human ability that varies substantially within and between individuals depending on a variety of factors, including the type of material being learned and the conditions under which learning and retrieval are performed (Hultsch et al., 1990; Kirchhoff, 2009). One general class of factors influencing episodic memory performance is genetics, but there has been relatively little investigation of the brain mechanisms of individual differences in episodic memory (Egan et al., 2003). Although functional neuroimaging tools are commonly employed to identify similarities between individuals performing a given memory task, efforts have also been applied toward the investigation of individual differences in memory task performance (Tulving et al., 1999). Typically, this has involved analyses of individual differences in regional brain activity during encoding or retrieval processing (Nyberg et al., 1996; Alkire et al., 1998; Kirchhoff and Buckner, 2006; Dickerson et al., 2007). For example, cerebral blood flow or glucose metabolic rate in the left medial temporal lobe (MTL) during the encoding or retrieval of verbal information correlates with individual differences in performance (Nyberg et al., 1996; Alkire et al., 1998). Prefrontal or occipitotemporal activity relates to individual differences in the use of encoding strategies contributing to memory performance (Kirchhoff and Buckner, 2006). Finally, within-subject differences in memory performance on multiple lists of items relate to prefrontal, hippocampal, and fusiform activity during encoding (Dickerson et al., 2007).

In addition to these studies of individual differences in brain activity during the performance of memory tasks, there is some evidence of brain activity measures that may subserve individual differences in memory performance that are present at times other than during task performance (Eustache et al., 1995; Desgranges et al., 1998). For example, left hippocampal resting oxygen consumption correlates with individual
differences in the performance of recall previously learned words (Eustache et al., 1995), suggesting the possibility that physiologic properties of memory circuits may underlie behavioral memory traits, although the stability of such measures over time has yet to be investigated.

Another emerging technique for measuring brain activity during quiet wakefulness is resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), which measures the large-scale covariance of slow spontaneous oscillations of regional brain activity (Biswal et al., 1995; Fox and Raichle, 2007). From these imaging data, the degree of covariance in spontaneous fluctuation of fMRI blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal, measured as the strength of intrinsic connectivity between two or more brain regions, has been shown to be associated with individual differences in behavior (Hampson et al., 2006; Fox et al., 2007; Seeley et al., 2007; Di Martino et al., 2009). For example, spontaneous fluctuation of the BOLD signal accounts for a significant fraction of the intertrial variability in the force of a button press (Fox et al., 2007). In addition, the degree of functional connectivity (strength of correlation) within specific brain networks has been found to be related to performance on tasks of working memory and executive control (Hampson et al., 2006; Seeley et al., 2007). Collectively, these data suggest that individual differences in human behavior may be subserved at least in part by the strength of functional connectivity between two or more brain regions during quiet wakefulness. These types of relationships are only beginning to be investigated with respect to episodic memory.

In a previous study of the functional neuroanatomy of encoding that leads to successful free recall, we observed that bilateral hippocampal activation was present during encoding for successfully recalled items, and that stronger coupling of the hemodynamic response between bilateral hippocampi during task performance was associated with successful subsequent free recall compared with encoding that did not lead to successful recall (Dickerson et al., 2007).

In the present study, we sought to answer the following question: do individuals with more strongly correlated activity within the episodic memory network during the resting state prior to a task perform better on an episodic memory task than individuals with less strongly correlated activity within the episodic memory network? Because of our previous results demonstrating that the strength of interhemispheric hippocampal functional connectivity during a task is associated with better memory performance (Dickerson et al., 2007) and because the spontaneous activity of the hippocampal formation is typically functionally coupled to the contralateral hippocampal formation at rest (Rombouts et al., 2003; Greicius et al., 2004; Buckner et al., 2008), we performed a focused analysis of the strength of correlation between left and right hippocampi. We hypothesized that interindividual (between-subject) variability in episodic memory performance would be predicted by interindividual variability of interhemispheric hippocampal intrinsic connectivity during a period of quiet wakefulness prior to the performance of the memory task. In addition, to address the specificity of this relationship (i.e., the question of whether the strength of such correlated activity is a reflection of global factors affecting many brain networks), we investigated interhemispheric functional connectivity of the motor cortex, hypothesizing no relationship to memory performance.

Twenty-six adults (19 women, 7 men, ages 18–35 yr, mean = 23.5 yr) who were right-handed, native English speakers participated this study. Participants were recruited via local advertisement and were paid for their participation. All participants were screened to exclude individuals with a history of neurologic or psychiatric disorders, or those taking medication with central nervous system pharmacologic activity. Informed consent was obtained from each subject. The study was approved by the Partners Healthcare System Human Research Committee.

The resting-state scans were acquired as part of an fMRI session in which participants performed an episodic memory task (task-related fMRI data not described here). A resting-state run was scanned at the beginning of the scanning session, prior to the administration of the memory paradigm. During the resting-state run, participants were scanned for 6 min and 20 s while they were instructed to relax and remain still with their eyes open.

The encoding and free recall paradigm was modified slightly from a previous version and is described only briefly here (Dickerson et al., 2007). The paradigm consisted of 10 lists of pictures of objects from the Snodgrass and Vanderwart corpus. Each list consisted of 12 pictures that were balanced for natural and man-made objects, randomly ordered. During encoding, participants were instructed to press a button to indicate whether each object was “natural” or “man-made,” and to try to learn the item for subsequent memory testing. Each encoding run was followed immediately by a 16-s distractor task during which subjects were instructed to count (out loud) backward by threes. Immediately following the distractor task, the subjects were asked to freely recall the names of as many of the items as possible from the previous list, in any order, in 60 s. A word was counted as a free recall “Hit” if it was a specific descriptor of one of the items viewed in the immediately preceding encoding list. The percentage of free recall Hits was calculated as a sum of recalled items from the 10 lists divided by the total number of items (120); this value was used as the primary measure of each subject’s capacity to freely recall recently learned information.

Subjects were scanned using a Siemens Trio 3.0 T scanner (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlingan, Germany) with a 12-channel head coil. Two runs of high-resolution structural images were obtained with T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence (repetition time (TR) = 2300 ms, echo time (TE) = 2.98 ms, flip angle (FA) = 9°, voxel size: 1 × 1 × 1 mm). Resting-state functional images were acquired by using a gradient-echo echo-planar sequence (TR = 5000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FA = 90°). Fifty-five axial slices parallel to the anterior–posterior commissure line with 2 × 2 × 2 mm voxel size were acquired in each of the resting-state functional volumes, with 76 whole-brain volumes acquired in the run.
The data were preprocessed using SPM2 (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). The first three volumes in resting-state data were discarded to allow for T1 equilibration effects. For the remaining functional images, timing differences between slices were removed and then motion correction was applied using the first volume as reference. A 4-mm full width at half maximum Gaussian smoothing kernel was applied. The preprocessing provided a record of head motion within resting run, which was later included as a set of nuisance regressors in subsequent correlation analysis. Each subject's mean functional image was also coregistered to that subject's structural data, which allowed for the localization of functional data to each individual's native neuroanatomical space.

Several additional preprocessing steps were carried out to optimize the data for correlation analysis (Fox et al., 2006; Vincent et al., 2006). First, temporal filtering (0.009 Hz < f < 0.08 Hz) was applied to the time series of each voxel to remove low- and high-frequency components of resting fMRI data. Next, distinct sources of spurious variance along with their temporal derivatives were further removed from the data by linear regression: (1) six parameters generated from realignment of head motion; (2) the whole brain signal averaged from a subject-specific mask region; and (3) signal from a ventricular region of interest (ROI) and ROIs located in bilateral deep brain white matter. Regression of each of these signals was performed in a stepwise manner and the residual time course was retained for subsequent computation of correlation strength. For computation of correlation strength between a pair of ROIs of relevance for the study, the time courses were extracted separately from each of the individual ROIs anatomically defined in each subject's structural scan (in native space) and the Pearson correlation coefficients were computed, then converted to z values using Fisher's transformation for subsequent statistical analyses.

The structural MRI data were processed using the fully automated standard processing stream in Freesurfer (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) to generate anatomic ROIs (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 1999a; Fischl et al., 1999b). For each subject, the left and right hippocampi (including hippocampus proper, dentate gyrus, and subiculum for each hippocampus) were identified using the automated segmentation algorithm that examines variations in voxel intensities and spatial relationships to classify subcortical regions (Fischl et al., 2002), and ROIs were manually inspected to ensure accuracy. In this study, no editing of hippocampal ROIs was required. As in some samples of subjects (usually older subjects or those with neurologic or psychiatric disorders) hippocampal volume to adjust for head size. A segmentation of the left and right hippocampi in a single subject is illustrated in Figure 1. The left and right motor cortices were manually labeled on the Freesurfer average surface on the precentral gyrus in the region of the ω-shaped convolution typically associated with hand movement (Boling et al., 2008). These two spherical atlas-space labels were converted to individual spherical space label by using a surface registration method (Fischl et al., 1999b), which were further converted to individual volume space ROIs for application to the functional data.

To test the a priori hypothesis of this study, simple linear correlations were performed to investigate relationships between the percentage of free recall Hits and the strength of interhemispheric hippocampal intrinsic functional connectivity, as well as the strength of intrinsic connectivity between left and right motor cortices. To determine statistically whether the hypothesized hippocampal-memory correlation is stronger than the motor-memory correlation, we used a procedure comparing two correlations sharing one variable, described by Steiger (1980) as:

\[
T_2 = (r_{jk} - r_{kb}) \sqrt{\frac{(N-1)(1+r_{kb})}{2(\frac{N-1}{N-3})|R| + \frac{N}{2}(1-r_{kb})^3}},
\]

where \(r_{jk}\) denotes the hippocampal-memory correlation, and \(r_{kb}\) denotes the motor-memory correlation, and \(r_{kb}\) denotes the correlation between interhemispheric functional connectivity of bilateral hippocampi and that of bilateral motor cortices, and \(|R| = (1 - r_{jk}^2 - r_{kb}^2 - r_{jk}^2) + 2r_{jk}r_{kb}\), and \(r = \frac{1}{2}(r_{jk} + r_{kb})\). The \(T_2\) has a \(t\) distribution with \(df = N - 3\). The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.0 (Chicago, IL), and results were considered statistically significant if \(P < 0.05\).

The percentage of items freely recalled during the task was 62% ± 10% (mean ± standard deviation), ranging from 42% to 80%, demonstrating notable interindividual variability in these young adults. The strength (correlation coefficient “\(r\)”) of...
intrinsic connectivity between the hippocampi in the two hemispheres was 0.77 ± 0.09, ranging from 0.49 to 0.89, again illustrating the substantial interindividual variability that can be found in these measures. Most importantly, the strength of interhemispheric hippocampal intrinsic connectivity predicted the capacity to freely recall recently learned information ($r = 0.47, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2). Time courses of spontaneous activity within the two hippocampi for two subjects are shown in Figure 3, showing that the best performer has higher interhemispheric intrinsic hippocampal coupling than the worst performer.

The location of the ROIs labeling bilateral motor areas on the average surface is shown in Figure 4A. The strength ($r$) of intrinsic connectivity between the motor cortices in the two hemispheres was 0.52 ± 0.18, ranging from 0.09 to 0.76. The variability of intrinsic connectivity between interhemispheric motor cortices did not predict free recall performance capacity ($P = 0.4$) (Fig. 4B). The interhemispheric hippocampal functional connectivity was significantly more strongly correlated with free recall performance than interhemispheric functional connectivity between bilateral motor cortices ($r = 2.53, P < 0.05$).

We also found no relationship between free recall performance and the adjusted left or right hippocampal volumes (both $P > 0.4$).

Despite observations that healthy young adults vary substantially in their ability to perform challenging episodic memory tasks, few functional neuroimaging studies of episodic memory have investigated interindividual differences in brain activity in memory tasks. Many of the previous functional neuroimaging studies that have investigated inter- or intraindividual differences in memory performance have done so using functional neuroimaging data collected during the performance of the task of interest (Nyberg et al., 1996; Alkire et al., 1998; Fernandez et al., 1998; Kirchhoff and Buckner, 2006; Dickerson et al., 2007). Only a few studies have investigated the relationship of functional brain activity “at rest” and episodic memory performance (Eustache et al., 1995; Desgranges et al., 1998; Wig et al., 2008). None of these studies have focused on “resting state” or intrinsic functional connectivity of nodes within the episodic memory circuit.

In this study, we found that the strength of functional connectivity between bilateral hippocampi measured during a 6-min period of quiet wakefulness prior to the performance of an episodic memory task predicts how well individuals will perform on that task. Although it is possible that the differences between individuals in hippocampal functional connectivity observed here are a reflection of global interindividual differences across multiple large-scale brain networks in the coupling of low-frequency spontaneous fluctuations, the present finding that bilateral motor cortical connectivity does not predict memory performance mitigates this concern. That is, a region of the precentral gyrus in the vicinity of the hand motor area is strongly functionally coupled with the homologous region in the contralateral hemisphere. Yet the variation in this coupling between individuals, which is substantial, shows no relationship with memory performance. This finding supports the hypothesis that the hippocampal connectivity-memory performance relationship is specific to the episodic memory network.

Previous work has shown that differences between individuals in the activity of the hippocampus and adjacent medial temporal cortices during memory task performance relates to individual differences in performance on the task. For example, left MTL blood flow during retrieval correlated with performance on a recognition memory test (Nyberg et al., 1996); left hippocampal metabolic rate during encoding correlated with free verbal recall performance (Alkire et al., 1998); hippocampal BOLD signal during encoding was correlated with the number of words recalled (Fernandez et al., 1998). Intraindividual variance in memory performance also relates to hippocampal activation. When individuals encoded multiple short word lists, greater differential hippocampal activity during encoding of items that were later recalled compared with encoding of those not recalled was associated with better recall performance for a given list (Dickerson et al., 2007). The present finding extends these results by demonstrating that individual differences in memory performance can be predicted not only by differences in activity during performance of the task but also by differences in activity during quiet wakefulness prior to task performance, suggesting that a state-related (or possibly trait-related) property of the MTL memory system prior to a task influences performance on the task.

Several previous blood flow or metabolism studies identified relationships between these resting state physiologic measures and memory task performance. For example, resting oxygen consumption in the left hippocampus was correlated with indi-
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individual differences in the performance of recall previously learned words (Eustache et al., 1995); resting cerebral glucose utilization in the medial temporal cortices in a group of patients with Alzheimer’s disease correlated with the performance of story recall (Desgranges et al., 1998). The present finding extends these results by showing that individual differences in the level of functional connectivity in spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity, which are thought to reflect functional-anatomic connectivity of specific cerebral networks (Vincent et al., 2007), can predict individual differences in memory task performance.

The anatomic basis of interhemispheric hippocampal connectivity is likely the dorsal hippocampal commissure, which includes fibers originating in presubiculum, entorhinal cortex (EC), and posterior parahippocampal cortex and terminating predominantly on contralateral EC (Gloor et al., 1993).

Although the functional connectivity identified between brain regions using the method described here is thought to relate in part to direct or indirect synaptic connections (Vincent et al., 2007), it is also possible that ongoing mental activity during the resting state immediately prior to a task contributes to performance on the task. Previous fMRI studies have shown that not only is hippocampal activity higher during resting state than during the performance of simple cognitive task (Stark and Squire, 2001), but more importantly that the magnitude of a task-induced decrease in hippocampal activity during simple tasks predicts interindividual differences in mnemonic ability (Wig et al., 2008). The present data may be interpreted as consistent with individual differences either in relatively static (possibly functional-anatomic) traits of the MTL memory system or in potentially dynamic states that may be present immediately prior to a task but not necessarily stable over time, an issue which deserves further study.

Previous studies have related differences within and between individuals in a variety of behaviors to the variance in functional connectivity in brain networks thought to subserve those behaviors. In the first such study, the within-subject trial-to-trial spontaneous variability in the force of a right-handed button press was predicted by similar variability in task-evoked hemodynamic response in the left motor cortex, which was
strongly related to the degree to which low-frequency spontaneous fluctuations in BOLD signal in right motor cortex was correlated with such activity in the left motor cortex (Fox et al., 2007). In a commentary on this article, the point was made that there could be many artifactual factors that may account for such findings, although most of them had been adequately controlled for in the study, but more importantly that it was not yet clear whether such resting state functional connectivity measures would relate to more complex behaviors (Birn, 2007). Since then, several studies have reported clear relationships between the degree of resting-state functional connectivity of spatially distributed networks and interindividual differences in complex behaviors (Hampson et al., 2006; Seeley et al., 2007; Di Martino et al., 2009). For example, individual differences in the coherence of spontaneous activity within the frontoinsular-anterior cingulate "salience" network was associated with differences between subjects in prescan anxiety ratings, while connectivity of the frontoparietal executive control network was associated with set-shifting performance on the Trail Making Test (Seeley et al., 2007). The spontaneous correlation between the posterior cingulate cortex and medial prefrontal/ventral anterior cingulate cortices is relevant to individual differences in working memory performance (Hampson et al., 2006); between pregenual anterior cingulate cortex and anterior mid-insula is relevant to autistic traits in young adults (Di Martino et al., 2009). The data reported here extend these observations to episodic memory. In addition, given that interhemispheric hippocampal connectivity constitutes a subsystem in default network (Vincent et al., 2006; Buckner et al., 2008), these findings support the hypothesis that one of the functions the default network suberves is episodic memory processing (Greicius et al., 2003; Buckner et al., 2008). Further investigations will be needed to determine the degree to which the functional connectivity between other nodes of the default mode network, or other networks, contribute to episodic memory performance.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mary Foley and Larry White for technical assistance.

REFERENCES


Fox MD, Raichle ME. 2007. Spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity observed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Nat Rev Neurosci 8:700–711.


Hippocampus


