

[Previous Abstract](#)**TU 288****Analysis of Multisensory Interactions in Functional Brain Imaging Data**

Tommi Raij¹, Jyrki Ahveninen¹, Fa-Hsuan Lin¹, Iiro P. Jääskeläinen^{1,2}, John W. Belliveau¹
¹MGH/MIT/HMS Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Charlestown, USA, ²Laboratory of Computational Engineering, Helsinki University of Technology, Finland

The brain integrates information across different sensory systems. One mechanism by which multisensory integration occurs is convergence of different sensory systems on common brain areas. However convergence of activations does not necessarily result in multisensory integration: the different activations must also interact with each other. Our aim is to investigate how the method used for analyzing interaction can affect the results and interpretation of multisensory experiments.

Interactions between sensory modalities in functional brain recordings have been typically studied by comparing the sum of unimodal activations with multisensory activation. For example, in an audiovisual experiment, if the sum of unimodal auditory and visual activations ($A+V$) equals to the activity evoked by audiovisual activation (AV), then there is no proof of interaction, but if $(A+V)$ and AV differ from each other, this suggests that the auditory and visual activations interact. This type of additivity analysis has been widely applied to functional brain imaging data from electrophysiological and recently also from haemodynamic recordings.

From a purely statistical point of view, interactions should be preferably analyzed using factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA), because when interaction is present, additive techniques are considered inappropriate. In factorial ANOVA, the interaction term reflects the individual factors (responses to unimodal A and V stimuli) can predict the combined effect of factors (responses to AV stimuli). For example, in an audiovisual experiment, the four types of stimulus events (no stimulus / unimodal A / unimodal V / bimodal AV) can be analyzed in a 2x2 factorial ANOVA design.

Factorial ANOVA interaction is related to additivity interaction, but the two methods can produce different results. Here we compare the additivity and factorial ANOVA interaction using simulated and real multisensory human functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and magnetoencephalographic/electroencephalographic (MEG/EEG) data.