Deep Subspace Reconstruction with Zero-Shot Learning for Multiparametric Quantitative MRI

Yohan Jun^{1,2}, Yamin Arefeen³, Jaejin Cho^{1,2}, Xiaoqing Wang^{1,2}, Michael Gee^{1,2}, Borjan Gagoski^{2,4}, and Berkin Bilgic^{1,2,5}

¹Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Charlestown, MA, United States, ²Department of Radiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ³Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States, ⁴Fetal-Neonatal Neuroimaging & Developmental Science Center, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States, ⁵Harvard/MIT Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States

Target Audience: Clinicians/researchers interested in deep-learning reconstruction algorithms and quantitative MRI. **Purpose:** Low-rank subspace/shuffling methods have been powerful for reconstructing time-resolved MRI data and quantitative MRI (qMRI) since they incorporate subspace bases that are calculated from Bloch equations¹⁻³. The 3D-quantification using an interleaved Look-Locker acquisition sequence with T₂ preparation pulse (3D-QALAS) has been developed and used for acquiring high-resolution T₁, T₂, and PD maps from five measurements within each repetition time (TR)⁴⁻⁶. However, when fitting the quantitative maps using a Bloch-simulated dictionary, it assumes that each k-space data is acquired instantly at the first echo of the lengthy echo train, thus neglecting T₁ relaxation during the acquisition, which might cause blurring and biases in the reconstructed maps. Thus, in this study, we propose to reconstruct QALAS time-series data using a low-rank subspace method and enable more accurate T₁ and T₂ mapping with reduced blurring compared to conventional QALAS. The overall scheme is presented in **Fig. 1**. Furthermore, we propose to novel zero-shot deep-learning subspace, to further improve the fidelity of multiparametric qMRI. **Methods:** We propose to use a zero-shot self-supervised learning scheme⁸ for subspace reconstruction with the deep-learning-based regularization¹⁰ as follows:

$$\min \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{M}\mathcal{F}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\theta})\|_2^2$$

where **y** denotes the acquired multi-echo/multi-coil k-space data, **x** denotes the desired subspace coefficient images, and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{MFC\Phi} : \mathbb{C}^{N \times K} \to \mathbb{C}^{N \times C \times T}$ denotes the forward operator that has a k-space sampling matrix **M**. Fourier transform \mathcal{F} , coil sensitivity map **C**, and subspace bases Φ , which transforms the subspace coefficients ($\mathbb{C}^{N \times K}$) into multi-echo/multi-coil k-space data ($\mathbb{C}^{N \times C \times T}$). N, K, C, and T denote the matrix size of the image, number of bases, coils, and echoes. \mathcal{D} is the convolutional neural network (CNN)-based denoiser with trainable parameters θ , which can be optimized by minimizing the training loss \mathcal{L}_{train} :

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{p=1}^{p} \mathcal{L}_{train} \left(\mathbf{y}_{\Lambda_p}, \mathbf{A}_{\Lambda_p} \mathcal{H} \left(\mathbf{y}_{\Theta_p}, \mathbf{A}_{\Theta_p}; \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right),$$

and optimal parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ can be determined by observing validation loss \mathcal{L}_{val} :

$$\mathcal{L}_{val}\left(\mathbf{y}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{y}_{\Omega\setminus\Gamma}, \mathbf{A}_{\Omega\setminus\Gamma}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{p}\right)\right),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)}, \mathbf{A}_{(\cdot)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{(\cdot)})$ is the function of the unrolled network using the k-space data $\mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)}$, forward model $\mathbf{A}_{(\cdot)}$, and trainable parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(\cdot)}$, which outputs the regularized subspace coefficients. Here, a k-space sampling strategy is used, which splits the original k-space sampling mask into three different subsets without overlap (i.e., $\Omega = \Theta \sqcup \Lambda \sqcup \Gamma$) for model training Θ , training loss Λ , and validation loss Γ in each epoch p (p = 1, ..., P). The detailed architecture is presented in Fig. 2.

<u>Acquisition:</u> We acquired data from a volunteer using 3D-QALAS sequence on a 3T Prisma scanner with a 32ch head array. The parameters are: FOV=240x240x202mm³, matrix size=206x206x176, BW=330Hz/pixel, echo-spacing=5.76ms, turbo factor=128, TR=4.5s, TE=2.29ms, acceleration R=2, and scan time=8m 24s. We retrospectively conducted undersampling with R=2x5 for further validation. <u>Experiments:</u> We evaluated our proposed Zero-DeepSub by comparing it with 1) conventional QALAS that fits the T₁ and T₂ maps using original five measurements, 2) subspace reconstruction without regularization, and 3) subspace reconstruction with *l*₁-wavelet regularization. The dictionary was generated with the following T₁, and T₂ ranges: T₁=[300–5000ms] and T₂=[10–500ms]. We used 4 bases that could generate the simulated signals within 1.25% errors. The sequence diagram of QALAS is presented in Fig. 1b. We used BART for estimating coil sensitivity maps and comparison subspace methods¹¹.



Fig. 1. (a) Sequence diagram of 3D-QALAS and (b) overall scheme of the proposed subspace reconstruction method using subspace bases.







<u>Results</u>: Fig. 3 presents in vivo subspace coefficients and T_1 and T_2 maps reconstructed using three different subspace methods. The proposed method shows noisereduced and sharper coefficients, especially for the third and fourth ones, which result in better T_1 and T_2 maps. <u>Conclusion</u>: In this study, we demonstrated that accurate T_1 and T_2 maps with reduced blurring can be obtained using the proposed Zero-DeepSub, which combines scan-specific deep-learning reconstruction with low-rank subspace, from 3D-QALAS measurements.

References: [1] Tamir, J.I. et al. *MRM*, 2017. [2] Wang, F., et al. *NeuroImage*, 2022. [3] Cao, X., et al. *MRM*, 2022. [4] Kvernby, S., et al. *JCMR*, 2014. [5] Fujita, S., et al. *MRI*, 2019. [6] Fujita, S., et al. *JMRI*, 2019. [7] Yaman, B., et al. *MRM*, 2020. [8] Yaman, B., et al. *ICLR*, 2021. [9] Zhang, M., et al. *ISMRM*, 2022. [10] Aggarwal, H.K., et al. *IEEE TMI*, 2018. [11] Uecker, M., et al. *ISMRM*, 2015. <u>Acknowledgements</u>: This work was supported by research grants NIH R01 EB032378, R01 EB028797, R03 EB031175, U01 EB025162, P41 EB030006, U01 EB026996, and the NVidia Corporation for computing support.