# A multicompartment vascular model for inferring baseline and functional changes in cerebral oxygen metabolism and arterial dilation

Theodore J Huppert<sup>1,2</sup>, Monica S Allen<sup>3</sup>, Heval Benav<sup>1</sup>, Phill B Jones<sup>1</sup> and David A Boas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Athinoula A Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>2</sup>Graduate Programs in Biophysics, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas, USA

Functional hemodynamic responses are the composite results of underlying variations in cerebral oxygen consumption and the dilation of arterial vessels after neuronal activity. The development of biophysically based models of the cerebral vasculature allows the separation of the neuro-metabolic and neuro-vascular influences on measurable hemodynamic signals such as functional magnetic resonance imaging or optical imaging. We describe a multicompartment model of the vascular and oxygen transport dynamics associated with stimulus-driven neuronal activation. Our model offers several unique features compared with previous formulations such as the ability to estimate baseline blood flow, volume, and oxygen consumption from functional data. In addition, we introduce a capillary compliance model, arterial and venous oxygen permeability, and model the dynamics of extravascular tissue oxygenation. We apply this model to multimodal optical spectroscopic and laser speckle imaging of the rat somato-sensory cortex during nine conditions of whisker stimulation. By fitting the model using a psuedo-Bayesian framework to incorporate multimodal observations, we estimate baseline blood flow to be 94 (±15) mL/100 g min and baseline oxygen consumption to be 6.7 (±1.3) mL O<sub>2</sub>/100 g min. We calculate parametric, linear increases in arterial dilation ( $R^2 = 0.96$ ) and CMRO<sub>2</sub> ( $R^2 = 0.87$ ) responses over the nine conditions. Other parameters estimated by the model include vascular transit time and volume reserve, oxygen content, saturation, diffusivity rate constants, and partial pressure of oxygen in the vascular compartments and in the extravascular tissue. Finally, we compare this model to earlier work and find that the multicompartment model more accurately describes the observed oxygenation changes when compared with a single compartment version.

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism (2007) 27, 1262–1279; doi:10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600435; published online 3 January 2007

**Keywords:** CBF and oxidative metabolism; human and experimental studies; hemodynamics; optical imaging and spectroscopy; NIRS (near-infrared spectroscopy); MRI hemodynamics

# Introduction

The ability of magnetic resonance imaging or optical techniques to measure functional changes in blood volume, flow, or hemoglobin oxygenation has led to important advances in modern neuroscience and has contributed to our current understanding of the functional anatomy of the brain (reviewed by Nair,

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01-EB002482, RO1-EB001954, EB000790, and P41-RR14075). Received 25 May 2006; revised 18 October 2006; accepted 8 November 2006; published online 3 January 2007

2005). However, the interpretation of these hemodynamic-derived measurements is complicated by the fact that these changes are the result of the competing effects of the increased metabolic demand for oxygen to support glycolysis and the increased supply of oxygen offered by the elevated regional perfusion of blood via the dilation of feeding arteries (reviewed by Buxton et al, 2004; Mintun *et al*, 2001). Imaging methods, such as the blood oxygen level-dependent signal in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or optical imaging, measure these composite changes and are less revealing of underlying neuronal activity than direct measures of electrophysiologic or metabolic function (Nair, 2005). In addition, the relative amplitudes and temporal dynamics of the different

Correspondence: TJ Huppert, Harvard University: Graduate Programs in Biophysics, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. E-mail: thuppert@nmr.mgh.harvard.edu

Multicompartment vascular model for inferring baseline and functional changes TJ Huppert et al

components of the functional hemodynamic response (i.e., oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin, blood volume, and blood flow changes) and the underlying vascular and metabolic changes are dependent on the baseline physiologic states and are thus sensitive to fluctuations in baseline blood flow and oxygen saturation (Nair, 2005). This limits the reproducibility of hemodynamic measurements (Aguirre *et al*, 1998) and the use of functional imaging in clinical diagnosis. Thus, the utility of functional hemodynamic imaging could be improved if imaging measurements provided a reliable measure of metabolic function while accounting for the influences of intersubject and intrasubject variability in baseline physiology.

The introduction of vascular descriptions, such as the Balloon (Buxton and Frank, 1997; Buxton et al, 1998) and Windkessel models (Mandeville et al, 1999*a*, *b*), have helped to shed light on the metabolic and neuronal functions of the brain by providing an explanation of the hemodynamic parameters measured by fMRI or optical methods. Such vascular modeling has been instrumental in progressing the understanding of the relationships between blood flow, volume, and oxygenation responses and exploring their coupling to neuronal activation (reviewed in Buxton et al, 2004; Zheng et al, 2005). By separating and identifying the individual contributions of arterial dilation and oxygen consumption to the measured hemodynamic response, these models help to elucidate the differences between the effects of vascular 'plumbing' and the cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen consumption (CMRO<sub>2</sub>) (Boas et al, 2003; Buxton and Frank, 1997; Buxton et al, 1998, 2004; Herman et al, 2006; Kocsis et al, 2006; Mandeville et al, 1999a, b; Mintun et al, 2001; Zheng et al, 2002, 2005). Distinguishing these differences could enable the quantitative interpretation of hemodynamic signals for the neurosciences. Such developments could potentially make longitudinal and cross-subject studies more fruitful and eventually lead to the use of functional imaging tools in clinical applications (Girouard and Iadecola. 2006: Nair, 2005).

In recent years, the development of invasive optical imaging experiments in animal models have allowed us to measure hemodynamic changes at a higher temporal and spatial resolution than has been previously possible with fMRI methods or in human models (Devor et al, 2005; Dunn et al, 2005; Sheth et al, 2005; Vanzetta et al, 2005; Zheng et al, 2005). The detailed information from these types of experiments has been invaluable in examining the effectiveness of assumptions made in vascular models. With this more comprehensive information, discrepancies are being noted between experimental results and the assumptions of the earlier, vascular models based on single-compartment, vascular changes (Zheng et al, 2005). In particular, Zheng et al (2005) noted that observed

deoxy-hemoglobin changes measured by optical imaging spectroscopy were inconsistent with the predictions of a single-compartment model. To reconcile these differences, multicompartment models of the vascular network have been recently described (Kocsis *et al*, 2006; Zheng *et al*, 2005), which model the hemodynamic changes in all three vascular compartments, that is, arterial, capillary, and venous compartments.

In this paper, we present a multiple compartment model of the vascular and oxygen transport changes to model the composite hemodynamic response. We introduce several improvements that extend from previously described single- (Buxton et al, 1998, 2004; Mandeville et al, 1999b) and three-compartment models (Kocsis et al, 2006; Zheng et al, 2005). In particular, we introduce a capillary compliance model motivated by experimental observations of microvascular (or parenchymal) volume changes indicative of increased capillary perfusion (Vanzetta et al, 2005). In addition, we extend the description of oxygen transport used by Zheng *et al* (2005) to model oxygen extracted from the arterial and venous compartments and to allow potential changes in the oxygen tension within the extravascular parenchyma tissue. The role of oxygen transport from the arteries and veins has been motivated by recent experimental results (Berwick *et al*, 2004; Vovenko, 1999) and theoretical descriptions (Herman *et al*, 2006; Kocsis et al, 2006; Sharan and Popel, 2002).

Another defining characteristic, which significantly distinguishes this work from previous models, is that our model allows the estimation of absolute baseline properties from measurements of relative, functional changes in hemodynamic parameters. Although this model is formulated using normalized parameters, we are able to estimate baseline values by exploiting the complement of the percent and absolute (i.e., micromolar) functional changes calculated from the multimodality methods, such as optical spectroscopy and laser speckle imaging. This allows us to determine the absolute scale of these parameters, to within the uncertainty inherent in the measurement methods and, thus, infer baseline hemoglobin concentration, blood volume, and blood flow in physiologically relevant units. In addition, because the baseline oxygen saturation of each compartment is estimated as a parameter in the model, this allows us to calculate the absolute baseline oxygen delivery and baseline CMRO<sub>2</sub> from experimental data. The ability of the model to predict such baseline conditions is a significant development over previous models, where baseline conditions have typically been assumed (i.e., Buxton et al, 2004; Zheng et al, 2005). Calculation of baseline conditions helps to quantify accurately the hemodynamic changes being modeled and allows validation of modeled CMRO<sub>2</sub> and blood volume values by comparison with previously published literature.

## Theory

#### **General Description of Multi-Compartment Model**

In contrast to previous models, our multicompartment model is built using an inductive or bottom-up approach (Riera et al, 2005), schematically illustrated in Figure 1. Model error is minimized by the simultaneous comparison of the model predictions to experimental multimodality measurements to estimate CMRO<sub>2</sub> and arterial dilation defined by hidden state variables in the model. We also incorporate the underlying biophysical principles for each imaging method (i.e., observation models), which give rise to the hemodynamic measurements. This advancement in methodology allows us to fuse multimodality information from differing measurement sources to directly infer the common physiologic states, which manifest as functional contrast in each imaging modality. Our model provides a direct estimate of the vascular and metabolic changes from the measurements of blood flow, volume, and oxygenation and combined within a pseudo-Bayesian statistical framework. The model



**Figure 1** Schematic to the model framework: The proposed multicompartment model is based on a bottom-up approach to state/parameter estimation. A vector of unknowns (*X*) is used in a nonlinear through a set of differential equations describing the vascular and oxygen transport components of the hemodynamic response from a system input arterial dilation and oxygen consumption changes. The model outputs predict the changes in blood flow, volume, and oxygenation. These predictions are inputs into observation models, which describe measurement process for each measurement modality and are based on the biophysical principles governing each method. Multiple observation models create predictions of multimodality data, which are minimized to the experimental data using a pseudo-Bayesian fusion model, in the form of a weighted least-squares cost function.

provides a more robust estimation of the state variables by accounting for the differing sources of noise and measurement errors associated with individual instrumentation. In addition, the bottom-up framework offers several advantages including an extendable structure for future work as shown and discussed by Riera *et al* (2005).

#### Vascular Model

The vascular component of the model is described by a set of physical relationships that depict three connected, compliant, vascular compartments (namely the arterial, capillary, and venous compartments) and a constant volume compartment to model the pial venous structure (Table 1). The model of vascular changes described in this work is an extension of several previously proposed vascular models (reviewed in Buxton et al, 2004; Zheng *et al*, 2005). These models are built around the relationships between blood flow and volume changes originally proposed in the Balloon model (Buxton and Frank, 1997; Buxton et al, 1998) and later extended to include an empirical description of vascular compliance in the Windkessel model (Mandeville *et al*, 1999*a*, *b*).

The vascular compartments can be represented by an analogy to bridge a circuit of resistor and capacitor (electrical) elements as depicted in Figure 2 (Mandeville et al, 1999b). The resulting differential equations that govern changes in blood flow and volume are driven by the active dilation of the arteries, which decreases the input vascular resistance of the system. The pressure gradient between compartments (equivalent to electrical potential difference) drives the flow of blood (analogous to electrical current) between compartments. As the pressure increases, the vascular compartment expands causing blood volume to increase. The increase in blood volume in a compartment is equivalent to the build-up of charge on a nonlinear capacitor, whose capacitance decreases as the compartment expands against the pressure of the surrounding brain, leading to a saturating blood volume expansion function. Finally, the heart and systemic circulation create a constant pressure drop across the entire system and are modeled as a single constant DC voltage source.

The correspondence of this model with an electrical circuit readily allows the derivation of the differential equations to model the physical flow and volume changes based on Kirchoff's relationships and summarized by the following physical principles.

1. The flow between each compartment is calculated using the Ohm's law analogy (V = IR), where *I*, *V*, and *R* are analogous to the blood flow, blood pressure, and vascular resistance, respectively. The pressure (*P*) drop across vascular compartments  $(n \rightarrow n+1)$  is the product of the flow (*F*) from the

1265

Description	Symbol	Comments	Value	Citation
Resistance	$R_n(t)$	Resistance of <i>n</i> th compartment	Modeled-dynamic	
	$R_{ m total}$	Total vascular resistance	1 (AU)	
Capacitance	$C_n(t)$	Capacitance of <i>n</i> th compartment	Modeled-dynamic	
-	$F_{n.m}(t)$	Flow from compartment $n \rightarrow m$	Modeled-dynamic	
Flow	$F_{in}(t)$	Flow into system	Modeled-dynamic	
	$F_{\rm out}(t)$	Flow out of system	Modeled-dynamic	
	$P_n(t)$	Pressure of nth compartment	Modeled-dynamic	
Pressure	$P_{ m in}$	Mean arterial blood pressure	1 (AU)	
	$P_{\mathrm{out}}$	Mean venous blood pressure	0 (AU)	
	$\Phi_{ m A}$	Baseline arterial volume fraction	25%	
Volume Fraction	$\Phi_{ m C}$	Baseline capillary volume fraction	15%	(Duong and Kim, 2000; Zheng <i>et al</i> , 2005)
	$\Phi_{ m V}$	Baseline venous volume fraction	60%	
Windkessel model	β	Windkessel vascular reserve	Modeled-static	
	τ	Windkessel (vascular) transit time	Modeled-static	

 Table 1
 Variables used in vascular model

In this table is shown the modeling parameters and symbols used in the vascular component of this model.



**Figure 2** Schematic of the Windkessel vascular model: The Windkessel vascular model can be described based on an analogy to the electrical circuit shown here. Cerebral blood volume is equivalent to electrical charge. The flow of charge (i.e., current) models blood flow changes and is proportional to the blood pressure drop across compartments and inversely proportional to vascular resistance. Nonlinear capacitor elements model the vascular compliance of each compartment and the relationship between the cross-sectional area of a vascular segment and its vascular resistance.

*n*th into the (n + 1)th compartment and the vascular resistance (*R*) between the compartments,

$$P_n(t) - P_{n+1}(t) = F_{n,n+1}(t)[R_n(t) + R_{n+1}(t)]$$
(1)

This leads to the set of differential equations that describe the differential volume changes in each compartment based on flow mismatch,

$$\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial t} = F_{n-1,n}(t) - F_{n,n+1}(t)$$
(2a)

$$\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial t} = \frac{P_{n-1}(t) - P_n(t)}{R_{n-1}(t) + R_n(t)} - \frac{P_n(t) - P_{n+1}(t)}{R_n(t) + R_{n+1}(t)}$$
(2b)

2. In this model, the capillary and venous compartments are compliant. The capacitance  $(C_n)$  describes the variable vascular compliance and hence the limit for volume changes in these compartments. In the electrical circuit analogy, charge build-up on these capacitors models blood

volume changes. However, in the vascular network, compliance modeled by capacitance is a nonlinear function of the pressure  $(P_n)$  between the vascular compartment and the intracranial pressure and varies according to an inverse power law relation of Windkessel volume reserve (Mandeville *et al*, 1999*b*).

$$C_n(t) = A_n[P_n(t)]^{(1/\beta_n)-1} n \in \{\text{Capillary}, \text{Venous}\}$$
(3)

In this model,  $\beta_n$  is the Windkessel vascular reserve of the *n*th compartment. We assume the vascular reserve to have the same value for both the capillary and venous compartments.  $A_n$  is a scaling constant determined by the initial conditions,

$$A_n = V_n(0) / (F_{n-1,n}(0)(R_{n-1}(0) + R_n(0)))^{1/\beta}$$
(4)

Combining equations (1)–(4), the flow in the capillary and venous compartments is a function of the pressure, volume, and the resistance of the compartments and is described by

$$F_{n-1,n} = \frac{P_{n-1} - V_n(t)^{\beta} / A^{\beta}}{R_{n-1}(t) + R_n(t)}$$
(5a)

$$F_{n,n+1} = \frac{V_n(t)^{\alpha+\beta}}{A^{\beta}V_n(0)^{\alpha}(R_n(0) + R_{n+1}(0))}$$
(5b)

where  $\alpha = 2$  and represents laminar flow in the compartments (Mandeville *et al*, 1999*b*).

3. Volume expansion of the arteries is caused by the active dilation of these vessels. The changes in arterial volume ( $\Delta V_A$ ) are determined by the change in the diameter of the compartment ( $\Delta D_A$ ).

$$\frac{V_{\rm A}(t)}{V_{\rm A}(0)} = \left(\frac{D_{\rm A}(t)}{D_{\rm A}(0)}\right)^2\tag{6}$$

The arterial resistance  $(R_A)$  is proportional to the vessel's length  $(\ell_A)$  and inversely proportional to the fourth power of its diameter  $(D_A)$  according to Poiseuille's Law (Washburn, 1921).  $\eta$ 

represents the viscosity of blood and is removed with normalization.

$$R_{\rm A}(t) = \frac{128\eta}{\pi} \frac{\ell_{\rm A}}{D_{\rm A}(t)^4}$$
(7)

We sequentially apply differential temporal update to the arterial, capillary, and finally the venous compartment to calculate the blood flow and volume changes for each of the vascular compartments. This update is driven by changes in the arterial resistance, which is an input to the system and is described within the state vector. The value of the hydrostatic pressure of the subsequent compartment (i.e., capillary) at the previous time instance, and the vascular resistance and inflow to the present (i.e., arterial) compartment at the current time instant are used to calculate the differential update in the system. The same procedure is repeated to update the capillary and the venous compartments. The fourth compartment representing the pial veins is assumed to have constant blood volume and is defined by the flow and mean transit time  $(\tau_{pial})$  of the vascular segment. The mean transit time is determined by an additional parameter in the state model. The inclusion of a pial compartment was motivated by experimental observations outlining the role of these structures in the measurement of hemodynamic changes (Nielsen et al, 2000; Watanabe et al, 1994).

The differential equations (defined by equations (1)–(5)) can be formulated with variables of flow and resistance represented as unit-normalized quantities. Thus, the model naturally estimates relative changes in the hemodynamic parameters. In this work, we apply this model to data measurements by laser speckle and optical spectroscopic imaging. The laser speckle measurement is related to a relative change in blood flow, whereas spectroscopic measurements can approximate the absolute (i.e., micromolar) changes in oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin concentrations. An additional state parameter, baseline total-hemoglobin  $(HbT_0)$ , is used to scale the fractional changes in volume predicted by the model to the absolute concentration changes calculated from the optical measurements. This scaling factor has the physiologic interpretation of the total hemoglobin concentration in the imaging volume. Because this value is calculated from the functional data, this scaling factor encompasses errors in partial volume or optical path length that were not accounted for in the calculation of hemoglobin changes from the optical data.

At baseline, the relationship between the Windkessel volume and the incoming blood flow is given by the vascular transit time  $(V_w(0) = F_{in}(0)\tau)$ , where the Windkessel volume is equal to the sum of the volumes of the three-vascular compartments. We assume initial volume fractions of 25%, 15%, and 60% for the arterial, capillary, and venous compartments, respectively (Duong and Kim, 2000; Zheng *et al*, 2005). The sum of the initial total resistance in the three compartments is set at unity. The baseline arterial resistance ( $R_{\rm a}(0)$ ) is estimated by the state vector and the remaining resistance is equally distributed between the capillary and venous compartments (Boas *et al*, 2003).

#### **Arterial Dilation**

The arteriolar dilation variations that drive the flow and volume changes in the vascular network are defined in the state vector (X) and are estimated as part of the minimization of the residual model error with the hemodymanic measurements. We use a temporal Gaussian function to describe the response of arteriolar resistance during cerebral activation (Boas *et al*, 2003),

$$R_A(t) = R_A(0) \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta R_A}{\sqrt{\sigma_R^2 \pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-(t - \tau_{\text{peak}})^2}{\sigma_R^2}\right) \right)$$
(8)

This function is defined by the baseline resistance  $(R_A(0))$ , the functional percent change in resistance  $(\Delta R_A)$ , the time-to-peak  $(\tau_{\text{peak}})$ , and the temporal width  $(\sigma_R)$  of the response. These four parameters are estimated in the model as part of the state vector by the fitting procedure. The temporal basis function reduces the degrees of freedom of the arteriolar resistance by estimating a subset of state variables instead of the full dynamic variation and is similar to the use of temporal basis functions in the generalized linear model used in fMRI. As a future extension, this model could be improved with the inclusion of an explicit model of the release of vaso-reactive signaling molecules to model the response to measured neuronal stimulation (Riera *et al*, 2005).

#### **Oxygen Transport Model**

In addition to vascular effects, increased neuronal activity results in a localized increase in the mitochondrial function (Mintun *et al*, 2001) (Table 2). This increase results in elevated oxygen consumption. Cerebral metabolism increases the extraction of oxygen from the vascular network, whereas increased blood flow competes to lower the oxygen extraction fraction. Blood flow is usually the dominant factor that determines the overall blood oxygenation (i.e., deoxy-hemoglobin changes). The second element of our model describes the process of oxygen extraction from the vascular compartments. We introduce a model of the oxygen transport dynamics between the arterial, capillary, and venous compartments and the extravascular parenchyma tissue, which considers the differing permeability of these vessels. The oxygen extraction from all three compartments is based on recent experimental observations of such effects in animal models (Berwick et al, 2004; Tsai et al, 2003; Vovenko, 1999). The system is built on the principle of oxygen diffusion caused by the gradient of oxygen

Description	Symbol	Comments	Value	Citation
Partial pressure O <sub>2</sub>	$p_n O_2(t)$	Partial pressure of O2 in vascular segments or tissue	Modeled-dynamic	
	$p_{\rm in}O_2$	Mean incoming pO <sub>2</sub>	100 mm Hg	(Herman <i>et al</i> , 2006)
	$p_{\text{tissue}}O_2(0)$	Baseline tissue pO <sub>2</sub>	16 mm Hg	
Oxygen saturation	$S_nO_2(t)$	Baseline {Art, Cap, Vein}	Modeled-static	
		*	Modeled-dynamic	
Oxygen content	$C_n O_2(t)$	Baseline {Art, Cap, Vein, Tissue}	Modeled-static	
		*	Modeled-dynamic	
Diffusivity rate constant	$K_n$	Oxygen permeability rate constant	Modeled-static	
Cerebral metabolism	$CMRO_2(t)$	Metabolism temporal basis function	Modeled-static	

 Table 2
 Variables used in oxygen transport model

Modeling parameters and symbols used to model oxygen transport in this work are shown.

content between the vascular compartments and the extravascular tissue  $(c_nO_2 \text{ where } n \in \{ \text{arterial, capillary, venous, and tissue} \}$  (Herman *et al*, 2006; Zheng *et al*, 2002). The vessels of the pial veins are assumed to negligibly contribute to oxygen delivery to the tissue and are only affected by the washout effects of increased flow.

The oxygen content is the amount of oxygen carried within the blood and is the sum of the oxygen bound to hemoglobin and oxygen dissolved in the blood plasma (Habler and Messmer, 1997).

$$c_n O_2(t) = \alpha_p p_n O_2(t) + H_n \cdot HGB \cdot S_n O_2(t) \qquad (9)$$

The Hüfner number  $(H_n)$  is the amount of oxygen bound per gram of hemoglobin ( $H_n = 1.39 \text{ mL O}_2/\text{gm}$ Hb (Habler and Messmer, 1997)). The hemoglobin content of blood (HGB) is assumed to be 16 g Hb/dL of blood (Habler and Messmer, 1997). Finally,  $\alpha_p$  is the solubility of oxygen in blood plasma  $(\alpha_p = 0.0039 \text{ ml } O_2/\text{mm Hg dL}$  (Habler and Messmer, 1997; Herman et al, 2006)). In the extravascular tissue, oxygen solubility is greater than in the plasma ( $\alpha_t = 0.0118 \text{ mL O}_2/\text{mm Hg dL}$  (Herman *et al*, 2006)). In the tissue, oxygen content depends only on oxygen partial pressure (i.e.,  $c_t O_2(t) = \alpha_t \cdot p_t O_2(t)$ ). Under normal physiologic conditions, the amount of plasma-dissolved oxygen in the blood offers a negligible contribution ( $\sim 2\%$  to 3%). However, including plasma oxygen allows this model to be generic enough to be used to model hyperoxic or hyperbaric conditions in future work.

To define oxygen transport between the vascular segments and the surrounding tissue, we derive a system of differential equations dependent on (i) the flow changes described by the vascular component of the model and (ii) changes in mitochondrial metabolism, which result in changes in oxygen consumption in the extravascular tissue compartment (Herman *et al*, 2006; Zheng *et al*, 2002).

The changes in the oxygenation of each vascular compartment are functions of the amount of oxygen flowing into and out of the compartment and are governed by the blood flow and the oxygen extracted from the compartment to the surrounding extravascular tissue. Oxygen extraction is driven by the differences in the oxygen content between the vascular compartments and the surrounding tissue (Zheng *et al*, 2002, 2005),

$$\frac{\partial c_N \mathcal{O}_2(t)}{\partial t} = -K_N[c_N \mathcal{O}_2(t) - c_T \mathcal{O}_2(t)]$$
(10)

where  $n \in \{\text{Arterial}, \text{Capillary}, \text{Venous}\}$  In equation (10),  $K_N$  is the intrinsic rate constant for this process and can be defined from the baseline relationships between SO<sub>2</sub>, blood flow, and the pO<sub>2</sub> levels of the compartment and extravascular tissue. In this model, we include the effect of oxygen diffusion across both the arterial and venous walls, which has been suggested by experiential findings (Berwick *et al*, 2004; Vovenko, 1999).

To derive the equations for oxygen transport, we assume that all compartments obey the principles of mass balance of the amount of  $O_2$  ( $N_{O2}$ ), that is,

$$\frac{\partial N_{O_2}}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial N_{O_2}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{Flow in}} - \left(\frac{\partial N_{O_2}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{Flow out}} - \left(\frac{\partial N_{O_2}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{Extracted}}$$
(11)

Using the relationship between the amount of oxygen carried in each compartment and the oxygen concentration  $(N_{O_2}(t) = c_n O_2(t) V_n(t))$ , we arrive at a set of differential equations for the delivery of oxygen into each of the vascular compartments,

$$\frac{\partial N_{O_2}}{\partial t} = F_{In}(t) \cdot c_{In}O_2(t) - F_{out}(t) \cdot c_{out}O_2(t) -K \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2}(c_{In}O_2(t) + c_{Out}O_2(t)) - c_TO_2(t)\right] \cdot V_n(t)$$
(12)

The mean oxygen content of a vascular segment has been defined as the average of the concentration (content) of either end (i.e.,  $c_nO_2(t) = 1/2(c_{In}O_2(t) + c_{Out}O_2(t))$ ). In the extravascular compartment, the change in the amount of oxygen is the difference between oxygen delivered to the tissue and oxygen consumed,

$$\frac{\partial c_t \mathcal{O}_2(t)}{\partial t} = \sum_n K_n \left( \frac{1}{2} (c_{in} \mathcal{O}_2(t) + c_{out} \mathcal{O}_2(t)) - c_t \mathcal{O}_2(t) \right) - CMRO_2(t)$$

$$(13)$$

The system of equations described by (12) and (13) can be solved using a discrete temporal update. The baseline CMRO<sub>2</sub> and rate constants ( $K_n$ ) can be calculated from the baseline conditions, namely the baseline oxygen content in each compartment and the tissue is at steady state.

After solving for the oxygen content in each compartment, the oxygen saturation of hemoglobin and the partial pressure of oxygen dissolved in the plasma can be recovered with the nonlinear inversion of equation (9). The saturation of hemoglobin is also related to the partial pressure of oxygen by the hemoglobin dissociation curve described using Kelman's equation (Severinghaus, 1979) and can be used to derive temporal changes in the oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin content in each compartment.

#### CMRO<sub>2</sub>

We use a modified version of the gamma variate function as a temporal basis function to describe functional changes in  $CMRO_2$ 

$$CMRO_{2}(t) = CMRO_{2}(0) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\Delta CMRO_{2} \cdot (t - \tau_{onset})^{2}}{N} \exp\left(\frac{-(t - \tau_{onset})^{2}}{\sigma_{C}^{2}}\right)\right)$$
(14)

where CMRO<sub>2</sub>(0) represents the baseline CMRO<sub>2</sub> in the mitochondria and  $\Delta$ CMRO<sub>2</sub> represents the maximum percent change in CMRO<sub>2</sub> from its baseline value.  $\tau_{\text{peak}}$  is the time to maximum change in CMRO<sub>2</sub> and  $\sigma_C$  is the temporal width of the response and N is a normalizing factor.

#### **Measurement Models**

The final component of this system is a model of the observation process depicted in Figure 1. We use module measurement model functions to describe the biophysics by which the auxiliary states (HbO<sub>2</sub>, HbR, CBV, and CBF) are measured by the imaging modalities. Separating the measurement model allows us to readily extend this work to multimodality imaging measurements. In this work, we focus on the analysis of region-of-interest averages from laser speckle and optical spectroscopy. The measurement models are assumed to have uniform sensitivity to each compartment and these measurements represent the sum or the average of the contributions from all the vascular compartments

 $(n \in \{\text{Arterial, Capillary, Venous, Pial vein}\}),$ 

$$Y_{\text{Spectral},HbX}(t) = \sum_{n} \Delta HbX_n(t)$$
 (15a)

$$Y_{\text{Speckle}}(t) = \sum_{n} CBF_{n}(t) / \sum_{n} CBF_{o}$$
(15b)

The framework of this model allows the incorporation of true measurement sensitivity profiles, such as those obtained from the consideration of the optical photon transport process or fMRI measurement models. This could be extended to the fusion of multimodality data into image reconstructions of hemodynamic and metabolic changes and will be explored in future work.

## Materials and methods

#### **Experimental Methods**

Animal preparation: The MGH Subcommittee on Research Animal Care approved all experimental procedures. Male Sprague–Dawley rats (250–350 g, n=7) were anesthetized with 2% halothane and prepared as previously described in Dunn *et al* (2005). The skull over the somato-sensory cortex was thinned until transparent (approximately 100  $\mu$ m). After surgery, anesthetic was switched to a 50 mg/kg bolus of  $\alpha$ -choralose followed by continuous infusion at 40 mg/kg/h.

Stimulation protocol: A whisker deflection stimulus was used for stimulus as described in Devor *et al* (2003). The stimulus consisted of a single whisker deflection of varying amplitude (from 1 to 9) and 20 ms duration. The angular velocity increased from  $203^{\circ}/s$  (vertical displacement of  $240 \,\mu$ m, condition 1) to  $969^{\circ}/s$  (vertical displacement of  $1200 \,\mu$ m, condition 9), with equal amplitude increments. Stimuli were presented using a rapid, randomized event-related paradigm.

Description of optical spectroscopy system and analysis: Multi-wavelength spectroscopic imaging of total hemoglobin concentration and oxygenation were performed using the instrument and methods described in Dunn *et al* (2005). Briefly, the cortex was illuminated by a filtered mercury xenon arc lamp (10-nm band pass filters centered at wavelengths of 560, 570, 580, 590, 600, and 610 nm). Images were acquired onto a cooled 12-bit CCD camera at a frame rate of 18 Hz. The modified Beer-Lambert law was used to convert these spectral images into images of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin concentration changes. Differential path-length factors were used to account for the individual optical path lengths at each wavelength (Kohl *et al*, 2000).

Description of laser speckle system and analysis: Blood flow was imaged using laser speckle contrast by the method and instrument described in Dunn *et al* (2005). Images of relative CBF changes were determined by calculating the changes in the speckle contrast in a series of laser speckle images. The speckle contrast is calculated as the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean pixel intensities,  $\langle I^2 - \langle I \rangle^2 \rangle^{0.5} / \langle I \rangle$  over a 7 × 7 pixel sliding window (Briers, 2001). Speckle contrast images were averaged across trials and the averaged set was converted to relative blood flow (1 +  $\Delta$ CBF/CBF<sub>o</sub>) by converting each speckle contrast value to an intensity autocorrelation decay time (Briers, 2001) and dividing by baseline (Dunn *et al*, 2005).

Determination of hemodynamic response: Both laser speckle and spectroscopic results were deconvolved using the stimulus presentation timing to determine the blood flow and hemoglobin responses. Colocalized regionsof-interest were manually selected and averaged for each experimental run of all animals. The group average of the seven animals was calculated after normalizing to the amplitude of the ninth condition. The measurement error was computed from the variance of the data compared with the average of the seven rats.

Model parameters and initial conditions: We use a nonlinear, Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm implemented in Matlab (Marquardt, 1963) to estimate the states that describe the CMRO<sub>2</sub> and arterial dilation functions. A differential time step of 2 ms was used for the update of the vascular and oxygen transport models. Smaller time steps were also tested to verify that the results were

independent of this choice. Multimodality measurements were integrated using a weighted least-squares cost function, where the weights were determined by the inverse of the measurement variances for each modality as depicted in Figure 1. These weights were calculated from the variance in the estimate of the hemodynamic responses across the seven rats (Table 3).

In Table 4, we summarize the model parameters estimated in the minimization process. The physiologic range of values for each parameter was used to impose a constraint on the upper and lower bounds of fitting values. The fitting routine was iterated until a defined convergence criterion  $(10^{-6}$  times the variance of the measurement error) was met. Each of the nine stimulus conditions was independently fit and the process took approximately 120 mins per condition (Pentium(R) 4; 3.0 GHz). We verified that the final estimate was independent of the choice of the initial guess for each state and the same initial guess was used for each of the nine conditions.

To estimate the confidence-bounds for each of the model parameters, we performed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling of the state-space (Carter and Kohn, 1996). We used the change in  $\chi^2$  value at each sample step to approximate 'energy cost' to determine the probability of the acceptance of each step using the equation,

$$P(k|\{j \le k\}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \text{if } \Delta \chi^2 \le 1e^{-\Delta \chi^2} & else \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where k defines the index current iteration and  $\{j < k\}$  is the set of all previous steps. The density of samples

	Symbol	Description	Physiological range	Citation
Dvnamic				
Arterial dilation temporal basis	$\Delta R_A$	Change arterial resistance	(0–10) %	_
	$\tau_{\mathrm{peak}}$	Time to maximum resistance change	(0–6) s	_
	$\sigma_A$	Width of temporal resistance change	(0–6) s	—
	$\Delta CMRO_2$	Relative change CMRO <sub>2</sub>	(0-10)%	_
$\mathrm{CMRO}_2$ temporal basis	$ au_{\mathrm{onset}}$	Time to onset of CMRO <sub>2</sub> change	(0–6) s	_
	$\sigma_{ m c}$	Width of temporal CMRO₂ change	(0–6) s	_
Structural				
Resistance	$R_{\rm A}(0)$	Initial arterial resistance	(0.20–0.90) AU	(Mandeville <i>et al</i> , 1999b; Boas <i>et al</i> , 2003)
Windkessel parameters	β	Windkessel vascular reserve	(1–5) AU	(Mandeville <i>et al</i> , 1999b; Boas <i>et al</i> , 2003; Zheng <i>et al</i> 2005)
	τ	Vascular transit time	(0.5–4) s	(Mandeville <i>et al</i> , 1999b; Zheng <i>et al</i> , 2002: Boas <i>et al</i> , 2003)
	$ au_{ m pial}$	Pial venous transit time	(0–4) s	<u> </u>
Baseline volume	$[HbT]_0$ $S_0O_2$	Total baseline hemoglobin Baseline arterial saturation	(40–140) μmol/L (95–100) %	(Zheng et al, 2005; Boas et al, 2003)
Oxygen saturation	$S_c O_2 S_v O_2$	Baseline capillary saturation Baseline venous saturation	(60–90) % <sup>a</sup> (55–89) % <sup>a</sup>	(Herman <i>et al</i> , 2006)

 Table 3
 State variables

A total of fourteen independent states are fit to each data set in this model. The dynamic changes in arterial dilation and  $CMRO_2$  are modeled by several amplitude and timing parameters, which describe the temporal basis functions used in the model (described in the text). In addition, several static parameters describing components of the model are also fit. In the joint-fitting of multiple conditions, these static variables are estimated globally across all conditions, while independent estimates are obtained for each of the dynamic variables. Physiological ranges were used to bound the estimates of each of these parameters.

<sup>a</sup>Oxygen saturations were estimated as a change from the previous compartment to avoid discontinuities in the model fitting routine.

#### Table 4 State estimates

	Variable	Stimulus condition									Mean	Fit together
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Dvnamic												
Arterial dilation temporal basis	$\Delta R_{A}$	0.94	1.05	1.41	1.72	1.69	1.84	2.57	2.65	3.00	*	_
ľ	$\tau_{\mathrm{peak}}$	3.00	3.11	2.96	3.12	3.11	3.03	3.32	3.26	3.22	*	_
	$\sigma_{\rm A}$	2.38	1.97	1.35	1.15	1.48	1.32	1.55	1.56	1.36	_	_
CMRO <sub>2</sub> temporal basis	$\Delta CMRO_2$	0.53	0.24	0.34	0.35	0.22	0.27	1.28	0.36	0.28	_	_
*	$\tau_{\mathrm{peak}}$	1.49	1.86	1.68	1.49	1.71	1.91	1.32	1.37	1.27	_	_
	$\sigma_{\rm c}$	1.77	1.15	1.11	1.72	1.61	1.54	2.12	1.84	1.08	_	_
Structural												
Resistance	$R_{\rm A}(0)$	0.73	0.77	0.74	0.73	0.76	0.77	0.66	0.68	0.76	0.73	0.79
	β	1.32	1.33	2.27	2.79	1.21	1.14	2.94	1.52	1.19	1.75	1.45
Windkessel parameters	τ	0.79	0.68	0.65	1.31	0.70	0.66	0.75	0.62	0.61	0.75	0.60
-	$\tau_{pial}$	1.27	0.77	0.75	1.09	0.59	0.71	1.40	0.80	0.63	0.89	0.96
Baseline volume	[HbTJ₀	113.8	118.7	114.3	133.4	112.9	110.7	133.4	92.4	87.7	113.04	102.5
	$S_a O_2$	0.940	0.940	0.950	0.972	0.947	0.943	0.973	0.939	0.938	0.95	0.938
Oxygen saturation	$S_c O_2$	0.709	0.704	0.731	0.749	0.740	0.712	0.732	0.730	0.747	0.73	0.694
	$S_v O_2$	0.635	0.631	0.656	0.687	0.667	0.635	0.655	0.656	0.673	0.66	0.616

In this table, we present the model estimates from the nine stimulus conditions for the fourteen state variables discussed in Table 3. For the structural parameters, the mean of the nine conditions is shown. None of the changes in these parameters significantly varied with stimulus condition (discussed in the text). In the last column, we show the values estimated jointly from all nine conditions. The asterisks (\*) indicate parameters, which varied significantly (P < 0.05) with stimulus condition. The amplitude and time-to-peak of arterial dilation both increased significantly with stimulus condition for the nine independent fits. CMRO<sub>2</sub> estimated in the independent fits trended to increase, but this was not significant due to variance of the estimates. In contrast, CMRO<sub>2</sub> significantly increased in the estimates obtained by joint-fits to all nine conditions (shown in Figure 5).

approximates the *n*th-dimensional probability density function, where n is the number of degrees-of-freedom of the state-vector. This defines the confidence bounds on each of the estimated parameters.

Single-compartment Windkessel model: We compare results from the proposed multicompartment vascular model with the previously published, single-compartment version of the Windkessel model (Mandeville et al, 1999b; Boas et al, 2003) using a similar fitting procedure. A single-compartment simplification of the current model was constructed from a dilating artery and a single compliant (Windkessel) compartment (Mandeville et al, 1999b). In our construction of the single-compartment model, we follow the same model framework as used in our multicompartment model and diagramed in Figure 1. We used temporal basis functions to describe the arterial dilation and CMRO<sub>2</sub> time courses while performing a nonlinear minimization to estimate the unknown states. This inductive modeling approach is similar to fitting of arterial dilation described in Boas et al (2003), but represents a significant deviation from the deductive approaches used in most other similar model descriptions (Zheng et al, 2002). This allows us to infer arterial dilation and CMRO<sub>2</sub> from the joint set of measurements within the same pseudo-Bayesian framework used in the multiple compartment model and thus make direct comparisons of the results.

The single-compartment model had 11 degrees-offreedom (refer to Table 3), where the pial transit time was eliminated and the capillary and venous oxygen saturations were reduced to a single compartment. The bounded ranges for all parameters were the same as the multicompartment model in agreement with the previous literature (Boas *et al*, 2003). The baseline vascular fractions were assumed to be 25% and 75% for the arterial and Windkessel compartments, respectively.

*Calculating baseline parameters*: A unique feature of this model is that the concentration of baseline total hemoglobin is fit from the scale between the percentchange predictions of the model and the micromolar measured changes in hemoglobin concentrations calculated from the spectroscopic optical data. This value sets the scale for the entire model. This allows the value of baseline blood flow to be calculated from the equation,

$$CBF_o = HbT_o/(\tau HGB) \tag{17}$$

The vascular transit time ( $\tau$ ) is also estimated as part of the model. *HGB* is the hemoglobin content of blood, assumed to be 16 g Hb/dL (Habler and Messmer, 1997). Note that if HGB is unknown, we can still estimate hemoglobin flow (g Hb/sec).

Oxygen delivery is the product of the incoming flow of blood and oxygen content of the blood (defined in equation (9)). The partial pressure of oxygen in incoming arterial blood was assumed to be 100 mm Hg (Herman *et al*, 2006), which equates to an oxygen saturation of 98.7% (Severinghaus, 1979). Similarly, at baseline steady state, CMRO<sub>2</sub> is the product of blood flow and the oxygen extraction fraction across the compartments (OEF =  $(s_{in}O_2-s_{out}O_2)/s_{in}O_2$ ). The drops in oxygen content across each vascular compartment are defined by parameters fit in this model and are determined by the baseline oxygen saturation of each compartment.

## **Multicompartment Estimates**

The unknown state parameters listed in Table 3 were estimated using the multicompartment model to fit the region-of-interest averaged response of the seven rats. The response curves from each of the nine conditions were fit independently and state estimates for each of these nine stimulus amplitudes are provided in Table 4 and the model fits to the experimental data for (representative) conditions 3, 6, and 9 as shown in Figure 3. The fits modeled nearly all the variance of the response for the hemodynamic parameters and yielded highly significant  $R^2$  fits to each of the nine conditions, as summarized in Table 5. The partial  $R^2$  values (adjusted for the model degrees-of-freedom) were calculated from the variance of the individual hemodynamic measurements ( $HbO_2$ , HbR, total-HB, and/or CBF) and the model results. This allowed us to examine the goodness-of-fit for each of the multimodal observations. The calculation showed nearly equally distributed variances across each of the measurements and showed that the model equally incorporated each of the measured components.

The state parameters estimated by this model consist of both structural and functional properties of the system. The parameters characterizing the functional response (CMRO<sub>2</sub> and arterial dilation) are expected to differ between the stimulus conditions, whereas the structural estimates are expected to remain constant. To test this hypothesis, we grouped the results of conditions 1 to 3, 4 to 6, and 7 to 9 and performed a one-way analysis of variance



**Figure 3** Multicompartment model fit to the experimental data: the experimental data (dotted lines) was fit using the multicompartment model (solid lines). Here we show representative results from the model fits to stimulus conditions 3, 6, and 9. The error bars show standard error estimated from the seven rats used in this experiment. Each condition was fit independently to generate these plots. The  $R^2$  values for these fits are shown in Table 5. Blood flow (black) was measured by laser speckle imaging (Dunn *et al*, 2005). Total-, oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin changes (green/red/blue) were calculated from measurements by optical spectroscopy (Dunn *et al*, 2005).

		Stimulus condition										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
Multi-compartmen	nt											
$R_{\rm adi}^2 - H \hat{b} O_2$	0.94	0.98	0.97	0.90	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99			
HbR	0.81	0.98	0.98	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.97	0.99	0.98			
HbT	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.87	0.99	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.99			
CBF	0.92	0.73	0.86	0.92	0.99	0.96	0.98	0.92	0.97			
Total	0.91	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.99			
Single-compartme	nt											
$R_{adi}^2$ – HbO <sub>2</sub>	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.85	0.98	0.97	0.95	0.98	0.98			
HbR	0.62	0.81	0.66	0.68	0.81	0.79	0.76	0.81	0.74			
HbT	0.94	0.95	0.90	0.87	0.98	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.98			
CBF	0.93	0.63	0.85	0.92	0.97	0.93	0.98	0.88	0.95			
Total	0.89	0.88	0.87	0.87	0.95	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.95			

In this table, we show the  $R^2$  values (goodness-of-fit) for the model fits to the nine-stimulus conditions. Both the fits using the single- and multi-compartment models are shown. These R-squared values have been adjusted for the degrees-of-freedom in each model. For each condition, we calculated the partial  $R^2$  value for the flow, total-, oxy-, and deoxy-hemoglobin measurements (Devore, 1995). This value indicates the explained model variance considering only that component of the measurements. The total R-squared indicates the fraction of the total variance accounted for by all measurements. The multi-compartment model significantly improved the fits to the data ( $P < 8 \times 10^{-5}$ ) [*Z*-transform/*T*-test; described in text]. The most notable improvements were observed in the modeling of deoxy-hemoglobin ( $P < 8 \times 10^{-6}$ ).

(ANOVA) test between groups. As expected, the estimates of the functional states varied significantly (P < 0.05) across the three groups, as indicated with an asterisk in Table 4. We found that magnitude and time-to-peak of the estimated arterial dilation and CMRO<sub>2</sub> responses increased with stimulus condition. These estimates are shown in Figure 4. In contrast, the estimate for the structural parameters did not vary significantly across the three groups. For these parameters, the mean of the nine conditions is shown in Table 4. The Windkessel vascular reserve ( $\beta$ ) was estimated in the range of 1.1 to 2.9 (mean 1.8) for all nine conditions. Similarly, the estimate of the vascular transit time  $(\tau)$  was also conserved across the three groups of conditions with a range of 0.61 to 1.31 secs (mean 0.70 secs). In addition, we estimated baseline total-hemoglobin to be 88 to 133  $\mu$ mol/L (mean 113  $\mu$ mol/L).

To examine the influence of the choice of temporal basis functions used to model arterial dilation and  $CMRO_2$ , we examined other combinations of symmetric (Gaussian: equations (8)) and asymmetric (modified Gamma function: equations

(14)) functional forms. No parameters except for the offset time ( $\tau_{onset}$  or  $\tau_{peak}$ ) and temporal width ( $\sigma$ ) varied significantly (P < 0.05: two-way ANOVA). In addition, all four combinations gave equal goodness-of-fit values ( $R^2$ ) for all individual measurements and the overall model (P < 0.05; Z-transform T-test). The models dependence on the initial seed of the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm was also tested. Results did not significantly vary (P < 0.05: two-way ANOVA) (shown in Figure 5C).

#### Joint Estimates Using all Stimulus Conditions

After fitting the nine stimulus conditions independently and noting the constancy of the structural parameters, we concatenated the state-vectors such that the model independently estimated the arterial dilation and  $CMRO_2$  functions for each condition, but used common estimates for the structural and static variables. This procedure was used to reduce the degrees-of-freedom of the model and simultaneously incorporate data from all nine



**Figure 4** Parametric estimates in CMRO<sub>2</sub> and arterial dilation changes: The multicompartment model estimated parametric increases in both arterial dilation and CMRO<sub>2</sub> changes over the nine stimulus amplitudes. In the plots on the left, we show the parametric changes in the diameter of the arterial vessels (expressed as a percent change) and CMRO<sub>2</sub> (expressed in mL O<sub>2</sub>/ 100 g min as described in the text). The temporal basis functions estimated from the model fits to the nine conditions (fit jointly) are shown in the figures on the right, where the evoked response lasted from 1.5 to 6 secs. The results of both the independent (red lines-triangle) and joint (black lines-square) model fits of the nine conditions were consistent. However, the joint estimates had lower variance than the independent fits for both estimates. The changes in both CMRO<sub>2</sub> and arterial diameter were linear with the stimulus condition ( $R^2 = 0.87$  and 0.96, respectively). The blue lines show the linear fit to the estimates from the joint fitting, with 95% confidence bounds.

1272

Multicompartment vascular model for inferring baseline and functional changes TJ Huppert et al



**Figure 5** Markov chain Monte Carlo results: We used Markov chain Monte Carlo techniques to examine the variance in the estimates of each state fit by the model and the independence of these estimate (Carter and Kohn, 1996). In subplot (**A**), we show a correlation matrix formed from the pair-wise correlation of the uncertainties in the state variables. High correlation indicates interdependence between two variables, which could indicate crosstalk between these parameters in the model. In subplot (**B**), we show probability densities for three of the structural parameters ( $\beta$ ,  $\tau$ , and [HbT<sub>o</sub>]). The histograms (diagonal element subplots) show the probability densities projected through all other degrees-of-freedom and indicate the error in the estimate (i.e., width of peak). The contour plots are created by the cross-section of this probability density along the two degrees-of-freedom in the plot (i.e., the correlation between  $\beta$  and [HbT<sub>o</sub>] top right image). These cross-sections indicate the interdependence of these two variables by showing the correlation between them. Contour lines are indicated at the 99%, 95%, and 90% boundaries. In subplot (**C**), we show the mean and uncertainties in the parameter estimates fit to the nine conditions simultaneously. The model fits were repeated for 50 iterations of uniformly sampled initial positions for the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm. The final minimization values are shown as scatter points for each parameter. Irregardless of starting position, all final estimates fell within the model uncertainty of each parameter.

conditions, thus giving a better estimate of the arterial dilation and  $CMRO_2$  responses. The structural parameters from this fit were consistent with the average values obtained from the independent fits (see Table 4).

The arterial dilation and  $\text{CMRO}_2$  changes estimated using all nine conditions are shown in Figure 4. The variance in these estimates was reduced as compared with the results obtained by independently fitting each condition. The solid lines shown in Figure 4 show the linear fits through the estimates from the simultaneous fitting of all nine conditions. The arterial dilation response increased linearly with stimulus amplitude ( $R^2 = 0.96$ ). Similarly, the CMRO<sub>2</sub> change increased linearly with stimulus amplitude ( $R^2 = 0.87$ ).

#### **Model Uniqueness**

To examine the uniqueness of the model fits, we examined the results using Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations to estimate the variance in each of the estimates. This approach was followed to examine underlying connections between the individual states and their estimates. In Figure 5, we show the correlation of the error in the state estimates for the joint-fitting of all nine conditions. In such an image, a high degree of correlation would indicate interdependence between two (or more) state variables. Instead, we observe low correlation between most of these individual state estimates, which indicates that these states were fairly independently estimated. Only a few of these correlations met a significance criterion (P < 0.05). First, a minor positive correlation was observed between the estimates of the Windkessel vascular reserve ( $\beta$ ) and transit time ( $\tau$ ) ( $R^2 = 0.32$ ). In addition, we noted a slight negative correlation between the baseline  $SO_2$  for the three compartments and fractional change in  $CMRO_2$  ( $R^2 = 0.07$ , 0.13, 0.05;  $S_aO_2$ ,  $S_cO_2$ ,  $S_vO_2$ ). A similar negative correlation was observed between the uncertainty in the estimate of the Windkessel vascular reserve and transit time and  $SO_2$ . This result is not surprising since baseline  $SO_2$  and transit time determine baseline CMRO<sub>2</sub>. To further examine the state estimates of the Windkessel vascular reserve  $(\beta)$ , transit time  $(\tau)$ , and baseline total hemoglobin ([HbT<sub>o</sub>]), in Figure 5B, we show the cross-section through the probability distribution clouds along these degrees-of-freedom. Contour lines are shown at the 99%, 95%, and 90% boundaries. In these plots, correlation between these variables would manifest as alignment along the diagonals of the plots. The largest correlation was observed between vascular reserve and transit time. The histograms for the projection along the axis of each degree-offreedom yield the confidence bounds for each of the states.

# Comparison to the Single-Compartment Windkessel Model

To further examine the validity of the proposed multiple compartment model, we compared our results with those from the previously described single-compartment Windkessel models (Boas *et al*, 2003; Mandeville *et al*, 1999b). We found that the shortcomings of single-compartment Windkessel model were primarily seen in the fits of the oxygenation component of the hemodynamic response to the experimental data (shown in Figure 6). This result is in agreement with the similar findings by Zheng *et al* (2005) of a multicompartment model. The degree-of-freedom adjusted  $R^2$  and partial  $R^2$ values for both the multicompartment and single Windkessel-compartment model fits are shown in Table 5. Using a Z-transform, we performed a paired T-test of these fits (Devore, 1995). We found that the single Windkessel-compartment model had significantly worse agreement for the deoxy-hemoglobin ( $P < 8 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and oxy-hemoglobin ( $P < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ ) time courses. The blood flow and volume estimates were also significantly better in the multicompartment model by this test ( $P < 6 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $P < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ ). The overall model fit to all observations was also significantly better for the multicompartment model ( $P < 8 \times 10^{-5}$ ).

The estimates of arterial dilation and  $\text{CMRO}_2$  are shown in Figure 7. We found that the estimates of  $\text{CMRO}_2$  and the errors in the estimates are significantly higher for the single compartment than for the multiple compartment model. This is in agreement with findings reported previously (Zheng *et al*, 2005).

#### **Estimated Baseline Properties**

Our model allows the estimation of the vascular transit time, baseline oxygenations, and the absolute baseline hemoglobin concentration. These can be used to estimate baseline blood flow and oxygen consumption from the initial relationship between the initial Windkessel volume and incoming blood flow  $(V_w(0) = F_{in}(0) \cdot \tau)$ . At baseline, we can assume steady-state conditions and relative CMRO<sub>2</sub> can be calculated directly from the baseline blood flow and oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) (i.e.,  $rCMRO_2(0) =$  $F_{\rm in}(0)$ OEF). The estimates of these baseline properties are shown in Table 6. From the mean of the nine stimulus conditions, we estimate baseline blood flow to be  $94 \pm 15 \,\text{mL}/100 \,\text{gmin}$  using an assumed hemoglobin content (HGB) of 16 g/dL (Habler and Messmer, 1997). From the oxygen carrying capacity of oxy-hemoglobin  $(H_n = 1.39 \text{ mL } O_2/\text{g Hb}$  (Habler and Messmer, 1997)), we calculated baseline oxygen delivery to be  $20.0 \pm 3.1 \text{ mL O}_2/100 \text{ g min}$ . Our model estimated a baseline oxygen extraction fraction of  $0.33 \pm 0.02$  based on the model fit values of the oxygen saturation in the three compartments. From these values, the baseline  $CMRO_2$  is estimated at 6.7



**Figure 6** Single-compartment model fit to the experimental data: The experimental data (dotted lines) was fit using the single-compartment model (solid lines). Here we show representative results from the model fits to stimulus conditions 3, 6, and 9. Each condition was fit independently to generate these plots. The  $R^2$  values for these fits are shown in Table 5. Blood flow, and total-, oxy-and deoxy-hemoglobin changes are shown in black, green, red, and blue, respectively. The error bars represented standard errors estimated from the seven rats used in this experiment.

1274

1275



**Figure 7** Comparison of CMRO<sub>2</sub> and arterial dilation changes from single- and multi-compartment models: In these two plots, we show the parametric changes in arterial diameter (left) and CMRO<sub>2</sub> (right) estimated by the single-compartment (blue line; circle) and multicompartment (black line; square) models. Both estimates are from the joint-fitting of the nine conditions. In both models, arterial diameter changes were linear with stimulus amplitude ( $R^2 = 0.98$  (single) and  $R^2 = 0.96$  (multi)). The single-compartment estimates were significantly greater than the multi-compartment estimates ( $P < 2 \times 10^{-6}$ ) (paired *T*-test). CMRO<sub>2</sub> changes estimated with the multicompartment model were linear ( $R^2 = 0.87$ ) with stimulus condition. The single-compartment estimates were more variable ( $R^2 = 0.08$ ). Owing to this variance, the difference in the two model estimates was not significant.

Table 6 Estimates of baseline properties

	Stimulus condition										Fit to	ogether	Literature
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	_			
Baseline flow	87	105	107	61	98	101	107	89	87	$94 \pm 15$	$104\!\pm\!12$	mL/100 g min	70 (Herman <i>et al</i> , 2006) 56 (Mintun <i>et al</i> , 2001)
Baseline	18.6	22.4	22.8	13.1	20.9	21.5	22.9	19.1	18.6	$20.0\pm3.1$	$22.1\pm2.6$	mL/100gmin	2001)
O <sub>2</sub> derivery Baseline OEF	0.35	0.36	0.33	0.30	0.32	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.31	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	$0.37 \pm 0.01$	[AU]	
Baseline CMRO <sub>2</sub>	6.6	8.0	7.6	4.0	6.7	7.6	7.6	6.4	5.9	$6.7 \pm 1.3$	$8.2\pm0.8$	mL/100 g min	5.6 (Herman <i>et al</i> , 2006)

Baseline blood flow and oxygen consumption are estimated from the model parameters as described in the text. The values for baseline blood flow, oxygen delivery, and CMRO<sub>2</sub> were calculated from the model fits to the nine-stimulus conditions. The 95% confidence bounds of these estimates were calculated from the propagation of error in the individual state estimates (estimated from the Markov Chain Monte Carlo procedure detailed in the text). The baseline values of CMRO<sub>2</sub> estimated with the model are consistent with values from the literature.

 $\pm$  1.3 mL O<sub>2</sub>/100 g min. These baseline values were consistent for the nine independent fits and the joint-estimates (shown in Table 6). None of these estimated baseline values showed any significant crosstalk with stimulus condition (one-way ANOVA).

## Flow–Volume and Flow–Consumption Ratios

From the functional responses, we found that the ratio of maximum flow to maximum volume changes was 2.84 (range 2.83 to 2.85). There was a small increasing trend in this ratio with stimulus condition ( $R^2 = 0.78$ ; P < 0.006 one-way ANOVA). This value was consistent with existing literature values of 2.33 (hypercapnic challenge) (Wu *et al*, 2002) but lower than those reported in functional studies 5 to 6 (sensory stimulation) (Mandeville *et al*, 1999*a*). Note that attention must be given to the vascular compartments averaged in estimating the

flow-volume ratio as inclusion of the arterial compartment will produce smaller estimates of the ratio then when considering only the capillary and venous compartments.

We also found that the ratio of maximum  $\text{CMRO}_2$ change to maximum flow change was  $0.40\pm0.05$ . This also did not vary significantly with stimulus condition (one-way ANOVA). The estimates of these values were more conserved in the joint fitting results. Previous studies in humans have reported values of the  $\text{CMRO}_2$ :flow ratio of  $0.56\pm0.8$  (Hoge *et al*, 2005) and 0.3 (Kastrup *et al*, 2002), which are consistent with our reported values here.

## **Compartmentalized Changes in Hemodynamics**

In Figure 8, we show the predicted hemodynamic changes in each of the vascular compartments for stimulus condition 9. The time courses of blood flow and oxy-, deoxy-, and total-hemoglobin



**Figure 8** Compartmental hemodynamic changes: Vascular changes were modeled in the arterial, capillary, and venous compartments. The time courses plotted here from the ninth stimulus condition show the representative changes in these three compartments. The solid lines show the predicted observation model for either laser speckle imaging (blood flow) or spectroscopic imaging. The figures to the right of each plot show an enlargement of the initial onset regions.

changes are shown for the three vascular compartments and the modeled observation (i.e., the sum or mean of the three compartment changes shown in equation (15)). The neighboring plots show the time course of the initial response from 0 to 2 (3) seconds after stimulus. We found similar dynamic behavior with the model fits to the other eight experimental conditions. We did not note any differences between the independent and the joint estimates for the nine conditions.

# Discussion

We have showed that the model proposed in this work was able to reproduce the majority of the measured hemodynamic responses, as noted by the large  $R^2$  values for the model fits to each condition shown in Table 5.

In the model fits to the parametric whisker stimulus, our estimates of the change in  $\text{CMRO}_2$  and arterial dilation increased linearly with stimulus amplitude ( $R^2 = 0.87$  and 0.96, respectively). We were able to estimate these changes independently for the nine conditions and jointly by estimating these changes using the complete parametric data and assuming common values for baseline properties for the nine conditions. We found that the parameter estimates were consistent whereas the variance decreased for the joint estimation as expected.

We used Monte Carlo methods to verify that the state estimates were robust to the starting position of the minimization routine. We also verified that the model estimated consistent values for all nine stimuli conditions for the state variables representing structural and baseline properties as hypothesized. This finding supports the utility of the model to infer details of the vascular anatomy.

## **Multi- Versus Single-Compartment Models**

We found that the higher temporal resolution and spectroscopic information of optical imaging requires a more detailed model than previously shown single-compartment models (Buxton et al, 1998, 2004; Mandeville et al, 1999b), In agreement with previous work (Zheng et al, 2005), we found that the multicompartment model performed better than the single-compartment formulation, even after the additional degrees-of-freedom for the more complicated model were accounted for  $(P < 8 \times 10^{-5})$ . Both models accurately reproduced the relationship between flow and volume, as indicated by the goodness-of-fit of blood flow and volume measurements by both models (refer Table 5), consistent with the previous report by Zheng et al (2005). Although estimates of arterial dilation were significantly higher in the single-compartment model  $(P < 2 \times 10^{-6})$ , both models predicted a linear relationship with stimulus condition ( $R^2 = 0.98$  (single) and  $R^2 = 0.96$  (multi)).

Further, we found that the multicompartment model performed significantly better at modeling oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin measurements and the overall data set. The single-compartment model had significantly lower  $R^2$  values for model fits to oxyand deoxy-hemoglobin data in all nine stimulus conditions than the multicompartment model. We found that the CMRO<sub>2</sub> changes predicted by the multicompartment model were significantly better correlated with stimulus condition than the single-compartment model ( $R^2 = 0.08$  (single) and  $R^2 = 0.87$  (multi)). Owing to the large variance in the estimate of CMRO<sub>2</sub> changes in the single-compartment model, the difference in the two estimates was not statistically different (paired *T*-test).

#### **Compartmentalized Changes in Hemodynamics**

The predicted response curves for each of the vascular compartments, shown in Figure 7, are in qualitative agreement with previously published experimental findings (Vanzetta *et al*, 2005). We found that the largest magnitude of blood volume changes originated from the venous compartment whereas the arterial compartment had the largest fractional volume changes. Blood volume changes in the arteries initiated and peaked slightly before the volume changes in the capillaries and veins.

We found that the magnitude of the change in blood flow response of all three compartments was nearly identical but slightly lagged from the arterial to venous compartments consistent with Zheng et al (2005). In addition, we found that the majority of the contrast of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin changes arose from the venous structures. These large changes are the result of the large washout effects in this compartment with the lowest initial  $SO_2$ . We estimated venous oxygen saturation to be around 62% to 66%, which allows large changes in the blood oxygenation in response to the same magnitude of increased blood flow and volume changes as the other compartments. In comparison, the oxygen saturation of the arterial (95%) is very close to that of the feeding artery (98.7%) and changes in oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin arise from blood volume changes with little influence of flow. We also observed larger and more latent oxyhemoglobin changes then total-hemoglobin that can be explained by the contribution of blood flow changes, which wash out the baseline deoxyhemoglobin concentration.

Total oxygen content in tissue depends on contributions from arteries, veins, and capillaries. We find that at baseline the arteries and veins supplied 34% (2.8 ml O<sub>2</sub>/100 g min) of the total oxygen delivered to the tissue (13% arterial; 21% venous) with the capillary compartment supplying the remaining 66% (5.4 ml O<sub>2</sub>/100 g min). Comparable theoretical modeling predicts that 70% of oxygen exchange to tissue occurs through the capillaries (Sharan and Popel, 2002).

Another important contribution made in this model is allowance for dynamic changes in tissue oxygenation. In qualitative agreement with recent work examining stimulus-evoked tissue oxygenation (Offenhauser *et al*, 2005), we found that tissue oxygenation ( $p_{tissue}O_2$ ) changes were biphasic.

During early onset of the response (1 to 2 secs), an initial dip (i.e., -8% for condition 9) in the tissue oxygenation was observed coinciding with an increase in CMRO<sub>2</sub>. After the return of CMRO<sub>2</sub> to baseline, the tissue oxygenation was observed to surpass its baseline value (i.e., +28%for condition 9).

#### **Determination of Baseline Properties**

A key feature of our model is the bottom-up methodology that allows us to recover baseline blood volume and oxygenation properties of the tissue from the measured hemodynamic responses. The estimates of the baseline oxygen delivery  $(20.0 \text{ mL } O_2/100 \text{ g min})$  and  $CMRO_2$   $(6.7 \text{ mL } O_2/100 \text{ g min})$ 100 g min) calculated with this model are consistent with the physiologic ranges reported in the previous literature (Habler and Messmer, 1997; Mintun et al, 2001; Herman *et al*, 2006). Our estimates of blood flow are slightly higher than those found in the literature. This could be explained by the assumption for the hemoglobin content of blood, which affects the absolute magnitude of the blood flow response. Baseline OEF was also calculated based on using the values of baseline oxygen saturation and was found to be 0.37 + 0.01, which is within the range in previously published results that have suggested a wide range of values (0.2 to 0.7) (Buxton et al, 2004; Zheng et al, 2002, 2005).

#### **Model Assumptions and Future Extensions**

There are several assumptions and model simplifications presented in this work, which are important to recognize in the context of interpreting these results. Concerning the estimation of absolute functional and baseline parameters, this calculation relies on the quantitative accuracy of both measurement methods. In this work, we have assumed that optical spectroscopy can provide a measure of absolute hemoglobin changes. This accuracy depends on the propagation of light in the tissue and the path length correction applied to calculate hemoglobin changes from the optical spectroscopy. The absolute quantities of blood flow, volume, and CMRO<sub>2</sub> are calculated by scaling between the model and the measurements using relative amplitudes and time courses of each predicted hemodynamic change and the actual magnitudes of the experimental data. Thus, uncertainties in the model estimates are linear with uncertainties in the absolute magnitude of the optical data, and thus dependent on systematic errors in the estimates of optical path length or partial volume errors in the measured data. However, the estimate of relative changes in CMRO<sub>2</sub>, blood flow or volume are unaffected by these errors. These errors will limit the estimation of absolute, but not relative, changes in noninvasive human imaging with methods such 1277

as fMRI or diffuse optical imaging. In this work, we assumed laser speckle to provide a relative measure of blood flow changes as showed by comparison with laser Doppler (Dunn *et al*, 2001). However, the speckle imaging techniques make assumptions about the relationship between velocity and flow, which need to be considered in more detail in the future.

# Conclusions

We conclude that the proposed multiple compartment model makes the following significant contributions: (i) The multicompartment model shows significant improvements in the modeling of measured oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin changes. (ii) The bottom-up framework of this model allows for inclusion of multimodality data in a Bayesian model. This setup improves the accuracy of the estimated states by compensating for uneven measurement noise across modalities and can be readily extended to the analysis of human functional neuroimaging measurements. (iii) Our model allows the estimation of baseline hemodynamic and metabolic parameters from the time courses of dynamic hemodynamic measurements. We can use the relationship between absolute and relative changes in hemoglobin concentration to estimate baseline blood volume and oxygen consumption. This feature offers a significant improvement over previous models and could open the door to examine differences in baseline hemodynamic properties in normal and disease models.

# Acknowledgements

TJH is funded by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute predoctorial fellowship program. MSA is funded by the Rudolph Hermann Doctoral fellowship at UTA.

# References

- Aguirre GK, Zarahn E, D'Esposito M (1998) The variability of human, BOLD hemodynamic responses. *Neuroimage* 8:360–9
- Berwick J, Redgrave P, Jones M, Hewson-Stoate N, Martindale J, Johnston D, Mayhew JE (2004) Integration of neural responses originating from different regions of the cortical somatosensory map. *Brain Res* 1030:284–93
- Boas DA, Strangman G, Culver JP, Hoge RD, Jasdzewski G, Poldrack RA, Rosen BR, Mandeville JB (2003) Can the cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen be estimated with near-infrared spectroscopy? *Phys Med Biol* 48:2405–18
- Briers JD (2001) Laser Doppler, speckle and related techniques for blood perfusion mapping and imaging. *Physiol Meas* 22:R35–66
- Buxton RB, Frank LR (1997) A model for the coupling between cerebral blood flow and oxygen metabolism

during neural stimulation. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 17:64–72

- Buxton RB, Uludag K, Dubowitz DJ, Liu TT (2004) Modeling the hemodynamic response to brain activation. *Neuroimage* 23(Suppl 1):S220–33
- Buxton RB, Wong EC, Frank LR (1998) Dynamics of blood flow and oxygenation changes during brain activation: the balloon model. *Magn Reson Med* 39:855–64
- Carter CK, Kohn R (1996) Markov Chain Monte Carlo in Conditionally Gaussian State Space Models. *Biometrika* 83:589–601
- Devor A, Dunn AK, Andermann ML, Ulbert I, Boas DA, Dale AM (2003) Coupling of total hemoglobin concentration, oxygenation, and neural activity in rat somatosensory cortex. *Neuron* 39:353–9
- Devor A, Ulbert I, Dunn AK, Narayanan SN, Jones SR, Andermann ML, Boas DA, Dale AM (2005) Coupling of the cortical hemodynamic response to cortical and thalamic neuronal activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:3822–7
- Devore JL (1995) Simple linear regression and correlation probability and statistics for engineering and the sciences. Belmont, CA, USA: Wadsworth Inc, 474–522
- Dunn AK, Bolay H, Moskowitz MA, Boas DA (2001) Dynamic imaging of cerebral blood flow using laser speckle. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 21:195–201
- Dunn AK, Devor A, Dale AM, Boas DA (2005) Spatial extent of oxygen metabolism and hemodynamic changes during functional activation of the rat somatosensory cortex. *Neuroimage* 27:279–90
- Duong TQ, Kim SG (2000) *In vivo* MR measurements of regional arterial and venous blood volume fractions in intact rat brain. *Magn Reson Med* 43:393–402
- Girouard H, Iadecola Č (2006) Neurovascular coupling in the normal brain and in hypertension, stroke, and Alzheimer disease. *J Appl Physiol* 100:328–35
- Habler OP, Messmer KF (1997) The physiology of oxygen transport. *Transfus Sci* 18:425–35
- Herman P, Trubel HK, Hyder F (2006) A multiparametric assessment of oxygen efflux from the brain. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 26:79–91
- Hoge RD, Franceschini MA, Covolan RJ, Huppert T, Mandeville JB, Boas DA (2005) Simultaneous recording of task-induced changes in blood oxygenation, volume, and flow using diffuse optical imaging and arterial spin-labeling MRI. *Neuroimage* 25:701–7
- Kastrup A, Kruger G, Neumann-Haefelin T, Glover GH, Moseley ME (2002) Changes of cerebral blood flow, oxygenation, and oxidative metabolism during graded motor activation. *Neuroimage* 15:74–82
- Kocsis L, Herman P, Eke A (2006) Mathematical model for the estimation of hemodynamic and oxygenation variables by tissue spectroscopy. *J Theor Biol* 241:262–75
- Kohl M, Lindauer U, Royl G, Kuhl M, Gold L, Villringer A, Dirnagl U (2000) Physical model for the spectroscopic analysis of cortical intrinsic optical signals. *Phys Med Biol* 45:3749–64
- Mandeville JB, Marota JJ, Ayata C, Moskowitz MA, Weisskoff RM, Rosen BR (1999*a*) MRI measurement of the temporal evolution of relative CMRO(2) during rat forepaw stimulation. *Magn Reson Med* 42:944–51
- Mandeville JB, Marota JJ, Ayata C, Zaharchuk G, Moskowitz MA, Rosen BR, Weisskoff RM (1999b) Evidence of a cerebrovascular postarteriole Windkessel with delayed compliance. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 19:679–89

1279

- Marquardt DW (1963) An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. J Soc Indust Appl Math 11:431–41
- Mintun MA, Lundstrom BN, Snyder AZ, Vlassenko AG, Shulman GL, Raichle ME (2001) Blood flow and oxygen delivery to human brain during functional activity: theoretical modeling and experimental data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:6859–64
- Nair DG (2005) About being BOLD. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 50:229–43
- Nielsen AN, Fabricius M, Lauritzen M (2000) Scanning laser-Doppler flowmetry of rat cerebral circulation during cortical spreading depression. J Vasc Res 37:513–22
- Offenhauser N, Thomsen K, Caesar K, Lauritzen M (2005) Activity-induced tissue oxygenation changes in rat cerebellar cortex: interplay of postsynaptic activation and blood flow. *J Physiol* 565:279–94
- Riera J, Aubert E, Iwata K, Kawashima R, Wan X, Ozaki T (2005) Fusing EEG and fMRI based on a bottom-up model: inferring activation and effective connectivity in neural masses. *Philos Trans R Soc London B Biol Sci* 360:1025–41
- Severinghaus JW (1979) Simple, accurate equations for human blood  $O_2$  dissociation computations. J Appl Physiol 46:599–602
- Sharan M, Popel AS (2002) A compartmental model for oxygen transport in brain microcirculation in the presence of blood substitutes. *J Theor Biol* 216:479–500
- Sheth SA, Nemoto M, Guiou MW, Walker MA, Toga AW (2005) Spatiotemporal evolution of functional hemo-

dynamic changes and their relationship to neuronal activity. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 25:830–41

- Tsai AG, Johnson PC, Intaglietta M (2003) Oxygen gradients in the microcirculation. *Physiol Rev* 83: 933–963
- Vanzetta I, Hildesheim R, Grinvald A (2005) Compartment-resolved imaging of activity-dependent dynamics of cortical blood volume and oximetry. *J Neurosci* 25:2233–44
- Vovenko E (1999) Distribution of oxygen tension on the surface of arterioles, capillaries and venules of brain cortex and in tissue in normoxia: an experimental study on rats. *Pflugers Arch* 437:617–23
- Washburn EW (1921) The Dynamics of Capillary Flow. Physics Review Letters 12:273-83
- Watanabe M, Harada N, Kosaka H, Shiga T (1994) Intravital microreflectometry of individual pial vessels and capillary region of rat. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 14:75–84
- Wu G, Luo F, Li Z, Zhao X, Li SJ (2002) Transient relationships among BOLD, CBV, and CBF changes in rat brain as detected by functional MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 48:987–93
- Zheng Y, Johnston D, Berwick J, Chen D, Billings S, Mayhew J (2005) A three-compartment model of the hemodynamic response and oxygen delivery to brain. *Neuroimage* 28:925–39
- Zheng Y, Martindale J, Johnston D, Jones M, Berwick J, Mayhew J (2002) A model of the hemodynamic response and oxygen delivery to brain. *Neuroimage* 16:617–37